

Comments on December 14, 2017 Planning Commission agenda items, received through December 8

Item 2, Earhart Subdivision SP

From: Dave [mailto:dafyddmj@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2017 7:09 PM
To: Planning Commissioners
Subject: Deny the request for 2016SP-062-001 EARHART SUBDIVISION

To the Planning Commission:

I am a resident of Lakeside Meadows, which is a development near the end of Earhart Rd. I am writing you about the proposed zoning change for this proposed development on Earhart. http://maps.nashville.gov/MPC/2016SP-062-001_plan.pdf

which you will be evaluating on December 14, 2017

Earhart Rd is a small two-lane country road that is already overloaded by previous development and by traffic to Seven Points park. There are several proposed developments along Earhart -- all of these developments are ill-advised not only for traffic reasons, but also all other infrastructure elements of the neighborhood and quality of life for existing residents.

In addition the intersection of Earhart Rd and Central Pike is dangerously overloaded with traffic attempting to enter Central Pike. The zoning committee must also consider the increased traffic new development on Earhart Rd will create for the one of the most dangerous intersections in Davidson County: Central Pike and Old Hickory Blvd.

It would be unconscionable and a dereliction of duties to approve multi-family development in MPC/2016SP-062-001.

It would be in the best interest of the Earhart neighborhood to deny completely the application for this development -- and ultimately for all other proposed developments on Earhart.

I urge you to vote no on MPC/2016SP-062-001.

2016SP-062-001
EARHART SUBDIVISION

David M Jones

2757 Alvin Sperry Pass

Mount Juliet, TN 37122 (but in Davidson County)

Item 8, Riverview at Cumberland Hills

From: Kelly Mendenhall [<mailto:kellymendenhall@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 06, 2017 8:09 AM
To: Planning Commissioners
Subject: Oppose Twin Hill development

Hello, I oppose the Twin Hills development . Reinstate Special Policy 04-T3-NM-02-IA01 to preserve the character of the North Hills Estates neighborhood.
Not to mention, I leave on the dead end road that the construction trucks will come through . Also there is a deer crossing in this area which concerns me additional construction traffic .
Thank you for hearing us !
Sent from my iPhone

Item 10, 4 properties S of E Trinity Lane

From: Christy Grace [mailto:christy.h.grace@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 11:37 AM
To: Planning Commissioners; Davis, Scott (Council Member); Robert Grace
Subject: item 8 on Consent Agenda - 2017Z-037PR-001

Commissioners,

I strongly oppose this rezoning proposal. It is far too controversial and complicated to be on the consent agenda. The existing infrastructure and parking is inadequate. The environmental impact is unstudied but likely to be significant. That level of density is absolutely not in keeping with existing character in the neighborhood and threatens quality of life and property values for existing residents. It creates a terrible tax burden on residents in that area who wish to stay and essentially guarantees pricing out current working class residents.

There is an utter absence of community involvement in this proposal and our Councilman has not solicited input from the neighborhood association. Further, the huge swath of land to be rezoned without any specific developer or plans is in all frankness, a lazy way to make it easy on future developers and impossible for the community to engage with each proposed new development that could come to that area in the future.

I appreciate your time,

Christy Grace

1603 Lischey Ave

From: Gordon Stacy Harmon [mailto:stacy@easeuptravel.com]
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 2:35 PM
To: Planning Commissioners
Cc: Davis, Scott (Council Member)
Subject: 2017Z-037PR-001

I reside at 1826 Joy Circle and am part of the Highland Heights Neighbors association. A sizeable section of this rezoning proposal sits in our neighborhood which is generally RS-5. We already have three projects proposed that will significantly increase density in our

neighborhood putting strain on infrastructure, increasing traffic, and impacting the quality of life that we've come to enjoy.

By rezoning such a large section of land, developers will be able to skip any review and interaction by the Planning Commission thus negating the need for the SP district zoning. Projects with a density of up to 20 units per acre would just need a permit for construction, and neighbors would see even larger parts of the neighborhood be razed in the rush and race to build more and more units.

I stand opposed to this rezoning and request the Commission deny this request.

