



METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

DocuSigned by:

D40C3369D77547C...

Request for Mayoral Selection of A&E Firm RFQ 926558, Gibson Creek Equalization Facility

Metro received three (3) proposals for the A&E Review Board to consider. The Review Board submits for review and selection by the Mayor the top three (3) evaluated firms listed below in alphabetical order, accompanied by the Review Board’s summary.

While it is acknowledged that the selection is solely that of the Mayor, it is the Review Board’s recommendation that **Smith Seckman Reid Inc** be considered for this project.

A&E Firm: GRW Engineers Inc

Strengths: Detailed traffic construction plan; detailed plan for project coordination with Clean Water Nashville program; strong innovative approach for overall project savings; relevant project experience of key personnel and team members

Weaknesses: Firm's functional requirements regarding the solicitation lacked detail; innovation approach to provide savings for the project lacked detail; failed to address the firm's policy for ensuring that the project will be environmentally friendly; failed to address flow variation for the project; firm's summary of understanding the project scope and desired deliverables lacked detail; organizational chart lacked detail; QA/QC experience is not relevant experience

MWBE Plan: Proposed the engagement of New South Associates (WBE) for Cultural Resources Assessment; KS Ware & Associates LLC (WBE) for Geotechnical Investigation; Civil Infrastructure Associates (WBE) for Surveying, Sites, Permitting; and Wilmot, Inc (WBE) for Sustainability.

SBE/SDV Plan: Pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors: KS Ware and Associates LLC for Geotechnical Investigation; Civil Infrastructure Associates for Surveying, Sites and Permitting; Third Rock Consultants for Environmental Services; Wilmot, Inc for Sustainability; and Edge Planning, Landscape Architecture & Graphic Design for Landscape Architecture (KS Ware and Associates LLC, Civil Infrastructure Associates, Third Rock Consultants, and Wilmot Inc are also MWBEs).

A&E Firm: Hazen and Sawyer

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope and desired deliverables; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; detailed plan

submission process; detailed site design; strong organizational chart; relevant project experience of key personnel

Weaknesses: Failed to address traffic control plan; firm's policy for ensuring that the project will be environmentally friendly lacked detail; failed to address scope of work action items; section regarding Equalization Pump Station lacked detail; team members lacked relevant project experience

MWBE Plan: Proposed the engagement of Ashworth Environmental Design LLC (WBE) for Landscape Architecture; Connico Inc. (WBE) for Construction Cost Estimates; KS Ware & Associates LLC (WBE) for Geotechnical Services.

SBE/SDV Plan: Pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors: Ashworth Environmental Design LLC for Landscape Architecture, Connico Inc. for Construction Cost Estimates; KS Ware and Associates LLC for Geotechnical Investigation; and James + Associates for Site Survey, Civil/Site Design and Associated Permitting (Ashworth Environmental Design LLC, Connico Inc, KS Ware and Associates LLC, are also MWBEs).

A&E Firm: Smith Seckman Reid Inc

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; detailed flood elevation plan; detailed Equalization Pump Station project approach; risk table; strong detailed sustainability approach; strong organizational chart; relevant project experience of key personnel and team members

Weaknesses: Failed to address to control plan; firm's demonstration of desired deliverables lacked detail; failed to address firm's approach for project coordination with Clean Water Nashville program; failed to address flow variation process; failed to list professional discipline for team members

MWBE Plan: Proposed the engagement of New South Associates (WBE) for Cultural Resources; Connico Inc. (WBE) for Construction Cost Estimates; Geotek Engineering Co. (MBE) for Geotechnical Services; and Hawkins Partners, Inc. (WBE) for Landscape Architecture.

SBE/SDV Plan: Pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors: BDY Environmental for Site Assessment and Endangered Species; Connico Inc. for Construction Cost Estimates; JV Engineering for Civil Engineering; James Terry & Associates for Surveying; Barge Cauthen & Associates, Inc for Civil Engineering; Hawkins Partners,

Inc for Landscape Architecture; and Geotek Engineering Co for Geotechnical Services (Connico Inc, Hawkins Partners, and Geotek are also MWBEs).

RFQ# 926558 -Gibson Creek Equalization Facility-A&E			
Evaluation Criteria (Max Points)	GRW Engineers Inc	Hazen and Sawyer	Smith Seckman Reid Inc
Approach to the Work/Business Plan (50 Points)	38	44	45
Key Staff(40 Points)	37	33	36
Volume of Clean Water Nashville Overflow Abatement Program Assignments (10 Points)	7.1	7.5	5.4
Total (100 Points)	82.10	84.50	86.40
Strengths & Weaknesses			

GRW Engineers Inc (82.10 Points)

Strengths: Detailed traffic construction plan; detailed plan for project coordination with Clean Water Nashville program; relevant project experience for pump stations and equalization basin projects

Weaknesses: Firm's functional requirements regarding the solicitation lacked detail; innovation approach to provide savings for the project lacked detail; failed to address the firm's policy for ensuring that the project will be environmentally friendly; failed to address flow variation for the project; firm's summary of understanding the project scope and desired deliverables lacked detail; organizational chart lacked detail

