

## METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

Planning Department Metro Office Building 800 Second Avenue South Nashville, Tennessee 37201

# Minutes of the

## **Metropolitan Planning Commission**

September 14, 2010 \*\*\*\*\*\*

4:00 PM

Metro Southeast at Genesco Park 1417 Murfreesboro Road

#### PLANNING COMMISSION:

James McLean, Chairman Ana Escobar Judy Cummings Derrick Dalton Tonya Jones Stewart Clifton Phil Ponder Councilmember Jim Gotto

#### **Staff Present:**

Rick Bernhardt, Executive Director
Ann Hammond, Assistant Director
Kelly Armistead, Admin Services Officer III
Craig Owensby, Public Information Officer
Dennis Corrieri, Planning Technicial I
Bob Leeman, Planning Manager II
Brenda Bernards, Planner III
Jason Swaggart, Planner II
Greg Johnson, Planner II
Brian Sexton, Planner I
Scott Morton, Planner II
Rebecca Ratz, Planner I

#### **Commission Members Absent:**

Hunter Gee, Vice President Andrée LeQuire, representing Mayor Karl Dean

Mission Statement: The Planning Commission is to guide the future growth and development for Nashville and Davidson County to evolve into a more socially, economically and environmentally sustainable community with a commitment to preservation of important assets, efficient use of public infrastructure, distinctive and diverse neighborhood character, free and open civic life, and choices in housing and transportation.

## I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 4:02p.m.

## II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Mr. Clifton moved and Dr. Cummings seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to adopt the agenda as presented. (8-0)

## III. APPROVAL OF AUGUST 26, 2010, MINUTES

Mr. Clifton moved and Dr. Cummings seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to adopt the August 26, 2010 minutes as presented. (8-0)

091410 Minutes.doc Page 1 of 35

## IV. RECOGNITION OF COUNCILMEMBERS

Councilman Coleman asked that Item 9 be removed from the Consent Agenda for informational purposes. There is no contention for this Item, would just like to have further discussion with the neighbors.

## V. PUBLIC HEARING: ITEMS REQUESTED TO BE DEFERRED OR WITHDRAWN

1.	2010Z- 016TX- 001	A council bill to amend Section 17.16.290 of the Metro Zoning Code, to require an active, valid FCC license for operation of an amateur radio antenna, requested by Councilmember Anna Page.	-Deferred to the September 23, 2010, meeting.
2.	2007S- 209G-12	A request to rescind final plat approval for Brentwood Knoll, containing 15 lots and open space located along Brentwood Knoll Court and Bryce Road.	-Deferred Indefinitely
6.	2010SP- 016-001	A request to rezone from SCR to SP-C zoning property located at 3902 Hillsboro Pike, located within the Green Hills UDO District, to permit the development of a 1-story, 8,142 square foot building containing retail and restaurant uses.	-Deferred to the September 23, 2010, meeting.
10.	103-79P- 002	A request for revision to preliminary and final approval for a portion of the Old Hickory Skilled Nursing Facility PUD located on properties at 1220, 1230, 1246 and 1250 Robinson Road and at Robinson Road (unnumbered), to permit a nursing home containing 124 beds.	- Deferred to the September 23, 2010, meeting.

Mr. Ponder moved and Mr. Dalton seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to approve the Deferred or Withdrawn items. (8-0)

Mr. Dalton left at 4:08pm.

Ms. Hammond announced, "As information for our audience, if you are not satisfied with a decision made by the Planning Commission today, you may appeal the decision by petitioning for a writ of cert with the Davidson County Chancery or Circuit Court. Your appeal must be filed within 60 days of the date of the entry of the Planning Commission's decision. To ensure that your appeal is filed in a timely manner, and that all procedural requirements have been met, please be advised that you should contact independent legal counsel."

Doug Sloan arrived at 4:10pm.

Mr. Dalton in at 4:11pm.

## VI. PUBLIC HEARING: CONSENT AGENDA

## PREVIOUSLY DEFERRED ITEMS

3. 2010M- An Ordinance requesting to declare as "surplus" a 5.2 acre parcel of real property located at 3701 Belmont Boulevard and knows as "Walter Stokes School," located north of Glen Echo Road long the west side of Belmont Boulevard.

-Approved. Staff encourages continued discussions among parties with the goal of reserving a portion of the land for a neighborhood park.

#### **ZONING MAPS, TEXT AMENDMENTS, AND SPs**

- 4. 2005SP- The periodic review of an approved Specific Plan district known as "Walden" to determine its completeness for properties located at 1818, 1898 and 1900 Eastland District active Avenue, approved for residential, commercial, and office uses.
- 5. 2010SP- A request to rezone from R6 to SP-R zoning and for final site plan approval for properties located at 1078 2nd Avenue South and at 112 Mildred Shute Avenue, to permit the development of three residential dwelling units.

-Approved the preliminary SP with conditions. Deferred the final site plan approval.

#### REVISED SITE PLANS

091410 Minutes.doc Page 2 of 35

7.	118-85P- 001	A request to amend the Commercial PUD located at the northeast corner of Old Hickory Boulevard and I-40, to permit 39,612 square feet of retail and restaurant uses where a 124-room motel and 8,140 square feet of commercial uses were previously approved.	-Approved w/conditions
8.	2010P- 002-001	A request for preliminary approval for a proposed PUD Overlay located at 501 Gallatin Avenue within the Gallatin Pike SP, to permit an existing restaurant an exemption from beer regulations requiring a 100 foot minimum distance from a residential use.	-Approved with a condition
11.	2005P- 010-002	A request to revise the preliminary plan and for final approval for a portion of the Nashville Comp PUD located at 3434 Doverside Drive, zoned SCR, to permit an automobile convenience facility a	
		-Approved with conditions including revised Public Works conditions received on September	er 3, 2010
12.	2005P- 023-001	A request for final approval for a portion of the Belle Arbor PUD Overlay located on a portion of properties at 3549 Brick Church Pike and at Westchester Drive (unnumbered), partially located within the Floodplain Overlay District, to permit 44 single-family lots.	-Approved w/conditions

#### URBAN DESIGN OVERLAYS

15.	2001UD- 001-001	A request for a modification of the Lennox Village UDO standards to permit an encroachment into the required five foot rear setback at 3102 Fyffe Lane in order to construct a 20 foot by 20 foot detached garage.	-Approved
16.	2002UD- 001-003	A request for a modification of the existing Green Hills UDO district sign standards to allow a future tenant, "Nordstrom", to be located at 2126 Abbott Martin Road, to vary from requirements of the UDO in order to increase the permitted signage display area along Abbott Martin Road.	-Approved

