Comments on August 10, 2017 Planning Commission agenda items, received August 5-8

Items 1a/b/c, Madison Community Plan Amendment/1201 Neely's Bend SP/Odom Sausage Company PUD Cancellation

From: Lone Oak Farm [mailto:bamboo4you@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 7:55 PM

To: Shepard, Shawn (Planning); Sanders, Dara (Planning) **Subject:** Former Odom's Tennessee Pride Sausage property

I am writing the letter to voice my concerns about the development of the former Odom's Tennessee Pride Sausage property on Neely's Bend Road in Madison, TN. I was born and have lived in Madison, TN all of my life. Many years ago, I chose to settle, make my living, and raise my family in Neely's Bend. I am a farmer and chose this area due to the rich farm land and the low density housing. Many people have chosen Neely's Bend for these same reasons. We do not want small yards with houses grouped on top of one another and retail stores mixed with our houses. If we had wanted this, we would have chosen to live in town in the middle of the congestion of a city.

Neely's Bend Road has for many years been considered a very dangerous road due to the volume of traffic. Additional traffic on this road and in this community will only create more hazards. The extra traffic will also cause a considerable time delay for the current residents to travel to work and school. We can not handle more traffic in Neely's Bend.

We don't want or need retail stores in the middle of our residential community. This will only cause additional traffic congestion.

If the developers want to build houses on this property, they must use the current zoning with no changes.

I urge you to vote NO to any zone changes for this property.

Thank you for your help,

Joe E. Willis

2219 Neely's Bend Road

Madison, TN 37115

615-865-9933

Items 2a/b, Green Hills-Midtown Community Plan Amendment/Alexander SP

From: Lester Smith [mailto:pawles22@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 11:54 AM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: Agenda items 2a and 2b: 2017CP-010-002 and 2017 2SP-045-001

I ask you to vote against these two items, one an amendment to the Community Plan and one a spot zoning change. We have a Plan intended to avoid exactly what is being requested and I feel we should leave the Plan intact. The second item is clearly spot zoning at its worst. Green Hills needs the protection of the Community Plan as it stands.

Lester Smith

500 Elmington Ave, Apt 219

Nashville, 37205

From: Ann Galante [mailto:diva.ber81@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, August 05, 2017 9:27 AM

To: Planning Commissioners **Subject:** SP 2017 SP-045-001

I am truly opposed to the commercial zoning of the property at 2041 overhill Dr. There shouldn't be any commercial zoning south of overhill. I live right behind the Alexander. It is not well maintained and used as STR property already. The owner is never there .Please do not allow this to go through. Thank you

From: Barbara A. Lesch [mailto:balesch@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, August 05, 2017 8:45 PM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: Rezoning of residential to commercial property

I am very opposed to changing residential property to commercial property at the corner of Hillsboro Road and Overhill. I believe it sets a precedent for allowing other developers or home owners to begin asking for variances for property from Residential to Commercial. We are crowded enough in residential and commercial traffic in Green Hills without adding more development.

We no longer have appropriate building set backs for landscaping and sidewalks and for turn lanes. Please maintain at least some of the character of this city of ours.

Barbara A. Lesch 4104 Estes Road Nashville, TN. 37215

From: Wood, Nicki [mailto:Nicki.Wood@healthstream.com]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 10:24 AM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: altering the Green Hills-Midtown Community plan on Overhill

As a lifelong resident of this neighborhood, I've seen several attempts to "jump the boundary" at this corner, including threats by atty Tom White to site a Burger King on the corner if he couldn't get multifamily zoning back in the last 1990s. I understand that Green Hills is becoming more densely zoned, but I think rezoning here will ultimately open the western blocks of Overhill to multi-family housing, which is out of character in this neighborhood, and will pour even more traffic onto the streets, which are already at capacity.

I'm asking the MPC to deny this rezoning request.

Nicki Pendleton Wood

HealthStream, Inc.