Hazen and Sawyer (84.50 Points)

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project scope and desired deliverables; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; detailed plan submission process; detailed site design; detailed organizational chart; relevant project experience for pump stations and equalization basin projects

Weaknesses: Failed to address traffic control plan; firm's policy for ensuring that the project will be environmentally friendly lacked detail; section regarding equalization pump station lacked detail; team members lacked relevant equalization project experience

Smith Seckman Reid Inc (86.40 Points)

Strengths: Strong detailed summary of firm's understanding of the project; detailed functional requirements of the solicitation; detailed flood elevation plan; detailed Equalization Pump Station project approach; risk table; strong detailed sustainability approach; detailed organizational chart; relevant project experience for pump stations and equalization basin projects

Weaknesses: Failed to address to control plan; firm's demonstration of desired deliverables lacked detail; failed to address firm's approach for project coordination with Clean Water Nashville program; failed to address flow variation process; failed to list professional discipline for team members

Gibson Creek Equalization Facilities Designer Volume of Work as of 4/19/16 PO's

	Project Name	PO Number	PO Total	% of Grand Total	(total/Grand Total)*10	10-Col F
GRW	Ewing Creek - Brick Church EQ Facility	367143	\$ 940,830.50			
	Shelby Park Rehabilitation - Area 2 - Norvel Ave	322548	\$ 330,240.00			
	Total		<u>\$ 1,271,070.50</u>	29.1%	2.91	7.1
Hazen	Cowan Riverside Rehab - Area 3 - West Trinity	351750	\$ 304,483.44			
	West Park EQ Facility Phase II	300947	\$ 795,681.00			
	Total		<u>\$ 1,100,164.44</u>	25.2%	2.52	7.5
SSR	Davidson Branch Pump Station & EQ Facility	359959	\$ 1,999,194.00			
	Total		<u>\$ 1,999,194.00</u>	45.7%	4.57	5.4
Grand Total			<u>\$ 4,370,428.94</u>	100.0%		

RFQ 926558 Point Value - 10 points

PNP Compliance Results Form

Department Name: Water Services
RFP/ITB Number: 926558
Project Name: Gibson Creek Equalization Facility - A&E

Primary Contractor	PNP Compliant (Yes/No)	Determination Comments/% of Participation Proposed or Bid
Smith Seckman Reid, Inc.	YES	Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to four certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of New South Associates (WBE) for Cultural Resources; Connico Inc. (WBE) for Construction Cost Estimates; Geotek Engineering Co. (MBE) for Geotechnical Services; and Hawkins Partners, Inc. (WBE) for Landscape Architecture which is consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.
Hazen and Sawyer	YES	Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to three certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of Ashworth Environmental Design LLC (WBE) for Landscape Architecture; Connico Inc. (WBE) for Construction Cost Estimates; KS Ware & Associates LLC (WBE) for Geotechnical Services which is consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.
GRW Engineers Inc	YES	Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to four certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of New South Associates (WBE) for Cultural Resources Assessment; KS Ware & Associates LLC (WBE) for Geotechnical Investigation; Civil Infrastructure Associates (WBE) for Surveying, Sites, Permitting; and Wilmot, Inc (WBE) for Sustainability which is consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

*Denotes Contractor with whom follow up was required
 Date: 05/13/16
 Metro Buyer: Genario Pittman
 BAO Rep: Flake Hudson

BAO SBE Assessment Sheet

BAO Specialist: Flake Hudson
 Contract Specialist: Genario Pittman
 Date: 05/13/2016
 Department Name: Water Services
 RFP/ITB Number: 926558

Project Name: Gibson Creek Equalization Facility - A&E

Primary Contractor:	SBEs approved?	Comments
Smith Seckman Reid, Inc.	YES	SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors BDY Environmental for Site Assessment and Endangered Species; Connico Inc. for Construction Cost Estimates; JV Engineering for Civil Engineering; James Terry & Associates for Surveying; Barge Cauthen & Associates, Inc for Civil Engineering; Hawkins Partners, Inc for Landscape Architecture; and Geotek Engineering Co for Geotechnical Services which is consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.
Hazen and Sawyer	YES	SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors Ashworth Environmental Design LLC for Landscape Architecture, Connico Inc. for Construction Cost Estimates; KS Ware and Associates LLC for Geotechnical Investigation; and James + Associates for Site Survey, Civil/Site Design and Associated Permitting which is consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.
GRW Engineers Inc.	YES	SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer pledged 15% participation of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed the engagement of SBE subcontractors KS Ware and Associates LLC for Geotechnical Investigation; Civil Infrastructure Associates for Surveying, Sites and Permitting; Third Rock Consultants for Environmental Services; Wilmot, Inc for Sustainability; and Edge Planning, Landscape Architecture & Graphic Design for Landscape Architecture which is consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

Column Headings

Shaded cells in columns E and G are formula driven and should not be changed

White cells with text are fields that you can edit.

Gray cells with bold text contain formulas that can not be changed.