Gordon Stacy Harmon, CHS

Your Personal Travel Professional

Ease-Up! Travel Services

(615) JET-SAND (538-7263)

From: Davis, Ashonti [mailto:DavisA17@aetna.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 11:21 AM
To: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning)
Subject: Item 13 on the Consent Agenda - 2017Z-037PR-001

Hi, Ms. Birkeland,

I ask that the above-referenced item (2017Z-037PR-001) be removed from the Consent Agenda. For ease of reference, I attached my previous letter reiterating concerns to the proposed rezoning plan that have not yet been addressed by our elected Councilmember. Also, will the previous letters submitted on this proposed rezoning be included in the record?

I appreciate your help.

Warmest Thanks,

Ashonti

Ashonti T. Davis

Counsel

Aetna Senior Supplemental Insurance

800 Crescent Centre Drive, Suite 200

Franklin, TN 37067

Phone: 615-807-7655

Email: davisa17@aetna.com

(Previous correspondence follows)

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Birkeland, Latisha (Planning)" <Latisha.Birkeland@nashville.gov>

Date: September 14, 2017 at 10:43:34 AM EDT

To: "'Davis, Ashonti'" <DavisA17@aetna.com>

Subject: RE: Item 8 on Consent Agenda - 2017Z-037PR-001

Ashonti,

Just an FYI...Councilmember Davis had requested deferral of case number 2017Z-037PR-001 to the 10/12 MPC meeting.

Thank you,

Latisha

From: Davis, Ashonti [<mailto:DavisA17@aetna.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2017 4:04 PM
To: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning)
Cc: Ashonti Davis
Subject: RE: Item 8 on Consent Agenda - 2017Z-037PR-001

Dear Ms. Birkeland,

I am requesting that Item 8, No. 2017Z-037PR-001 be removed from the Consent Agenda. I would like to reiterate my concerns about the adverse effects of the proposed rezoning to the existing neighborhood, including, but not limited to:

- Increase in Traffic
- Inadequate Parking
- Inadequate Infrastructure
- Detrimental Environmental impact

The proposed rezoning is akin to redlining in that it cherry picks and carves out certain portions of an entire neighborhood for rezoning without any justification. For instance, the proposed rezoning of the selected portion of Edwin Street primarily consists of single-family homes on .18 acres. The proposed rezoning of Edwin Street (and surrounding streets) does not account for the existing character of the neighborhood, nor does it include the 2 other blocks that encompass Edwin Street. Further, the proposed rezoning ignores the narrow nature of the existing streets and the fact that the existing topography of the neighborhood cannot support the additional density. It also appears there is a complete lack of oversight on the impact the additional traffic will have on the existing narrow and quiet streets where people frequently walk and children play. As the map indicates, the proposed rezoning does not involve Marshall Street, Pullen Avenue, Gatewood Avenue, and Joy Avenue – all streets with similar composition to the streets proposed for rezoning. It begs the question: why are similarly situated streets being proposed for rezoning?

Importantly, several neighbors have signed letters that were submitted to the Planning Commission outlining the above concerns. And, our Honorable Councilmember Scott Davis has not met with the affected community members and addressed these concerns. As a result, the

residents and property owners directly impacted by the proposed rezoning have not had an adequate opportunity to discuss and explore solutions posed by the proposed rezoning.

As a lifelong resident on Edwin Street, I am deeply troubled by the lack of engagement with the community about the proposed rezoning. Further, I ask, as I have done at previous Planning Commission Meetings, that the Commissioners consider the aggregate impact of not only this rezoning, but all of the recent rezoning plans and developments in this one neighborhood.

I sincerely appreciate your time and consideration of this request.

Ashonti

Ashonti T. Davis

Counsel

Aetna Senior Supplemental Insurance

800 Crescent Centre Drive, Suite 200

Franklin, TN 37067

Phone: 615-807-7655

Email: davisa17@aetna.com

This e-mail may contain confidential or privileged information. If you think you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this e-mail immediately. Thank you.

This e-mail may contain confidential or privileged information. If you think you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this e-mail immediately. Thank you. Aetna

Item 12, Royal & Worth SP

From: Glenda Lamberth [mailto:glendalamberth@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 12:52 PM
To: Planning Commissioners
Cc: Planning Staff
Subject: 2017sp-093-001

Commissioner,

We strongly object to the permit #2017SP-093-001. For the building of 17 single family units at Royal and Worth. It will create a traffic situation and population for our complex, that we do not want.