209 10th Avenue S. - Suite 450

Nashville, TN 37203

615-843-6195

nicki.wood@healthstream.com

www.healthstream.com

From: Tommy Bainbridge [mailto:tbainbridge@Parthenonins.com]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 10:45 AM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: Please do not add to the ruination of green hills by spot re zoning the property on overkill drive.this would only add to the impossible situation we already have in the area, An d would set a

dangerous precedent.

From: Mary W. Francis [mailto:bluwaboo@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 11:33 AM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: The Alexander condo property in Green Hills

Dear Members of the Metro Nashville Planning Commission,

I submit the following thoughts for your serious consideration re:

Agenda Item 2a 2017CP-010-002

A request to amend the Green Hills-Midtown Community Plan from T3 Suburban Residential Corridor (T3-RC) Policy to Transition (TR) Policy on property located at 2041 Overhill Drive, at the southeast corner of Overhill Drive and Hillsboro Pike, zoned Multi-Family Residential (RM20) (approximately 0.43 acres).

Agenda Item 2B 2017-2SP-045-001

A request to rezone the Alexander condos (corner of Overhill Drive and Hillsboro Pike across from the Exxon) from Multi-Family Residential (RM20) to Specific Plan-Mixed Use (SP-MU) zoning on property located at 2041 Overhill Drive, (0.43 acres), to permit medical office and five multi-family residential units.

The Green Hills Community Plan establishes various areas for various land use and zoning purposes. Streets and roads offer boundaries for these purposes. This request to jump the boundary and change one piece of property on that block from T3-RC to TR and spot zone one piece of property for the sole purpose of allowing a business sets a dangerous precedent.

I feel the Community Plan should stand firm on boundaries between businesses and residential. If the MPC approves the request to rezone from RM20 to SP-MU, this will irreparably damage the ability of Green Hills to remain a viable, livable community as time goes on. This type of willy-nilly, piece-meal, hodge-podge development ruins the integrity, appearance and viability of a quality neighborhood such as Green Hills. As a long-time Nashvillian, who has also lived in other large cities such as Denver, Colorado; Santa Monica and Manhattan Beach, California, I can attest to the fact that this type of planning and development will ruin our neighborhood and destroy the uniqueness of our community. I strongly request that this amendment to the Community Plan, as well as the request to rezone one piece of property, be voted down. Please use forward-thinking and not the "just to the tip of your nose" thinking. Expedient, short-term decisions often mean long term regrets.

Thank you for your attention and consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

Maryland W. Francis

Nashville, TN

From: Susan Waller [mailto:shwaller@bellsouth.net]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 2:34 PM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: OPPOSE Agenda Item 2a 2017CP-010-002 AND Agenda Item 2B 2017-2SP-045-001

I oppose both of the above rezoning requests above. They do not meet the current zoning plans – why have a plan if the Zoning Commission continues to approve what seems like every request for variance. Green Hills as a residential community is being eroded by all the commercial "creep" and the traffic is already unbearable and has a reputation as a part of the city to avoid. Please do not contribute further to this.

Agenda Item 2a 2017CP-010-002

A request to amend the Green Hills-Midtown Community Plan from T3 Suburban Residential Corridor (T3-RC) Policy to Transition (TR) Policy on property located at 2041 Overhill Drive, at the southeast corner of Overhill Drive and Hillsboro Pike, zoned Multi-Family Residential (RM20) (approximately 0.43 acres).

Agenda Item 2B 2017-2SP-045-001

A request to rezone the Alexander condos (corner of Overhill Drive and Hillsboro Pike across from the Exxon) from Multi-Family Residential (RM20) to Specific Plan-Mixed Use (SP-MU) zoning on property located at 2041 Overhill Drive, (0.43 acres), to permit medical office and five multi-family residential units.

Susan Waller

413 Charlesgate Ct.

37215

615-385-0011

From: Barbara Kimmins [mailto:bkimmins1@comcast.net]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 2:43 PM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: The Alexander -2017SP-045-001 - 2041 Overhill Drive

Please note my opposition to the commercial zoning request at the above property, and request that no commercial property be allowed south of Overhill Drive. I am unable to attend today's meeting. I appreciate your consideration.