#### OTHER BUSINESS

18. Standard sidewalk recommendations for proposed SP Districts.

-Deferred to the November

11, 2010 meeting

Mr. Ponder moved and Councilmember Gotto seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to remove Items 9, 14, and 17 from the Consent Agenda. (8-0)

Mr. Clifton moved and Ms. Jones seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to defer Item 18 to the November 11, 2010 Planning Commission Meeting. (8-0)

## VII. PUBLIC HEARING: PREVIOUSLY DEFERRED ITEMS

## 1. 2010Z-016TX-001

Amateur Radio Antenna Tower Staff Reviewer: Jennifer Regen

A council bill to amend Section 17.16.290 of the Metro Zoning Code, to require an active, valid FCC license for operation of an amateur radio antenna, requested by Councilmember Anna Page.

Staff Recommendation: Defer to the September 23, 2010, Planning Commission meeting pending Metro Legal's review and recommendation.

Deferred to the September 23, 2010 Planning Commission Meeting. (8-0)

The Metropolitan Planning Commission DEFERRED Text Amendment 2010Z-016TX-001 to the September 23, 2010, Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant. (8-0)

## 2. 2007S-209G-12 Brentwood Knoll

091410 Minutes.doc Page 3 of 35

Map: 172-15-0-C Parcels:001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008, 009, 010, 011, 012

Map: 172-15-0-C Parcels:013, 014, 015, 900, 901

Southeast Community Plan

Council District 31 – Parker Toler

Staff Reviewer: Carrie Logan

A request to rescind final plat approval for Brentwood Knoll, containing 15 lots and open space located along Brentwood Knoll Court and Bryce Road (5.09 acres), zoned RS10 and AR2a, requested by the Planning Department on behalf of Councilmember Parker Toler; Mark Sarmadi and Dean Baxter, owners.

**Staff Recommendation: Approve** 

Deferred indefinitely. (8-0)

The Metropolitan Planning Commission DEFERRED INDEFINITELY a request to rescind final subdivision plat 2007S-209G-12 at the request of the applicant. (8-0)

#### 3. 2010M-006PR-001

Walter Stokes School Surplus Property Request

Map: 117-16 Parcel: 001

Green Hills/Midtown Community Plan Council District 25 – Seam McGuire

Staff Reviewer: Bob Leeman

An Ordinance requesting to declare as "surplus" a 5.2 acre parcel of real property located at 3701 Belmont Boulevard and knows as "Walter Stokes School," located north of Glen Echo Road long the west side of Belmont Boulevard, requested and owned by the Metropolitan Government.

Staff Recommendation: Approve. Staff encourages continued discussions among parties with the goal of reserving a portion of the land for a neighborhood park.

## APPLICANT REQUEST -Surplus a 5.2 acre parcel of property.

An Ordinance requesting to declare as "surplus" a 5.2 acre parcel of real property located at 3701 Belmont Boulevard and known as "Walter Stokes School," located north of Glen Echo Road along the west side of Belmont Boulevard.

R10 District -R10 requires a minimum 10,000 square foot lot and is intended for single -family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 4.63 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots.

## CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**METRO CHARTER** Under Article 11, Chapter 5 of the Metro Charter, the Planning Commission is required to make a recommendation to the Metro Council relating to Mandatory Referrals. The Charter reads as follows:

## Section 11.505 Mandatory Referrals "Whenever the commission shall have adopted the master

to Planning Commission or general plan of the metropolitan government area or any part thereof, then and thenceforth no street, park or other public way, ground, place or space, no public building or structure, or no public utility whether publicly or privately owned, shall be constructed or authorized in the area under the jurisdiction of the metropolitan government until and unless the location and extent thereof shall have been submitted to and approved by the planning commission; provided, that in case of disapproval, the commission shall communicate its reasons to the council and said council by a vote of a majority of its membership, shall have the power to overrule such disapproval and, upon such overruling, said council shall have the power to proceed. The widening, narrowing, relocation, vacation, change in the use, acceptance, acquisition, sale or lease of any street or public way, ground, place, property or structure shall be subject to similar submission and approval, and the failure to approve may be similarly overruled. The failure of the commission to act within thirty (30) days from and after the date of official submission to it shall be deemed approval, unless a longer period be granted by the submitting body, board or official."

**Declaration of Surplus Property** The Metropolitan Board of Education has determined that the property is no longer needed for school purposes. The Metropolitan Nashville Board of Education has transferred the subject property to the

091410 Minutes.doc Page 4 of 35

Director of Public Property and the Metropolitan Government has declared the property surplus. The Director of Public Property is authorized to dispose of the property subject to the approval of the Metropolitan Council and the Mayor.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** A recommendation will be provided at the meeting as the School Board and Parks Department are continuing discussions.

Approved (8-0), Consent Agenda

#### Resolution No. RS2010-124

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2010M-006PR-001 is **APPROVED. Staff encourages** continued discussions among parties with the goal of reserving a portion of the land for a neighborhood park. (8-0)"

## VIII. PUBLIC HEARING: ZONING MAP AMENDMENTS, SPs, AND TEXT AMENDMENTS

#### 4. 2005SP-170U-05

Walden

Map: 083-06 Parcels:378, 379, 413

Map: 083-06-0-C Parcels: 001 -011, 900

East Nashville Community Plan Council District 6 – Mike Jameson Staff Reviewer: Brenda Bernards

The periodic review of an approved Specific Plan (MU) district known as "Walden" to determine its completeness pursuant to Section 17.40.106.I of the Metro Zoning Code (Review of a Development Plan), for properties located at 1818, 1898 and 1900 Eastland Avenue (7.26 acres), approved for 99 residential units (including 17 townhome units), 18,600 square feet of retail uses, 18,500 square feet of restaurant uses, 20,500 square feet of office uses, 6,800 square feet of personal care service uses, 3,000 square feet of custom assembly uses, 3,000 square feet of furniture store uses, and four single-family lots, approved August 1, 2006 via Council Bill BL2006-1042, review initiated by the Metro Planning Department.