There are only dead ends in the complex, there is only one way in and one way out. Not a drive thru.

Consider the storm water conveyance now when there is a heavy rain.

The traffic at Worth and Briarville Road will be a night mare. Lots of accidents there already.

Thank you for your consideration.

Steve Lamberth
1409 Lewis Rd
Madison, TN. 37115

Item 15, Hoggett Ford Road

From: Carolyn Jolly [<mailto:eddnecarolyn@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 05, 2017 3:42 PM
To: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning)
Subject: Access Road/Fleetwood Subdivision

Ms Birkekand, my husband and I moved into Fleetwood Subdivision 10 years ago this month after looking for 4 years for a nice, quiet, safe neighborhood to spend our declining years. Fleetwood was a good fit for us because of the relatively small number of homes, one way in and out, no thoroughfare street, homeowners association, no solicitation sign at entrance.

My husband passed away 4 years ago; I am in my early 80s now, still active, and have continued to feel rather safe and secure as one can feel in this day and age due to the nature of the neighborhood.

I feel that another access/ exit thoroughfare street through this quiet neighborhood would completely change the character, increase crime and accidents and change the way of life for our residents.

Please consider, thank you,

Carolyn Jolly
2916 Chapelwood Dr.
Hermitage, TN 37076

From: Dawn Dambrosi [<mailto:dawndambrosi@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 7:10 PM
To: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning)
Subject: Proposed rd cut through Fleetwood

Dear Ms. Birkeland,

As a property owner who bought the home at 2704 Fleet Drive Hermitage, TN We say No to the proposed road greenwood cut through in Fleetwood subdivision of of Dodson Chapel rd. Hermitage, TN 37076. I can guarantee that we will look for poroperty outside of Davidson County if this occurs. The appeal of our property was the lack of traffic in the neighborhood and safety for our children. We believe this access road will destroy that characteristics.

Thanks,

Dawn d'Ambrosi

From: reneetavares@netzero.net [<mailto:reneetavares@netzero.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 8:24 AM
To: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning)
Subject: Fleetwood Meeting this evening

Ms. Birkeland

The meeting that has been scheduled for this evening, I cannot attend at this point; but wanted to send you my view point.

I live off of Chapelwood and would like to say I am NOT against the through street that is being planned.

Due to the areas I have lived in the past, the one thing I had a concern about in this neighborhood is that there is not a secondary exit; so I am not against the new subdivision that was proposed and when I looked on the plan, I had noticed the through way, so I am not positive how others that looked at the plan did not see it.

Growth is happening in the area and unfortunately NIMBYism is running fairly rampant now a days; and I do understand, I hate driving into downtown Nashville where I work every day, but those are the stresses of a growing city; but I digress; I just wanted to give you feedback and show that I am not against the through street that is planned to be built. The way I looked at the plan the through street would go into the neighboring subdivision and then the exit would be out to Hoggett Ford and you can either go towards Central or down to Dodson Chapel to exit out of the area which I think would allow for easier flow of traffic personally (can you verify this point for me, but that is what I remember).

By trade I was a planner, more specific a Preservation Planner, so I may have a different perspective since I worked in planning departments most of my working career until recently.

Thank you for all you do

Renee Tavares

From: Hazlewood, Monique N. [<mailto:Monique.Hazlewood@wsp.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 9:41 AM
To: Rhoten, Kevin (Council Member)
Cc: Birkeland, Latisha (Planning); roy.dale@nashville.gov
Subject: Fleetwood Subdivision Meeting Tonight

Hello Kevin,

I wanted to express my continued support for the construction of additional access to the Fleetwood subdivision. I feel strongly that having only 1 entrance into Fleetwood could be a real safety concern if an emergency occurred within or near the subdivision and our community needed to be evacuated. Additionally, when the planned traffic signal is installed at Hoggett Ford/Dodson Chapel Road, traffic congestion may build up at the current entrance to Fleetwood making it difficult to enter/exit. An alternate entrance should help to alleviate any access issues.