Barbara Kimmins 4202 Hood Avenue

From: John Coleman [mailto:jec15@comcast.net]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 4:02 PM

To: Planning Commissioners

Subject: "Re: The Alexander Rezoning Attempt SP 2017SP-045-001

I am very much opposed to the rezoning effort of the above property at 2041 Overhill Drive.

John E. Coleman, CPA (615) 293-0199 From: Heidi Welch [mailto:welchart4@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 7:05 PM **To:** Planning Commissioners; Heidi Welch

Subject: Oppose 2017CP-010-002 and 2017-2SP-045-001

Dear Planning Commission,

Please oppose the two below requests on August 10, 2017. This is a residential area, not a business area, and the zoning should not be approved allowing businesses to move in. This is not appropriate for this area.

Here are the two items:

Agenda Item 2a 2017CP-010-002

A request to amend the Green Hills-Midtown Community Plan from T3 Suburban Residential Corridor (T3-RC) Policy to Transition (TR) Policy on property located at 2041 Overhill Drive, at the southeast corner of Overhill Drive and Hillsboro Pike, zoned Multi-Family Residential (RM20) (approximately 0.43 acres).

Agenda Item 2B 2017-2SP-045-001

A request to rezone the Alexander condos (corner of Overhill Drive and Hillsboro Pike across from the Exxon) from Multi-Family Residential (RM20) to Specific Plan-Mixed Use (SP-MU) zoning on property located at 2041 Overhill Drive, (0.43 acres), to permit medical office and five multi-family residential units.

Thanks.

Heidi Welch

welchart4@gmail.com

"In all things of nature there is something of the marvelous." – Artistotle

From: Sally Bradshaw [mailto:jamesjcbiv@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 11:28 PM

To: Planning Commissioners; Pulley, Russ (Council Member) **Subject:** The Ever Ridiculous Alexander aka The Frog

As if in a kitschy film noir, The Alexander aka The Frog will shortly come before you seeking to become ever more ridiculous. Leave John Waters in Hampden and out of Green Hills. That heap would, in a reasonable environment, never have been allowed to come into existence. Resist this latest entreaty. Don't enable greed, foolishness, poor planning and worse taste. Sarah Bradshaw

Item 6, Twin Hills SP

From: Caleigh Weatherup [mailto:weatherc1623@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 11:18 AM

To: Planning Commissioners **Subject:** Twin Hills SP

The community of Enclave at Twin Hills is in support of SP from the number of homes but still has several concerns as to the roadways and traffic implications for our community. We would like to further explore other options for right of way to the new property, specifically East Hill Drive. We are in support of the rezoning to SP, however not supportive of connecting through Val Marie Drive.

Regards,

Caleigh Weatherup

2552 Val Marie Drive

From: Mark England [mailto:<u>mwengland@gmail.com</u>]

Sent: Friday, August 04, 2017 9:40 AM

To: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning) **Subject:** Case 2017SP-053-001

Dear Ms. Birkeland,

Regarding 2017SP-053-001 (Twin Hills), you recommended disapproval based on housing density being too high. This was based on recorded acreage of about 17 acres. Domas Partners requested deferral to the next meeting and submitted a survey showing 19 acres. Have you accepted their survey as valid? Are you now recommending approval or disapproval. Thanks in advance.

--

Mark England

2301 Marsha Dr., Madison, TN 37115

636-251-0704

On Mon, Aug 7, 2017 at 8:28 AM, Birkeland, Latisha (Planning) <Latisha.Birkeland@nashville.gov> wrote:

Hi Mark,

Please see the attached staff report regarding the Twin Hills SP. The acreage has been updated as we have accepted a valid survey. We are still recommending disapproval

Thanks,

Latisha

From: Mark England [mailto:mwengland@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 10:33 AM

To: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning) **Subject:** Re: Case 2017SP-053-001

Thank you for your response and for your recommendation of disapproval. Both adjoining subdivisions are upset about the proposed plan. The plan will create a neighborhood out of character with existing ones and the increased traffic will negatively impact safety (we have no sidewalks or street lights) and, we believe, home values.