#### Staff Recommendation: Find the SP District Active

#### **APPLICANT REQUEST -Four year SP review to determine activity.**

**SP Review -** The periodic review of an approved Specific Plan (MU) district known as "Walden" to determine its completeness pursuant to Section 17.40.106.I of the Metro Zoning Code, for properties located at 1818, 1898 and 1900 Eastland Avenue (7.26 acres), approved for 99 residential units (including 17 townhome units), 18,600 square feet of retail uses, 18,500 square feet of restaurant uses, 20,500 square feet of office uses, 6,800 square feet of personal care service uses, 3,000 square feet of custom assembly uses, 3,000 square feet of furniture store uses, and three single-family lots, approved August 1, 2006 via Council Bill BL2006-1042.

**Zoning Code Requirement** Section 17.40.106.I of the Zoning Code requires that a SP District be reviewed four years from the date of Council approval and every four years after until the development has been deemed complete by the Planning Commission.

Each development within a SP District is to be reviewed in order to determine if the project is complete or actively under development to implement the approved development concept. If the review determines that the project is complete or actively under development, then no further review is necessary at this time. If the review determines that the project is inactive then the Planning Commission is to determine if its continuation as an SP district is appropriate.

## **CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A**

**DETAILS OF THE SP DISTRICT** The SP was approved for a mix of uses.

Staff visited the site on August 2, 2010. The site is under development with residential and commercial uses constructed along Eastland Avenue.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 5 of 35

The staff assessment of this SP is that it is active. Staff recommends that this SP be found active and that it be placed back on the four-year review list.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION Staff recommends that the Walden SP be found to be active

Approved (8-0), Consent Agenda

### Resolution No. RS2010-125

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2005SP-170U-05 is **APPROVED in finding the SP District Active. (8-0)**"

#### 5. 2010SP-015-001

Southview on Second

Map: 105-03 Parcels: 106, 107 South Nashville Community Plan Council District 17 – Sandra Moore Staff Reviewer: Brenda Bernards

A request to rezone from R6 to SP-R zoning and for final site plan approval for properties located at 1078 2nd Avenue South and at 112 Mildred Shute Avenue, at the northeast corner of 2nd Avenue South and Mildred Shute Avenue (0.13 acres), to permit the development of three residential dwelling units, requested by FMBC Investments, LLC, owner.

Staff Recommendation: Approve the preliminary SP with conditions.

#### APPLICANT REQUEST - Rezone from R6 to SP-R.

**Preliminary and Final SP** A request to rezone from One and Two Family Residential (R6) to Specific Plan-Residential (SP-R) zoning and for final site plan approval for properties located at 1078 2nd Avenue South and at 112 Mildred Shute Avenue, at the northeast corner of 2nd Avenue South and Mildred Shute Avenue (0.13 acres), to permit the development of three residential dwelling units

## **Existing Zoning**

R6 District - R6 requires a minimum 6,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 7.71 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots. *Under R6*, this site would permit two single family residential units or one duplex.

#### Proposed Zoning

SP-R District - Specific Plan-Residential is a zoning District category that provides for additional flexibility of design, including the relationship of streets to buildings, to provide the ability to implement the specific details of the General Plan. This Specific Plan includes three residential units.

## **CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS**

- •Supports Infill Development
- •Creates Walkable Neighborhoods

This project is part of a larger development with adjacent properties on  $2^{nd}$  Avenue South. The project wraps the corner of Mildred Shute Avenue and  $2^{nd}$  Avenue South. The units are located to create a strong street wall and utilize shared parking accessed by an alley.

## SOUTH NASHVILLE COMMUNITY PLAN

**Neighborhood General (NG)** NG is intended to meet a spectrum of housing needs with a variety of housing that is carefully arranged, not randomly located. An Urban Design or Planned Unit Development overlay district or site plan should accompany proposals in these policy areas, to assure appropriate design and that the type of development conforms with the intent of the policy.

**Consistent with Policy?** Yes, the proposed development is part of a larger project which faces both 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue South and Mildred Shute Avenue. The development introduces a new housing concept that is compatible in size and setbacks with the single family and duplex residences in the area.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 6 of 35

**PLAN DETAILS** The Southview on Second SP proposes one duplex and one single-family residence for a total of three units. The front unit is oriented towards 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue South and the rear units are oriented towards Mildred Shute Avenue. Each unit has a direct connection to the sidewalk. Parking is accessed from a rear alley that runs parallel to 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue South. Two parking spaces are included in the SP and additional parking is provided in a lot shared with the properties to the north. This SP is part of a larger development. There are four units under construction on the properties to the north.

There are a number of minor details that need to be added to the corrected copy of the plan including:

- Council District
- Adjacent Property details
- Phasing Schedule
- Fall Back Zoning District
- Fire Marshal Note

The applicant has requested preliminary and final site plan approval for this SP. In order to obtain final site plan approval, the capacity fees for water and sewer service need to be paid. To date, the applicant has not paid these fees. If the fees are not paid by the meeting date, the Water Services Department will not recommend approval of the final site plan.

#### STORMWATER RECOMMENDATIONS Approved

**WATER SERVICES RECOMMENDATION** Until sewer and water capacity is purchased, Water Services recommends preliminary approval but disapproval for final site plan. If the capacity is purchased prior to the September 14, 2010 MPC meeting, then Water Services recommends preliminary and final approval.

**FIRE MARSHAL RECOMMENDATION** Fire-flow shall meet the requirements of the International Fire Code - 2006 edition - B105.1.

#### PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION No exception taken

Maximum Uses in Existing Zoning District: **R6** 

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR/Density	Total Floor Area/Lots/Units	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-Family Detached(210)	0.13	7.71 D	2 U	20	2	3

Maximum Uses in Proposed Zoning District: SP

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR/Density	Total Floor Area/Lots/Units	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-Family Detached (210)	0.13	-	3 U	29	3	4

Traffic changes between maximum: R6 and proposed SP

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR/Density	Total Floor Area/Lots/Units	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
-	-	-	+ 1 U	9	1	1

#### METRO SCHOOL BOARD REPORT

**Projected Student Generation** This request to rezone will add one additional unit to be permitted on this property. No additional students will be generated with this development.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends approval with conditions of the preliminary SP only, as the requested rezoning is consistent with the Neighborhood General land use policy. Staff recommends disapproval of the final SP until water and sewer capacity fees have been paid.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 7 of 35

