




METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

Request for Mayoral Selection of A&E Firm RFQ 923555, Hurricane Creek Pipe Improvements

DocuSigned by:

 D40C3369D77547C...

Metro received five (5) proposals for the A&E Review Board to consider. The Review Board submits for review and selection by the Mayor the top three (3) evaluated firms listed below in alphabetical order, accompanied by the Review Board's summary.

While it is acknowledged that the selection is solely that of the Mayor, it is the Review Board's recommendation that **HDR Engineering** be considered for this project.

A&E Firm: Arcadis

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; strong detailed progressive approach that would be used in the project to provide savings and improve the value of the finished project; model construction options; strong detailed organizational chart; relevant project experience of key personnel; strong detailed sustainability requirements

Weakness: Failed to demonstrate an understanding of desired deliverables

MWBE Plan: Proposed the engagement of Geotek Engineering Co., Inc. (MBE) for Geotechnical Investigation; New South Associates (WBE) for Cultural/Historical Investigation; and Civic Engineering and Information (WBE) for Surveying, Easements, Constructability.

SBE/SDV Plan: Pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors Geotek Engineering Co., Inc. for Geotechnical Investigation and Civic Engineering and Information for Surveying, Easements, Constructability (Geotek Engineering Co., Inc and Civic Engineering are also MWBEs).

A&E Firm: Barge Waggoner Sumner and Cannon, Inc

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope; risk table; relevant project experience of key personnel; strong detailed sustainability requirements

Weaknesses: Failed to demonstrate an understanding of desired deliverables; innovation approach to provide savings for the project lacked detail; organizational chart lacked detail

MWBE Plan: Proposed the engagement of Geotek Engineering Co., Inc. (MBE) for Geotechnical Investigation; New South Associates (WBE) for Cultural Resources Assessment; and Civic Engineering and Information (WBE) GIS Assistance and Surveying.

SBE/SDV Plan: Pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors Civic Engineering & IT, Inc. for GIS Assistance and Surveying, Geotek Engineering Co., Inc. for Geotechnical Investigations and Engineering; New South Associates, Inc. for Cultural Resources Assessment (Civic Engineering, Geotek Engineering Co., and New South Associates, Inc are also MWBEs).

A&E Firm: **HDR Engineering** Selected by Mayor Megan Barry

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope and the desired deliverables; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; detailed innovative approach that would be used in the project to provide savings and improve the value of the finished project; strong detailed organizational chart; relevant project experience of key personnel; strong detailed sustainability requirements

Weaknesses: N/A

MWBE Plan: Proposed the engagement of Development & Environmental Planning (WBE) for Environmental; New South Associates (WBE) for Archaeological / Historical; and Civic Engineering and Information (WBE) Surveying.

SBE/SDV Plan: Pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors Development & Environmental Planning for Environmental and Civic Engineering and Information for Surveying. (Development & Environmental Planning Associates, LLC and Civic Engineering are also MWBEs).

RFQ# 923555 -Hurricane Creek Pipe Improvements-A&E

Evaluation Criteria (Max Points)	HDR Engineering	Arcadis	Barge Waggoner Sumner and Cannon, Inc	Smith Seckman Reid Inc	GRW Engineers Inc
Approach to the Work/Business Plan (50 Points)	50	44	46	42	30
Key Staff(40 Points)	40	40	37	35	30
Sustainability Requirements (5 Points)	5	5	5	4	3
Volume of Clean Water Nashville Overflow Abatement Program Assignments (5 Points)	4.7	4.5	3.8	3.2	3.8
Total (100 Points)	99.70	93.50	91.80	84.20	66.80

Strengths & Weaknesses

HDR Engineering (99.70 Points)

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope and the desired deliverables; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; detailed innovative approach that would be used in the project to provide savings and improve the value of the finished project; strong detailed organizational chart; relevant project experience of key personnel; strong detailed sustainability requirements

Weaknesses: N/A

Arcadis (93.50 Points)

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; strong detailed progressive approach that would be used in the project to provide savings and improve the value of the finished project; model construction options; strong detailed organizational chart; relevant project experience of key personnel; strong detailed sustainability requirements

Weakness: Failed to demonstrate an understanding of desired deliverables

Barge Waggoner Sumner and Cannon, Inc (91.80 Points)

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope; risk table; relevant project experience of key personnel; strong detailed sustainability requirements

Weaknesses: Failed to demonstrate an understanding of desired deliverables; innovation approach to provide savings for the project lacked detail; organizational chart lacked detail

Smith Seckman Reid, Inc (84.20 Points)

Strengths: Risk table; traffic control plan; relevant project experience of key personnel

Weaknesses: Firm's understanding of desired deliverables lacked detail; innovation approach to provide savings for the project lacked detail; organizational chart lacked detail; failed to address the firm's policy for ensuring that the project will be environmentally friendly

GRW Engineering, Inc (66.80 Points)

Weaknesses: Failed demonstrate firm's understanding of desired deliverables; failed to demonstrate firm's understanding of project scope; failed to address functional requirements; organizational chart lacked detail; failed to demonstrate relevant project experience of key personnel; failed to demonstrate firm's policy for ensuring that the project will be environmentally friendly

Volume of Clean Water Nashville Overflow Abatement Program Assignments Scoring Justification

	Project Name	PO Number	PO Total	% of Grand		5-Col F
				Total	(total/Grand Total)*5	
Arcadis	Dodson Chapel Pipe Improvements	309077	\$ 246,960.00			
	Dodson Chapel Pipe Improvements	329294	\$ 38,000.00			
	Shelby Park Rehabilitation - Area 4 - Brush Hill Rd	350726	\$ 309,974.00			
	Total		\$ 594,934.00	11.0%	0.548	4.5
BWSC	Brick Church Pike Pipe Improvements	324501	\$ 996,610.00			
	Cowan Rehabilitation - Area 5 - Youngs Lane	362024	\$ 271,630.00			
	Total		\$ 1,268,240.00	23.4%	1.168	3.8
GRW	Ewing Creek - Brick Church EQ Facility	367143	\$ 940,830.50			
	Shelby Park Rehabilitation - Area 2 - Norvel Ave	322548	\$ 330,240.00			
	Total		\$ 1,271,070.50	23.4%	1.170	3.8
HDR	Smith Springs - Area 1 - Priest Lake Meadows	355702	\$ 297,595.00			
	Total		\$ 297,595.00	5.5%	0.274	4.7
SSR	Davidson Branch Pump Station & EQ Facility	359959	\$ 1,999,194.00			
	Total		\$ 1,999,194.00	36.8%	1.841	3.2
	Grand Total		\$ 5,431,033.50	100.0%		