From: Mark England [mailto:mwengland@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 9:29 AM

To: Planning Commissioners; Pardue, Doug (Council Member)

Cc: Carol England; Lori Montmeny; Chris And Megan

Subject: 79 Citizens Sign Petition Opposing Connection of Proposed Twin Hills Development To North

Hills Estates

Please read the attached letter and petitions documenting the opposition of residents of North Hills Estates to the connection of their neighborhood to the proposed Twin Hills development, 2017 SP-053-001. Thank you for your consideration.

--

Mark England

(two attachments follow)

Mr. Greg Adkins

Ms. Burkley Allen

Ms. Lillian M. Blackshear

Ms Brenda Diaz-Flores

Ms Jessica Farr

Mr. Ron Gobbell

Ms. Jennifer Hagan-Dier

Mr. Jeff Haynes

Dr. Pearl Sims

Mr. Brian Tibbs

Councilman Doug Pardue:

The residents of North Hills Estates, Madison, TN, oppose the construction of a roadway connecting our neighborhood to the proposed Twin Hills development. Nearly every household in our neighborhood has signed a petition stating their opposition. Our concerns are safety, quality of life, property values, and preservation of neighborhood character.

Safety

The proposed connector would subject us to heavy through-traffic from far beyond our neighborhood, creating a grave safety hazard. Our streets have no sidewalks, no shoulders, no lights. With the proposed roadway, our residents could no longer safely navigate the neighborhood. Our special-needs child, our families with strollers, our children on bikes, our joggers, and our many elderly neighbors would all be at risk.

Quality of Life; Property Values

For more than 50 years, North Hills Estates has been characterized as a safe, quiet and desirable place to live. Unacceptable safety hazards and noise levels from the proposed connector would rob us of that identity. Our quality of life would diminish and our property values decrease.

Preservation of Neighborhood Character

We are a neighborhood of older, mostly one-story, brick homes on one+ acre lots with large setbacks. The proposed Twin Hills development consists of new, two-story, sided homes on quarter-acre lots with small setbacks. The two neighborhoods are incongruent. We ask you to honor your commitment to preserve distinctive neighborhood character by opposing the unnatural connection of these two dissimilar developments.

There is no compelling reason to build a connector between North Hills Estate and the proposed Twin Hills development, but every reason to oppose it. We urge you to disapprove a Twin Hills-North Hills connector, as well as any future connections that would bring high levels of traffic to impair our safety, diminish our quality of life, decrease our property values and destroy the character of our neighborhood.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Residents of North Hills Estates

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
Marcha Quentes	MARTHA FUENTES	359 Cumberland Tiles Da
Sal Fuentes	SAL FUENTES	355 Combelow Hille De
William Swan	William Same	27
Consie Swann	Com Sum	11 1
Simeon Stanu	Sheon So	11 41
Lordon Summ	Lorda San	4 4
Denn Ox	Denise Dant	363 Cumbriand Hills Dr.
Jon Don	Deate Steven	863 Comperty thilles
Dean Whitnes	Deanthall	358 Combulands
Com Rents	CoolDa	11 11
Duyden Ving men	Theodore Montmeny	401 Cumberland Hills

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
C Ellard	CEWARD	367 CUMBERLAND WILLS
Girdy Culver	Emily Culver	351 Cumberland Hills
De C	Jordan Culver	351 Cumberland Hills
Southantming	LOR, Montmeny	401 Cumberland Hills
Sue Studient	Sue Sturdivant	352 Cumber land Hills
Stephane Studient	Stephanie Sturdivant	352 Cumberland Hills
John Studient of	John Sturdhart Dr	352 Cumberland Hills
photo I	Northe Mentmen	401 Comberland Hills
Carry Marting	Courtney montmeny	401 cumberland Hills
Marrie (sarrath	- Klara Garret	4 401 cumberland