#### CONDITIONS

- 1. The corrected copy of the SP plan shall include the following details:
- Council District
- Adjacent Property details
- Phasing Schedule
- The following notes added:
- -For any standards not specifically stated on the plan, the development standards of the R6 Zoning District shall apply.
- -Fire-flow shall meet the requirements of the International Fire Code 2006 edition B105.1
- 2. This SP is limited to up to 3 residential units.
- 3. For any development standards, regulations and requirements not specifically shown on the SP plan and/or included as a condition of Commission or Council approval, the single family portion of the property shall be subject to the standards, regulations and requirements of the R6 zoning district as of the date of the applicable request or application.
- 4. A corrected copy of the SP plan incorporating the conditions of approval by the Planning Commission and Council shall be provided to the Planning Department no later than 120 days after the effective date of the enacting ordinance. The corrected copy provided to the Planning Department shall include printed copy of the SP plan and a single PDF that contains the plan and all related SP documents. If a corrected copy of the SP plan incorporating the conditions therein is not provided to the Planning Department within 120 days of the effective date of the enacting ordinance, then the corrected copy of the SP plan shall be presented to the Metro Council as an amendment to this SP ordinance prior to approval of any grading, clearing, grubbing, final site plan, or any other development application for the property.
- 5. Minor modifications to the preliminary SP plan may be approved by the Planning Commission or its designee based upon final architectural, engineering or site design and actual site conditions. All modifications shall be consistent with the principles and further the objectives of the approved plan. Modifications shall not be permitted, except through an ordinance approved by Metro Council that increase the permitted density or floor area, add uses not otherwise permitted, eliminate specific conditions or requirements contained in the plan as adopted through this enacting ordinance, or add vehicular access points not currently present or approved.
- 6. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.

Approved with conditions (8-0), Consent Agenda

## Resolution No. RS2010-126

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that the 2010SP-015-001 preliminary SP is **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. (8-0)** 

#### **Conditions of Approval:**

- 1. The corrected copy of the SP plan shall include the following details:
- Council District
- Adjacent Property details
- Phasing Schedule
- The following notes added:
- -For any standards not specifically stated on the plan, the development standards of the R6 Zoning District shall apply. -Fire-flow shall meet the requirements of the International Fire Code 2006 edition B105.1
- 2. This SP is limited to up to 3 residential units.
- 3. For any development standards, regulations and requirements not specifically shown on the SP plan and/or included as a condition of Commission or Council approval, the single family portion of the property shall be subject to the

091410 Minutes.doc Page 8 of 35

standards, regulations and requirements of the R6 zoning district as of the date of the applicable request or application.

- 4. A corrected copy of the SP plan incorporating the conditions of approval by the Planning Commission and Council shall be provided to the Planning Department no later than 120 days after the effective date of the enacting ordinance. The corrected copy provided to the Planning Department shall include printed copy of the SP plan and a single PDF that contains the plan and all related SP documents. If a corrected copy of the SP plan incorporating the conditions therein is not provided to the Planning Department within 120 days of the effective date of the enacting ordinance, then the corrected copy of the SP plan shall be presented to the Metro Council as an amendment to this SP ordinance prior to approval of any grading, clearing, grubbing, final site plan, or any other development application for the property.
- 5. Minor modifications to the preliminary SP plan may be approved by the Planning Commission or its designee based upon final architectural, engineering or site design and actual site conditions. All modifications shall be consistent with the principles and further the objectives of the approved plan. Modifications shall not be permitted, except through an ordinance approved by Metro Council that increase the permitted density or floor area, add uses not otherwise permitted, eliminate specific conditions or requirements contained in the plan as adopted through this enacting ordinance, or add vehicular access points not currently present or approved.
- 6. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.

The proposed SP is consistent with the South Nashville Community Plan's Neighborhood General land use policy."

#### 6. 2010SP-016-001

Greenbriar Village

Map: 117-14 Parcel: 162

Green Hills/Midtown Community Plan Council District 25 – Sean McGuire

Staff Reviewer: Jason Swaggart

A request to rezone from SCR to SP-C zoning property located at 3902 Hillsboro Pike, approximately 225 feet north of Richard Jones Road and located within the Green Hills Urban Design Overlay District (0.43 acres), to permit the development of a 1-story, 8,142 square foot building containing retail and restaurant uses, requested by Ragan-Smith-Associates, Inc., applicant, Hillsboro Plaza Partners II, owners.

Staff Recommendation: Defer to the September 23, 2010, Planning Commission meeting or Disapprove

The Metropolitan Planning Commission DEFERRED 2010SP-016-001 to the September 23, 2010, Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant. (8-0)

## 7. 118-85P-001

Mckay Used Books

Map: 114-00 Parcels:162, 163, 164

Bellevue Community Plan

Council District 35 – Bo Mitchell Staff Reviewer: Greg Johnson

A request to amend the Commercial Planned Unit Development located at Old Hickory Boulevard (unnumbered), at the northeast corner of Old Hickory Boulevard and I-40, zoned CL (5.93 acres), to permit 39,612 square feet of retail and restaurant uses where a 124-room motel and 8,140 square feet of commercial uses were previously approved, requested by Ragan-Smith-Associates, Inc., applicant, for Beverly Brooks, owner.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 9 of 35

#### Staff Recommendation: Approve with conditions

## APPLICANT REQUEST -Amend PUD to increase allowable square footage.

**Amend PUD** A request to amend the Commercial Planned Unit Development located at Old Hickory Boulevard (unnumbered), at the northeast corner of Old Hickory Boulevard and I-40, zoned Commercial Limited (CL) (5.93 acres), to permit 39,612 square feet of retail and restaurant uses where a 124-room motel and 8,140 square feet of commercial uses were previously approved.

## **Existing Zoning**

CL District - Commercial Limited is intended for retail, consumer service, financial, restaurant, and office uses.

#### **CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A**

#### **BELLEVUE COMMUNITY PLAN**

**Commercial Mixed Concentration (CMC)** CMC policy is intended to include Medium High to High density residential, all types of retail trade (except regional shopping malls), highway-oriented commercial services, offices, and research activities and other appropriate uses with these locational characteristics.

**Consistent with Policy?** Yes. The commercial uses proposed within this PUD are consistent with policy.

## **PUD AMENDMENT** This PUD was originally approved by Council in 1986.

**Plan Details** The proposal amends the PUD to allow for 39,612 square feet of retail and restaurant uses where 32,740 square feet of convenience sales and service, food service and hotel/motel uses was previously approved. All of the proposed commercial uses are permitted by the existing CL base zoning. This proposal exceeds the floor area last approved by Council by more than 10 percent. As a result, this request requires Council approval.

