



METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

DocuSigned by:

 D40C3369D77547C...

Request for Mayoral Selection of A&E Firm RFQ 890520, Program & Project Management Services for Bridge Maintenance & Management Program

Metro received three (3) proposals for the A&E Review Board to consider. The Review Board submits for review and selection by the Mayor the top three (3) evaluated firms listed below in alphabetical order, accompanied by the Review Board's summary.

While it is acknowledged that the selection is solely that of the Mayor, it is the Review Board's recommendation that **Civic Engineering and Information Technologies, Inc.** be considered for this project.

A&E Firm: **Civic Engineering and Information Technologies, Inc.** [Selected by Mayor Megan Barry](#)

Strengths: Strong team qualifications in inspections. Strong system development credentials and programs. Clear understanding of Business Plan and Approach. Projects listed displayed efficient and effective program management. Sustainability covered "green" design.

Weaknesses: Lacking detail regarding underwater inspections.

MWBE Plan: Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to three certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of GeoTek Engineering Co. (MBE) for Geotechnical Investigation; Booker Engineering Company, Inc. (MWBE) for Lighting Design; Hawkins Partners, Inc. (WBE) for Landscaping Architecture. Consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer pledged 20% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractor GeoTek Engineering Company, Inc. for geotechnical services; Booker Engineering, Inc. for Lightning Design services; Hawkins Partners, Inc. for Landscape Architecture. The Primary contractor is a Metro approved SBE.

A&E Firm: **CSR Engineering**

Strengths: N/A

Weaknesses: Team Qualifications lacked detail. The proposed contract team may lack capacity to perform the work based on the size of the team as compared to other offers. Did not include any subconsultant resumes. PE license expired. No clear

understanding of the Business Plan and Approach; plan did not align with what Metro was requesting. Project experience listed did not appear to relate to program management of similar size and scope; projects did not provide detail on inventory and assessments. Proposal stated Sustainability had not been a part of their plan.

MWBE Plan: Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to three certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of GeoTek Engineering Co. (MBE) for Geotechnical Explorations/ Reports. Consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer pledged 20% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. The Primary Contractor is a Metro approved SBE.

A&E Firm: **Volkert Inc.**

Strengths: N/A

Weaknesses: Proposed team not well correlated to program; detail lacking in inspection staff. Business Plan and Approach not as comprehensive; approach not as efficient regarding site visits. Project experience listed did not appear to relate to program management of similar size and scope.

MWBE Plan: Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to three certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of Civil Infrastructure Associates (WBE) for Surveying Services ; CNT Enterprises, LLC (WBE) Bridge Inspection & Construction Management Support; K.S. Ware & Associates, LLC (WBE) Asbestos Survey. Consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer pledged 20% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractor Civil Infrastructure Associates for Surveying Services; CNT Enterprises for Bridge Inspection & Construction Management Support; Collier Engineering Company for ADA Compliance, Design Services, Landscape Architecture; K.S. Ware & Associates, LLC for Asbestos Survey; and NuOrigin Systems, Inc. for IT Services.



**RFQ#890520 Program & Project Management Services for Bridge
Maintenance & Management Program
Review Board Score Sheet**

Offeror	Civic Engineering and Information Technologies, Inc.	CSR Engineering	Volkert Inc.
Contract Acceptance	Accept	Accept	Accept
ISA Questionnaire Completed (Pass/Fail)	Pass	Pass	Pass
Team Qualifications and Capacity to Perform (35 Points)	34	25	31
Business Plan and Approach (35 Points)	35	15	28
Experience on Similar Projects (25 Points)	25	18	19
Sustainability (5 Points)	5	2	5
Total Evaluation Scores	99	60	83

Evaluation Comments

Civic Engineering and Information Technologies, Inc.
 Strengths - Strong team qualifications in inspections. Strong system development credentials and programs. Clear understanding of Business Plan and Approach. Projects listed displayed efficient and effective program management. Sustainability covered "green" design.

Weaknesses - Lacking detail regarding underwater inspections.

CSR Engineering

Strengths - N/A.

Weaknesses - Team Qualifications lacked detail. The proposed contract team may lack capacity to perform the work based on the size of the team as compared to other offers. Did not include any subconsultant resumes. PE license expired. No clear understanding of the Business Plan and Approach; plan did not align with what Metro was requesting. Project experience listed did not appear to relate to program management of similar size and scope; projects did not provide detail on inventory and assessments. Proposal stated Sustainability had not been a part of their plan.

Volkert Inc.

Strengths - N/A.

Weaknesses - Proposed team not well correlated to program; detail lacking in inspection staff. Business Plan and Approach not as comprehensive; approach not as efficient regarding site visits. Project experience listed did not appear to relate to program management of similar size and scope.