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
d Dr	Eduin Gereon	108 northside
De la companya della	500n A C	2/13 /08 Noth
Julio Ti	Victorina ON-13-	108 northsid-e-
Spel-fi	Jose L. Garcia	108 northside DR
Mychael Romin	Michael Romine	2200 marsha Dr.
Cylial Thewell	Tulia N Tidwe	
Tong Lill	Rex Tidwell	W " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
Victo Morrison	lickle Morrison	2204 MarshaDr
Lynn Williams	LINN Williams	2205 Marsha Dr.
	Chal McNatt	205 Northside Dr.

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
Carol S. England	Carol S. England	2301 Marsha Dr.
J. Frank Rumble	J. Frank Rumble	2305 Marsha Dr.
Lone Rumble	Lona Rumble	2305 Marsha Dr.
Mary Burnette	Mary Burnette	213 Northside Dr.
For Burnette	Tom Burnette	213 Northside Dr.
angona & Report	Amazonia Roberts	301 Northside Dr.
Patricia Sortth	Patricia Smith	208 Northsile Dr
	GLENN DAVE SMINS	208 Northside Dr
	ach Thomas Roaden	2304 Marsha Dr.
		2300 MAYSKA

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
CARL WALKER	CARI Walher	2301 East Holl DR
Tracy Walkin	W. Tray Wall	2305 East Hill Dr.
Deruld Life	Donald L. FLoyd	23/3 E. H.// Dr.
Dosothy Floyd	Dorothy Floyd	2313 E. Hill Dr.
		23148agTH:1111
Billy Lamberth Carol Houchis	CAROL Houcitin	2310 EAST HILL DI
Sylvia Capps	Sylvia Capps	400 Northside Drive
Athleps	Treona Phillips	418 Northside Dr
The Park	Trinity Phillips	416 Northside DK
Luis Fernando Guerro	Luis F. G.	422 Northside DR

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
5. Milled	Sara McNat	205 Northside Dr. Madisanth
Pepus Slaves	Reyna Flores	209 Northisde
S. Tr. SMall	LaTinga Medley	305 Morth Side Dr
Paul haurence	/	305 North Side Dr
Wallace Caupenter	WALLACE CARPATER	2300 STRINGBRANCH DR.
	uten Barbara Carpenter	2300 Springbrandn Dr.
Clar Jann	Alan Jarman	308 Northside Dy
Meganuleigs	Megan Weigel	405 amperland Hilsa
Chris Weigel	Chris Weigel	408 Cumberland Hills Dr
•		

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
Mark England	Mark England	2301 Marshallr
(fleel Head)	ODELL HEAD TR	112 MORTHSIDE
Delecca & Hat	REBEECE HEAD	112 NORTHSIDE
Muranda Ylead	MIRANDA HEAD	112 NORTHSIDE
Melun Morrison	MelvIN MORRISON	2304 MARSHA DR.
Ray Clarence	RAY CLAUNCH	204 NORTHSIDE DA
	PENNY CLAUNCH	
Hose Marie Dukson	Rose Marie Dickson	2205 PAULA DR
Charles Dahr	- CHARLES Dickson	2205 PAULA Dr.

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
Marilyn F. Pilley	MarilyNF. Pilley	401 Northside Dry
Tony Yel	Tony Yeh	40/ Northside Dr
Joye H. Hood	Joye Hood &	1313 Spring Br. Dr.
They Stulled	Miko Stribbon	2314 Spin Branch Drive
Kully Strickland	Kethy Strickland &	
Tallace Corper	ter 2306 Spen	Agbard, Da.
Martha Shal	son 408 North	side d'.
		,