The overall layout of the proposed PUD is similar to the approved layout from 1986. A single driveway to Old Hickory Boulevard will provide access for the multiple uses proposed. The layout of the site plan mimics the current PUD layout in the placement of two separate uses along the Old Hickory Boulevard frontage on either side of the stream buffer and a third use within the rear portion of the site. A hotel is currently approved for the rear of the site and the amendment proposes a bookstore in its place.

**Signage** If constructed to the extent allowed by the Zoning Code, on-premises ground signage could prove visually detrimental to the Old Hickory Boulevard streetscape and incompatible to surrounding residential areas due to the allowed height and size of each sign.

In order to maintain a level of quality in the pedestrian environment and to allow for an appropriate level of signage adjacent to both the interstate and to residential neighborhoods, staff proposes a condition related to sign height. Ground signs along the Old Hickory Boulevard frontage will be limited to a maximum height of 12 feet. The height limit will help to ensure that signs maintain an acceptable scale to pedestrians along Old Hickory Boulevard and within the project site, while providing sufficient signage for tenants within the PUD.

#### NES RECOMMENDATION

- 1. NES has existing overhead facilities that serve the properties. One overhead line exists along the south property line of Lot 2 into Lot 3. The second overhead line exists on the south property line of Lot 3. If these are to remain to serve the new facilities, they will have to be maintained at proper clearances per the NESC. NES can meet with developer/engineer upon request to determine electrical service options.
- 2. Developer to provide construction drawings and a digital .dwg file @ state plane coordinates that contains the civil site information (after approval by Metro Planning w/ any changes from other departments)
- 3. Developer drawing should show any and all existing utilities easements on property.
- 4. NES follows the National Fire Protection Association rules; Refer to NFPA 70 article 450-27; and NESC Section 15 152.A.2 for complete rules
- 5. NES needs load information and future plans or options to buy other property (over all plans).

**PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION** The developer's final construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 10 of 35

- Construct access drive with 1 entering lane and 2 exiting lanes between the first internal driveway and Old Hickory Blvd with transition per AASHTO/MUTCD standards.
- Provide adequate sight distance 565 ft to the north and 500 ft to the south.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends approval with conditions of the zone change request. The proposed PUD amendment is consistent with CMC policy and is consistent with the layout of the previously approved PUD.

#### CONDITIONS

• All sign permit application shall be reviewed by Planning staff. Signage shall follow Zoning Code requirements except as follows:

#### On-premises ground signs

- Each ground sign permitted along the Old Hickory Boulevard frontage shall have a maximum height of 12 feet.
- If permitted by the base zoning district, any sign oriented to a controlled access highway shall be placed within 50 feet of the south property line of the PUD adjacent to Interstate 40 and shall have a minimum setback of 150 feet from Old Hickory Boulevard.
- 1. Construct access drive with 1 entering lane and 2 exiting lanes between the first internal driveway and Old Hickory Blvd with transition per AASHTO/MUTCD standards.
- 2. Provide adequate sight distance 565 ft to the north and 500 ft to the south.
- 3. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 4. If the PUD final site plan or final plat indicates that there is less acreage than what is shown on the approved preliminary plan, the final site plan shall be appropriately adjusted to show the actual total acreage, which may require that the total number of dwelling units or total floor area be reduced.
- 5. Prior to any additional development applications for this property, and in no event later than 120 days after the effective date of the enacting ordinance, the applicant shall provide the Planning Department with a corrected copy of the preliminary PUD plan. If a corrected copy of the preliminary PUD plan incorporating the conditions of approval therein is not provided to the Planning Department within 120 days of the effective date of the enacting ordinance, then the corrected copy of the preliminary PUD plan shall be presented to the Metro Council as an amendment to this PUD ordinance prior to approval of any grading, clearing, grubbing, final site plan, or any other development application for the property.

Approved with conditions (8-0), Consent Agenda

#### Resolution No. RS2010-127

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 118-85P-001 is **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS.** (8-0)

#### **Conditions of Approval:**

• All sign permit application shall be reviewed by Planning staff. Signage shall follow Zoning Code requirements except as follows:

#### On-premises ground signs

- Each ground sign permitted along the Old Hickory Boulevard frontage shall have a maximum height of 12 feet.
- If permitted by the base zoning district, any sign oriented to a controlled access highway shall be placed within 50 feet of the south property line of the PUD adjacent to Interstate 40 and shall have a minimum setback of 150 feet from Old Hickory Boulevard.
- 1. Construct access drive with 1 entering lane and 2 exiting lanes between the first internal driveway and Old Hickory

091410 Minutes.doc Page 11 of 35

Blvd with transition per AASHTO/MUTCD standards.

- 2. Provide adequate sight distance 565 ft to the north and 500 ft to the south.
- 3. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 4. If the PUD final site plan or final plat indicates that there is less acreage than what is shown on the approved preliminary plan, the final site plan shall be appropriately adjusted to show the actual total acreage, which may require that the total number of dwelling units or total floor area be reduced.
- 5. Prior to any additional development applications for this property, and in no event later than 120 days after the effective date of the enacting ordinance, the applicant shall provide the Planning Department with a corrected copy of the preliminary PUD plan. If a corrected copy of the preliminary PUD plan incorporating the conditions of approval therein is not provided to the Planning Department within 120 days of the effective date of the enacting ordinance, then the corrected copy of the preliminary PUD plan shall be presented to the Metro Council as an amendment to this PUD ordinance prior to approval of any grading, clearing, grubbing, final site plan, or any other development application for the property.

The proposed amendment to the previously approved PUD plan is consistent with the Bellevue Community Plan's Commercial Mixed Concentration land use policy and is consistent with the previously approved preliminary PUD."

#### 8. 2010P-002-001

Tom's Elite Carryout
Map: 083-05 Parcel: 228
East Nashville Community Plan
Council District 6 – Mike Jameson

Staff Reviewer: Brian Sexton

A request for preliminary approval for a proposed Planned Unit Development Overlay located at 501 Gallatin Avenue, within the Gallatin Pike Specific Plan District, at the northeast corner of Gallatin Avenue and Calvin Avenue, (0.29 acres), to permit an existing 1,800 square foot restaurant an exemption from beer regulations requiring a 100 foot minimum distance from a residential use. requested by Tom's Elite Carryout, applicant, Floyd Robinson et ux, owners.