I also wanted you to be aware that the Fleetwood HOA has distributed flyers for tonight's meeting urging residents to come to the meeting and not be in support of additional access. There are fears of additional traffic and crime that increased access may create. There also seems to be a perception amongst some residents that the future residential development behind Fleetwood is going to turn into low income/Section 8 housing and that it would be deleterious for the subdivision to connect to the new development.

Because I want to stay on the good side of the HOA I am choosing to not come to the meeting tonight to voice my opinion. However, I do want you and Metro Planning to know that I am in favor of increased access to Fleetwood.

Thanks,
Monique Hazlewood
731-394-1355

-----Original Message-----

From: Rhoten, Kevin (Council Member) [<mailto:Kevin.Rhoten@nashville.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 11:34 AM
To: Hazlewood, Monique N. <hazlewoodmn@pbworld.com>
Subject: RE: Support for Urban Service Area in District 14

Ms. Hazlewood,

Thank you for your email and your reasoned opinions. I am receiving numerous emails on this very subject. I agree with you that Brandau Rd. will one day need to be an access point for traffic as the area along Hoggett Ford and Brandau Rd continue to grow. The Planning Department has also mentioned Fleetwood only having one access road for the subdivision and how this can cause trouble with access.

I continue to try to get funding for improvements to the roads in our area. Unfortunately, the other 39 council members are doing the same thing. If the new development gets approved, we are going to try to get the new developer to make access roads leading toward Fleetwood. This will help in the future when any new development in the area takes place we have the option to connecting Fleetwood to Brandau and Hoggett Ford.

Thanks again for your email. It helps me to know what people are thinking.

Kevin

Kevin Rhoten

Councilmember, District 14

From: Hazlewood, Monique N. [hazlewoodmn@pbworld.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Rhoten, Kevin (Council Member)
Subject: Support for Urban Service Area in District 14

Hello Kevin,

I live in the Fleetwood subdivision in Hermitage. I am writing to you in support of District 14 being added to the Urban Service Area. And, since it is inevitable that residential growth will occur in Hermitage whether we want it or not, I am somewhat supportive of Hermitage becoming zoned as suburban which will allow residential growth to occur.

However, with this rezoning and increased taxes, I have some requests for transportation safety upgrades that will directly affect Fleetwood (and potentially other surrounding subdivisions). I have no interest personally in free trash pickup or better lighting in my subdivision. But I do have an interest in the following:

1. Installation of an appropriate traffic signal in front of the entrance to Fleetwood, on Dodson Chapel Road. The 35 MPH speed limit along Dodson Chapel Road is frequently disregarded by drivers. So, if a driver leaving Fleetwood intends to turn left onto Dodson Chapel Road, they are faced with a blind curve with slope to the left, and a blind slope to the right, both creating line of sight issues for the driver. Couple that with drivers exceeding the speed limit, and a real safety issue exists. Likewise, when a driver tries to turn into Fleetwood from Dodson Chapel Road, speeding drivers and narrow lanes create an unsafe driving condition.
2. Construction of a secondary emergency road for Fleetwood. The entrance to Fleetwood on Dodson Chapel Road is the only entrance/exit for the entire subdivision. If for some reason Fleetwood residents needed to be evacuated during an emergency they couldn't exit quickly.

In addition, if the land behind behind Fleetwood, between Hoggett Ford Road and Brandau Road is used for residential development, I request that Brandau Road become the primary road for entrance/exit for that development. I understand that the development for that site will be rather large, and the potential for Dodson Chapel Road to become congested is very real. Directing new residential traffic onto Brandau Road could alleviate potential congestion issues on Dodson Chapel Road.

Thanks,
Monique Hazlewood
731-394-1355

A letter and petition submitted by Fleetwood Subdivision HOA president Nancy Haag follow.

NASHVILLE & DAVIDSON COUNTY

DEC 5 2017

Dec. 1, 2017

METROPOLITAN PLANNING DEPARTMENT

To Metro Planning Committee,

On Nov. 29th, residents from Fleetwood Subdivision met with Kevin Rhoten and Roy Dale to express our concerns about an access road through our neighborhood at Greenwood Drive to a new development. We were told that we needed to attend in person with your committee on Dec. 14th. Some of our residents will not be able to, so I am sending this petition. Mr. Rhoten and Dale saw how many of us were at our meeting and sincerely hope you take this petition into consideration.