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address
asta	CARMENSTINSON	40 jumberland Holls Dr.
Bryan Marliney	Bryan Mantmery	401 Combolard Hills D-
Bayllan	BARRY VANCE	371 Conster and Hills
Sindra Walter	Sondra Walker	200 Horthside Deve
P. 1		

Item 8, Amanda K. Berry Land, Resub Lot 2 Subdivision Amendment

From: Lucas Chesnut [mailto:lucas@craftsmanresidential.com] **Sent:** Thursday, July 27, 2017 8:06 AM **To:** Birkeland, Latisha (Planning) **Subject:** Case 2017S-147-001 Latisha, I had a community meeting last night where 3 nearby neighbors attended. 2 of the three have written in support this morning on our project (see attachments). They had previously wrote letters against our project. I am meeting with the other attendee next week again after he has reconsidered our request. He did not leave the meeting in opposition nor in favor but did say he would reconsider. I will relay the result of this meeting next week. I believe our efforts reflect the true spirit of the planning process where notices lead to neighbor comments that lead to meetings which can lead to a good compromise. I would ask that the planning staff review these facts and reconsider their position as our project does fit the historical landscape of the neighborhood. Thanks, Lucas Chesnut **Partner** Craftsman Residential 270-350-6106 www.gocraftsman.com (two attachments follow)



Lucas Chesnut < lucas@craftsmanresidential.com>

Case 2017S-147-001 - 838 Kirkwood Avenue

Mary Mitchell <mmitchell2004@bellsouth.net>
To: planningstaff@nashville.gov
Cc: Lucas Chesnut <lucas@craftsmanresidential.com>

Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 10:47 AM

Dear Nashville Metro Planning Staff,

I recently attended a community meeting at 838 Kirkwood with the developer and owner of the property to discuss their request to remove a platted setback at 838 Kirkwood for their planned construction of two homes on the property.

While I had previously written to the commission in opposition to their request, I am now in favor of their request and would ask the commission to vote to approve their request. The homes they have planned if their request is granted would be preferred over the taller, skinner structures they would build if not granted.

Sincerely,

Mary Mitchell 2815A West Kirkwood Ave



Lucas Chesnut < lucas@craftsmanresidential.com>

FW: Variance 838 Kirkwood Ave. - 2nd request for info

Sledge, Colby (Council Member) <Colby.Sledge@nashville.gov>

Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 9:25 AM

To: Lucas Chesnut < lucas@craftsmanresidential.com>

If you want to submit to Planning, received this this morning. How did things go last night?

Colby

From: Karla DesPrez [desprezkarla@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 8:46 AM To: Sledge, Colby (Council Member)

Subject: Re: Variance 838 Kirkwood Ave. - 2nd request for info

Yes, please forward my message. According to the builder they just need 10 feet of the the 30 ft setback for the designs they're proposing. It was very helpful to see the designs and I'm hoping other neighbors with concerns have seen them as well.

Thank you! Karla DesPrez 825 Kirkwood Ave

Karla DesPrez | DesPrez Design | 825 Kirkwood Ave | Nashville, TN 37204 | Cell 615.294.5691

On Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 7:00 AM, Sledge, Colby (Council Member) <Colby.Sledge@nashville.gov< mailto:Colby.Sledge@nashville.gov>> wrote:

If you're OK with it, I could forward your comments to Planning so they have them as part of the review packet. Otherwise, I don't think you'd need to do anything else!

Colby

From: Karla DesPrez [mailto:desprezkarla@gmail.com<mailto:desprezkarla@gmail.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 4:15 PM To: Sledge, Colby (Council Member)

Subject: Re: Variance 838 Kirkwood Ave. - 2nd request for info

My husband actually met the builder a while ago and he gave him a layout of the two options, with and without the variance. I agree with allowing the variance and am grateful that the design of the homes looks like it fits in with the others around us, including ours! I actually like the design better of the ones that can be built with the 10 foot variance.

It is now looking iffy for me to attend the meeting tonight and I just wanted to weigh in as a neighbor directly across the street. Does that matter and do I need to contact someone?

Thanks Colby