Staff Recommendation: Approve with a condition

## APPLICANT REQUEST - Exemption from minimum distance requirements of the beer regulations.

**Preliminary PUD and Final Site Plan** A request for preliminary and final site plan approval for a proposed Planned Unit Development Overlay located at 501 Gallatin Avenue, within the Gallatin Pike Specific Plan District, at the northeast corner of Gallatin Avenue and Calvin Avenue, (0.29 acres) to permit an existing 1,800 square foot restaurant an exemption from beer regulations requiring a 100 foot minimum distance from a residential use.

#### **Existing Zoning**

SP District - Specific Plan is a zoning district category that provides for additional flexibility of design, including the relationship of streets to buildings, to provide the ability to implement the specific details of the General Plan. *The Gallatin Pike SP permits a mix of uses*.

#### CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**PLAN DETAILS** Section 7.08.090.E of the Metro Code allows some restaurants with a valid on-premises liquor license from the state Alcoholic Beverage Commission to be exempt from the minimum distance requirements included in the beer permit provisions of the Metro Code. In order to qualify for the exemption, the restaurant must be on property that is subject to a Commercial Planned Unit Development (PUD).

The proposed PUD plan is to allow an existing 1,800 square foot restaurant at the corner of Gallatin Avenue and Calvin

091410 Minutes.doc Page 12 of 35

Avenue to serve low alcohol content beer. The existing restaurant is located less than 100 feet from residential uses along Calvin Avenue. By placing the commercial PUD on the property, the restaurant can be exempted from this requirement. All requirements of the Gallatin SP District will remain on this property.

At this time, there is not a valid on-premises liquor license from the state Alcoholic Beverage Commission associated with the restaurant. Before the applicant can qualify for an exemption, this license must be obtained.

The property contains 15 on-site parking spaces which meets the requirements of the Zoning Code, and is accessed from Calvin Avenue.

An existing dumpster area on east side of the building is inadequately screened. As a condition of approval of this PUD, staff recommends that the dumpster area be screened with an opaque or wooden fence.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends approval with a condition since the proposed PUD plan meets the requirements of the PUD previsions of the Zoning Code. The applicant will not be able to apply for the exemption until an on-premises liquor license has been granted by the State for this restaurant.

#### CONDITION

1. Dumpster area shall be screened with opaque or wooden fencing.

Approved with a condition (8-0), Consent Agenda

## Resolution No. RS2010-128

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2010P-002-001 is **APPROVED WITH A CONDITION. (8-0)** 

## **Condition of Approval:**

1. Dumpster area shall be screened with opaque or wooden fencing.

The proposed Planned Unit Development meets the requirements of the PUD provisions."

#### IX. PUBLIC HEARING: REVISED SITE PLANS

#### 9. 1-74P-002

Hickory Hollow Mall Map: 163-00 Parcel: 224

Antioch/Priest Lake Community Plan Council District 32 – Sam Coleman Staff Reviewer: Jason Swaggart

A request to revise the preliminary plan for a portion of the Hickory Hollow Mall Commercial Planned Unit Development located at 853 Bell Road, at the corner of Bell Road and Mt. View Road, zoned SCR (6.04 acres), to permit the construction of a 3,209 square foot fast food restaurant, requested by Dale & Associates, applicant, for Courtyard at Hickory Hollow Ltd. PTSP, owner.

**Staff Recommendation: Approve with conditions** 

## APPLICANT REQUEST -Revise preliminary plan to permit a restaurant.

**Revise Preliminary Plan** A request to revise the preliminary plan for a portion of the Hickory Hollow Mall Commercial Planned Unit Development located at 853 Bell Road, at the corner of Bell Road and Mt. View Road, zoned Shopping Center Regional (SCR) (6.04 acres), to permit the construction of a 3,209 square foot fast food restaurant.

## **Existing Zoning**

SCR District - Shopping Center Regional is intended for high intensity retail, office, and consumer service uses for a regional market area.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 13 of 35

#### CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**REQUEST DETAILS** The Hickory Hollow Mall PUD is located immediately north of Interstate 24 along Bell Road in southeast Nashville. It includes the Hickory Hollow Mall and much of the surrounding commercial area along Bell Road and Hickory Hollow Lane.

This proposed revision to the preliminary PUD plan is for an outparcel located at the southwest corner of Bell Road and Mt. View Road. The parcel is developed and currently includes three individual buildings with approximately 89,321 square feet of floor area. The largest of the three buildings is the Carmike Cinema.

The plan calls for the removal of one of the three existing buildings and the construction of a new building which will house Zaxby's Restaurant. The building to be removed is 7,538 square feet in size and is located along Bell Road. While it is located along Bell Road, the building backs onto Bell Road. The new restaurant will be located within the existing parking lot near the intersection of the entrance road into Hickory Hollow Mall (Hickory Hollow Place) and Bell Road.

The proposed restaurant is 3,209 square feet in size, and seats 70 persons. Access to this parcel will continue to be from Hickory Hollow Place. No new access points are proposed.

The proposed restaurant will not be on a separate parcel, but is part of a larger parcel which also contains the cinema and another retail building. With the proposed restaurant the overall floor area on the parcel will be 81,848 square feet.

The parking area has been rearranged to accommodate the proposed restaurant. While the parcel does not contain the required amount of parking spaces, there is additional parking located on adjacent parcels which are within the same PUD. The applicant plans to conduct a parking survey and, prior to final site plan approval will submit a shared parking agreement in compliance with Zoning Code requirements.

**Staff Analysis** The proposed plan is consistent with the concept of the Council approved PUD plan. Restaurant is a use that is permitted in the Council approved PUD and since the proposal will decrease the floor area from what currently exist, the request can be approved by the Planning Commission as a revision. While the parcel does not contain enough parking spaces to meet the minimum number of spaces required by zoning, surrounding parcels contain adequate parking, and the Zoning Code permits shared parking for properties within Planned Unit Developments. Prior to final site plan approval a parking study will need to be completed and a shared parking agreement will need to be submitted for review.

#### PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The developer's final construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.
- 2. Provide a shared parking analysis prior to Final PUD submittal.
- 3. If sidewalks are required design per the Strategic Plan for Sidewalks along arterials and provide a pedestrian connection to Lot H.

## STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION Preliminary PUD approved with conditions:

1. If water quality offset can't be managed, then an 80% TSS removal unit will be installed within the Zaxby development.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends that the request be approved with conditions. The proposal meets all zoning requirements and is consistent with the overall concept of the original PUD plan approved by Council.