Most of our concerns deal with the safety of our neighborhood, having another way out of Fleetwood and the increased traffic winding through the neighborhood. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Nancy Haag

Fleetwood HOA president

To the Metro Planning Council:

11/29/2017

PROPOSED ACCESS AT GREENWOOD DRIVE

(FLEETWOOD SUBDIVISION-Hermitage)

We, the following residents of Fleetwood subdivision, do **not** want this proposed access road through our neighborhood when there are other options. This road will increase non-Fleetwood traffic not only from this new development, but the adjacent area west which will include 300 homes. We, the undersigned desire to rectify this situation.

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Nancy Haag	3413 PARKWOOD CRT.
2. Phil & Mary Arnold	2777 Fleet Dr. - Hermitage
3. _____	IVIAN DENYNN 3133 LAKE DRIVE
4. Luis Serrano	3161 Lake Dr Hermitage
5. CAROL GADDIS	- 3548 GREENWOOD DR.
6. Bonnie White	- 3548 Greenwood Dr
7. Phil & Mary Arnold	- 2777 Fleet Drive
8. _____	2728 Chapelwood Drive, Hermitage, TN 37076
9. Perry (Frank) Martloes	3456 Parkwood Ct. Hermitage
10. Tina Bruce	3449 Parkwood Ct. Hermitage, TN 37076
11. Sandra Wood	2920 Chapelwood Dr. Hermitage
12. Charles Wood	2920 " " " "
13. Carolyn Jolly	2916 Chapelwood Dr. 37076
14. Anna May	3544 Greenwood Dr 37076

15. James Ray 3544 Greenwood Dr 37076
16. PERRY SHEALY 2765 FLEET DRIVE
17. Branelle Dahl 2765 FLEET DRIVE
18. ~~RAYMOND RAOSE~~ 3416 PARKWOOD CT.
19. Pamela Lee 3500 Greenwood Dr 37076
20. Susi Willis 2769 Fleet Drive 37076
21. Eulada Marshall 3500 Greenwood Dr 37076
22. BARBARA SALES 3017 Lake Dr. 37076
24. Nancy Walton 3540 Greenwood Dr 37076
25. Barbara L. Lyman 3440 PARKWOOD CT. 37076
26. Peter Kridger 3616 Fleetwood Blvd 37076
27. Brenda Kring 3616 Fleetwood Blvd 37076
28. Judie Cottrell 3508 Greenwood 37076
29. Mike Cottrell 3508 Greenwood 37076
30. Sandra Hann 3129 Lake Drive 37076
31. Faylin Ann 3129 Lake Drive 37076
32. ~~Ron Jackson~~ 3432 Parkwood Ct 37076
33. James A. Thomas 2745 FLEET DR 37076
34. Lea Ann Bates 2745 Fleet Dr. 37076
35. Mary Frances Baxter 3424 Parkwood Ct 37076
36. BO BAXTER 3424 PARKWOOD CT 37076
37. Shahn Donegan 2756 Fleet Dr 37076

38. Gregory Baker 3412 Parkwood Ct 37076
39. Sara + Al Marashi 3556 Greenwood Dr.
40. Mark Schuster 3461 park wood ct
41. Peta Hopkins 2716 Fleet Dr. 37076
42. Lynn Lyman 3440 Parkwood Ct.
43. Michael S. Hoop 3508 Greenwood Dr. 37076
44. Paul Palmer 2816 Chapelwood Dr. 37076
45. Susan Serchuk 2817 Chapelwood Dr.
46. Dawn d'Ambrosi 2704 Fleet Dr
47. Faust David d'Ambrosi 2704 Fleet Dr
48. Margie Dunn 3505 Greenwood DR. 37076
49. Jerry Roberts 2768 Fleet Dr 37076
50. Dele McDonald 2924 Chapelwood Dr Henderson
51. Sherry Green 2809 Chapelwood Dr 37076
52. Bob Anderson 3444 Parkwood Ct
53. Rachel Mercer 3444 Parkwood Ct
54. Carla Pedrotty 3457 Parkwood Ct
55. Andrew Pedrotty 3457 Parkwood Ct
56. CHRISTINA BENTLEY 204 WOODLAND CT
57. PAT FORRESTER 204 WOODLAND CT
58. Kaye Deason 2730 Fleet Dr. 37076
59. Phillip A Wright 2760 FLEET DR 37076

60. Melonie + Scott Smith 3436 Parkwood Ct
61. Elizabeth + Randy Lueck 2801 Chapelwood Dr.
62. MAT DEATON 2744 FLEET DR
63. Dee Clingman 3528 Greenwood Dr.
64. Army Conquist 3528 Greenwood Dr.
65. Bob Kulesh 3532 Greenwood Dr.
66. Keith Harvey 3537 Greenwood Dr.
67. Raphael Doubek 3531 Greenwood Dr.
68. J April Kitchin 2717 Fleet Dr.
69. Jennifer Castro 3000 Lake Dr.
70. Gabriel Castro 3000 Lake Dr.
71. Nancy Howard 3101 Lake Dr.
72. B. J. 2820 CHAPELWOOD DR
73. R. L. Frost 200 Woodland Ct
74. ~~Ann H.~~ 3016 Lake Drive
75. ~~Janet~~ 2757 Fleet Dr.
76. Rassic Muga 3433 Parkwood Ct.
77. Leonard Little 2800 CHAPELWOOD DR.
78. Sylvia Hush. Hill 2800 Chapelwood Dr.
79. Mike Jadosw 2705 Fleet Dr.
80. Lucy Fanta 2712 Fleet Dr.
81. Kimberly Ann L. Gregory 2772 FLEET DR.
82. Beverly Shrum/Michael 3001 Lake Dr.

- 83 Chris Gossick 3136 Lake Dr Hermitage TN 37076
- 84 Gabriel Cooney 3136 Lake Dr. Hermitage TN 37076
- 85 Andrea Casey 3136 Lake Dr. Hermitage, TN 37076
- 86 Joseph Crumby 2733 Fleet Dr. Hermitage, TN 37076
- 87 Robert B Beck Jr 2764 Fleet Dr Hermitage, TN 37076
- 88 ~~Christopher~~
Matthew A. Borside 2808 Chynwood Dr Hermitage TN 37076
- 89 Jim & Vicki Lynne Westcott 3121 Lake Drive, Hermitage TN 37076
- 90 Jerry Fink 3545 Greenwood Dr. Hermitage TN 37076
- 91 Mona Vandewort 3408 Parkwood Ct. Hermitage, TN 37076
- 92 JIM WALLER 3549 GREENWOOD DR 37076

Item 18, 401 Kinhawk Drive/Nolensville Pike (unnumbered)

From: Jena Herrera [mailto:jn.stilwell@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 06, 2017 4:05 PM

To: Planning Commissioners

Cc: Planning Staff

Subject: Regarding rezoning request, case #2017Z-116PR-001

Good afternoon,

I understand that a rezoning request for a property on Kinhawk Drive, case #2017Z-116PR-001, will soon be up for vote. I would like to express my wish that this request not be granted. Kinhawk Drive is a quiet, dead-end street with little to no traffic, and I believe that allowing commercial/retail property onto our street will completely change that. I do not want strangers or crowds in our neighborhood. I intend to participate in the Q&A meeting that is set for tomorrow night to also express my dislike of this rezoning possibility.

I have spoken with my neighbors regarding this matter, and no one I have spoken to is in favor of having a commercial property on our street. Please take my and my neighbor's disfavor of this rezoning request into account when the application goes to vote. Please vote no to this request.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Best Regards,

Jena M. Herrera

931.306.8423

Items 24a/b/c, Short-Term Rentals

From: Caryll Alpert [mailto:csalpert123@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 03, 2017 3:03 PM
To: Planning Commissioners; Caryll Alpert
Subject: Prohibit non-owner-occupied short term rentals

Dear Metro Planning Commission Members:

Recently, I received notification that the owners of 1923 19th Avenue South, the house next door to me, are applying for a short term rental permit for their property. The property owners do not and have not lived in this house for years.

Upon learning this news, 30 of my closest neighbors immediately expressed great dismay. We represent a spectrum of households-- single people, families, homeowners, renters, landlords, students, professionals, young and old. Some of us have lived in the neighborhood since the 1970s, and some of us are more recent residents. We have a common appreciation for the very residential nature of this great neighborhood. We love our quiet streets where the neighborhood children can play with ease and a sense of safety, where we visit with each other, and where we know our neighbors and they know us. Non-owner-occupied short term rentals threaten the very essence of residential neighborhoods like ours.

None of us ever anticipated that the equivalent of a transient tourist motel would or could operate on our block. We bought our homes in a residentially zoned area.

As you might imagine, we are deeply concerned about the revolving door of guests associated with non-owner-occupied short term rentals. We are not content to sit idly and watch this happen to our street, our neighborhood, or our city.

My husband and I personally want to express my support for eliminating non-owner occupied short term rentals in residentially zoned areas. Non-owner-occupied short term rentals are commercial enterprises that have no place in Nashville's residential neighborhoods.

Merely stepping up codes enforcement is not a solution to having the equivalent of a commercial motel in a residential neighborhood. Please prohibit non-owner-occupied short term rentals from residential neighborhoods.

Thank you for your service to Nashville and its great neighborhoods.

Caryll Alpert and Chad Weideman
1921 19th Ave South
Nashville, TN 37212

From: SUSAN CATTON [mailto:catton.susan@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 11, 2017 9:30 PM

To: Planning Commissioners
Subject: Vote YES on Bill 937!

Dear Member Planning Commission,

Thank you for your time and consideration of short-term rental property (STRP) regulations in Nashville.

As a short-term rental supporter, I urge you approve Substitute Ordinance 937, which represents the policy solutions reached by the STRP Ad Hoc Committee, and will allow all STRPs in Nashville to continue to operate responsibly across the city. STRPs have been a part of Nashville's culture for generations, and responsible short term rental operators like me have only enhanced the quality of our neighborhoods and strengthened our local economy.

Bill 937 will protect my right to use my home for short-term rental, while ensuring accountability and responsible renting. Please approve this ordinance and support Nashville's short-term rental community!

Regards,
SUSAN CATTON
1907 Cedar Ln
Nashville, TN 37212

Five other commenters sent the same message:

Amanda Brown
902 Brentwood Pointe
Brentwood, TN 37027

Marla Richardson
2309 Cisco St
Nashville, TN 37204

Dorothea Hartley
2666 Miami Ave
Nashville, TN 37214

Kristen Dabbs
1717 Villa Pl
Nashville, TN 37212

Harmony Hartley
2670 Miami Ave
Nashville, TN 37214

From: Jason Gullo [mailto:gullojp2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 09, 2017 4:37 PM
To: Planning Commissioners
Subject: Support Nashville's New Short-Term Rental Ordinance

Dear Member Planning Commission,

I am writing you to ask for your support in protecting short-term rentals and the value they bring to the Nashville community. It is important for the Planning Commission to vote YES on Substitute Ordinance 937, which will preserve the economic benefits STRPs bring to the city, while creating requirements that make enforcement easier.

Nashville's STRPs have existed in our city for decades. They provide our residents with a critical source of income, bring visitor spending to neighborhoods that traditionally do not benefit from tourism, and expand the opportunities for families to visit the Music City we call home.

Thank you for your work on STRP regulations in Nashville. We have finally found a solution that will work for all groups involved. For this reason, I urge you to support Substitute Ordinance 937 and to pass the bill with no amendments. Bill 937 will protect property rights, preserve the economic benefits of STRPs, and ensure accountability and responsible renting.

Regards,
Jason Gullo
1712 7th Ave N
Nashville, TN 37208

Four other commenters sent the same message:

Marcia Ushet
510 E Bend Dr
Nashville, TN 37209

Keavy Murphree
537 Hickory Trail Dr
Nashville, TN 37209

Maggie Edwards
1560 N Bass Dr
Mount Juliet, TN 37122

Gail Johnson
1424 Hidden Trails Dr
Goodlettsville, TN 37072