## **CONDITIONS**

- 1. To ensure adequate parking for the parcel a shared parking plan, as required by Section 17.20.100 of the Zoning Code shall be approved by the Department of Public Works. Final site plan for this project shall not be approved until Public Works has approved the shared parking arrangement.
- 2. There shall be no pole signs allowed with this restaurant, and all free standing signs shall be monument type not to exceed five feet in height. Changeable LED, video signs or similar signs allowing automatic changeable messages shall be prohibited. All other signs shall meet the base zoning requirements, and must be approved by the

091410 Minutes.doc Page 14 of 35

Metro Department of Codes Administration.

- 3. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 4. If the PUD final site plan or final plat indicates that there is less acreage than what is shown on the approved preliminary plan, the final site plan shall be appropriately adjusted to show the actual total acreage, which may require that the total number of dwelling units or total floor area be reduced.

Mr. Swaggart presented the staff recommendation of approval with conditions.

Michael Garrigan, 516 Heather Place, spoke in favor of staff recommendation of approval with conditions.

Councilman Coleman spoke in favor of staff recommendation of approval with conditions.

Mr. Clifton moved and Mr. Dalton seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to close the Public Hearing and approve staff recommendation. (8-0)

#### Resolution No. RS2010-129

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 1-74P-002 is **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS.** (8-0)

## **Conditions of Approval:**

- 1. To ensure adequate parking for the parcel a shared parking plan, as required by Section 17.20.100 of the Zoning Code shall be approved by the Department of Public Works. Final site plan for this project shall not be approved until Public Works has approved the shared parking arrangement.
- 2. There shall be no pole signs allowed with this restaurant, and all free standing signs shall be monument type not to exceed five feet in height. Changeable LED, video signs or similar signs allowing automatic changeable messages shall be prohibited. All other signs shall meet the base zoning requirements, and must be approved by the Metro Department of Codes Administration.
- 3. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 4. If the PUD final site plan or final plat indicates that there is less acreage than what is shown on the approved preliminary plan, the final site plan shall be appropriately adjusted to show the actual total acreage, which may require that the total number of dwelling units or total floor area be reduced."

## 10. 103-79P-002

Old Hickory Skilled Nursing Facility

Map: 044-14-0-A Parcels: 001, 002, 003, 004, 005

Map: 053-00 Parcel: 051

Donelson/Hermitage/Old Hickory Community Plan

Council District 11 – Darren Jernigan

Staff Reviewer: Brian Sexton

A request to revise the preliminary plan and for final approval for a portion of the Old Hickory Skilled Nursing Facility Planned Unit Development Overlay located on properties at 1220, 1230, 1246 and 1250 Robinson Road and at Robinson Road (unnumbered), approximately 250 feet north of Martingale Drive (13.49 acres), zoned MUN, to permit a 77,000 square foot nursing home containing 124 beds, requested by Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc., applicant, for Nashville Medical Investors, LLC, owner.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 15 of 35

## Staff Recommendation: Approve with conditions

Deferred to the September 23, 2010, Planning Commission Meeting. (8-0) Consent Agenda

The Metropolitan Planning Commission DEFERRED 103-79P-002 to the September 23, 2010, Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant. (8-0)

#### 11. 2005P-010-002

Nashville Commons at Skyline
Map: 050-12-0-A Parcel: 005
Parkwood/Union Hill Community Plan
Council District 3 – Walter Hunt
Staff Reviewer: Jason Swaggart

A request to revise the preliminary plan and for final approval for a portion of the Nashville Commons at Skyline Planned Unit Development Overlay located at 3434 Doverside Drive, at the southwest corner of Doverside Drive and Dickerson Pike (2.01 acres), zoned SCR, to permit a 2,756 square automobile convenience facility and a 2,500 square foot retail store, requested by Greenberg Farrow, applicant, for Nashville Commons, L.P., owner.

Staff Recommendation: Defer until Stormwater issues have been adequately addressed. If Stormwater recommends approval prior to the meeting then the request can be approved with conditions including revised Public Works conditions received on September 3, 2010.

**APPLICANT REQUEST - Revise preliminary plan and final site plan approval for a fuel center and market. Revise Preliminary PUD and Final Site Plan** A request to revise the preliminary plan and for final approval for a portion of the Nashville Commons at Skyline Planned Unit Development Overlay located at 3434 Doverside Drive, at the southwest corner of Doverside Drive and Dickerson Pike (2.01 acres), zoned Shopping Center Regional (SCR), to permit a 2,756 square automobile convenience facility and a 2,500 square foot retail store.

#### **Existing Zoning**

Shopping Center Regional (SCR) - <u>Shopping Center Regional</u> is intended for high intensity retail, office, and consumer service uses for a regional market area.

#### CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**REQUEST DETAILS** This is a request to revise the last approved preliminary plan and for final site plan approval for a portion of the Nashville Commons at Skyline Planned Unit Development. The subject property is currently vacant, and is located on an out parcel at the southwest corner of Dickerson Pike and Doverside Drive.

**Revision to Preliminary** The plan proposes revisions to the last approved preliminary plan. The parcel was last approved for 14,000 square feet of retail space. The proposed plan calls for a 2,756 square foot fuel station and market (automobile convenience) and a 2,500 square foot retail building. While automobile convenience is not the use last approved for this parcel, it is permitted by the SCR base zoning and is not out of character with the commercial nature of this PUD. Since, the proposal does not increase the floor area from what was approved by Council, the use is permitted by the base zoning district and is compatible with other uses in the PUD, then the request can be approved as a revision, and does not require approval by Metro Council.

**Site Plan** The proposed plan calls for a 2,757 square foot fuel station and market with twelve pumps and a 2,500 square foot retail shop. The two uses will be on separate parcels but will both be accessed by one shared drive from Doverside Drive. Since access will be shared then an access easement will be required. The final plan approval is for the fuel station only. The retail shop will require a separate final approval from the Planning Commission.

## PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The developer's final construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.
- 2. Add note to cover sheet "All work in the public right-of-way requires a permit from the Department of Public Works".

091410 Minutes.doc Page 16 of 35

- 3. Modify plan to show removal of existing paved drive and show a metro driveway ramp ST-324. Include this and all other metro standard details as applicable.
- 4. Remove marked crosswalk at driveway from the plan.
- 5. Landscaping plan shall ensure that adequate sight distance is provided.
- 6. Provide 2 exit lanes with 50 ft of storage at full access drive.
- 7. Access is limited to one drive as approved in the Council approved PUD plan.

## STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION Not Approved

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends that the request be deferred until Stormwater issues have been adequately addressed. If Stormwater issues are adequately addressed prior to the meeting then staff recommends that the request be approved with conditions. The proposal meets all zoning requirements and is consistent with the overall concept of the original PUD plan approved by Council.

#### **CONDITIONS**

- 1. There shall be no pole signs allowed, and all free standing signs shall be monument type not to exceed six feet in height. All other signs shall meet the base zoning requirements, and must be approved by the Metro Department of Codes Administration.
- 2. Prior to the issuance of any building permits for the fuel station and market a final plat shall be recorded consistent with this PUD plan, and shall include a shared access easement.
- 3. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Stormwater Management division of Water Services.
- 4. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Traffic Engineering Sections of the Metro Department of Public Works for all improvements within public rights of way.
- 5. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 6. Authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four additional copies of the approved plans have been submitted to the Metro Planning Commission.
- 7. The PUD final site plan as approved by the Planning Commission will be used by the Department of Codes Administration to determine compliance, both in the issuance of permits for construction and field inspection. Significant deviation from these plans may require reapproval by the Planning Commission and/or Metro Council.
- 8. A corrected copy of the PUD final site plan incorporating the conditions of approval by the Planning Commission shall be provided to the Planning Department prior to the issuance of any permit for this property, and in any event no later than 120 days after the date of conditional approval by the Planning Commission. Failure to submit a corrected copy of the final PUD site plan within 120 days will void the Commission's approval and require resubmission of the plan to the Planning Commission.

Approved with conditions (8-0), Consent Agenda

## Resolution No. RS2010-130

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2005P-010-002 is **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS** including revised Public Works conditions received on September 3, 2010. (8-0)

#### **Conditions of Approval:**

1. There shall be no pole signs allowed, and all free standing signs shall be monument type not to exceed six feet in height. All other signs shall meet the base zoning requirements, and must be approved by the Metro Department of Codes Administration.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 17 of 35

- 2. Prior to the issuance of any building permits for the fuel station and market a final plat shall be recorded consistent with this PUD plan, and shall include a shared access easement.
- 3. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Stormwater Management division of Water Services.
- 4. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Traffic Engineering Sections of the Metro Department of Public Works for all improvements within public rights of way.
- 5. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 6. Authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four additional copies of the approved plans have been submitted to the Metro Planning Commission.
- 7. The PUD final site plan as approved by the Planning Commission will be used by the Department of Codes Administration to determine compliance, both in the issuance of permits for construction and field inspection. Significant deviation from these plans may require reapproval by the Planning Commission and/or Metro Council.
- 8. A corrected copy of the PUD final site plan incorporating the conditions of approval by the Planning Commission shall be provided to the Planning Department prior to the issuance of any permit for this property, and in any event no later than 120 days after the date of conditional approval by the Planning Commission. Failure to submit a corrected copy of the final PUD site plan within 120 days will void the Commission's approval and require resubmission of the plan to the Planning Commission."

## 12. 2005P-023-001

Belle Arbor, Phase Two

Map: 041-00 Parcel: part of 147 Map: 050-00 Parcel: part of 031 Parkwood/Union Hill Community Plan Council District 3 – Walter Hunt Staff Reviewer: Jason Swaggart

A request to revise the preliminary and for final approval for a portion of the Belle Arbor Planned Unit Development Overlay located on a portion of properties at 3549 Brick Church Pike and at Westchester Drive (unnumbered), at the end of Belle Arbor Drive (16.0 acres), zoned RM6 and partially located within the Floodplain Overlay District, to permit 44 single-family lots, requested by Dale & Associates, applicant, for Eatherly/Ring Joint Venture, owner.

Staff Recommendation: Approve with conditions

## APPLICANT REQUEST -Revise preliminary plan and final site plan for Phase Two.

**Revise Preliminary PUD and Final Site Plan** A request to revised the preliminary plan and final approval for a portion of the Belle Arbor Planned Unit Development Overlay located on a portion of properties at 3549 Brick Church Pike and at Westchester Drive (unnumbered), at the end of Belle Arbor Drive (16.0 acres), zoned Multi-Family Residential (RM6), One and Two-Family Residential (R10) and partially located within the Floodplain Overlay District, to permit 44 single-family lots.

## **Existing Zoning**

RM6 District -  $\underline{RM6}$  is intended for single-family, duplex, and multi-family dwellings at a density of 6 dwelling units per acre

R10 District - R10 requires a minimum 10,000 square foot lot and is intended for single -family dwellings and duplexes at an

091410 Minutes.doc Page 18 of 35

overall density of 4.63 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots.

FO District -Floodplain Overlay District represents all properties or portions of properties within the floodway, the 100 year FEMA floodplain, including specific local flood basin studies, and is established to preserve the function and value of floodplains and floodways to store and convey floodwater flows through existing and natural flood conveyance systems to minimize damage to property and human life.

#### CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

## REQUEST DETAILS

**General** This is a request to revise the last approved preliminary plan and for final site plan approval for Phase Two. The properties are within a residential Planned Unit Development. Construction has begun on Phase One, which was approved by the Planning Commission in May of 2008. The remainder of the area within the PUD is undeveloped and consists of mostly open pasture and some wooded areas. The property is also bisected by two streams.

**History** The original plan was named Victory Village and has been renamed to Belle Arbor. The Planning Commission recommended that the Council approve the preliminary plan at its September 8, 2005, meeting, and the plan was subsequently approved by Metro Council in January 2006. The original plan included 135 single-family lots, 28 two-family lots (56 units), 155 multi-family units, an assisted-living facility with 75 beds, and a community center. In November 2007 the Planning Commission approved a revision to the preliminary that included 135 single-family lots, 164 townhomes and cottages, and 36 duplex lots (72 units) with an overall density of 4.3 units per acre, eliminating the assisted-living facility, and the community center. The last revision, approved in 2008, proposed minor changes to the lot and open space layout, but did not increase the density or alter the basic concept of the last Council approved plan.

**Revision to Preliminary** The plan proposes revisions to the last approved preliminary plan. Minor changes include modifications to the overall lot and open space layout. No additional lots are proposed; however the housing mix is different (see below table). The revision also adds additional amenities to the plan such as paved walking paths.

Approved	Proposed
135 Single-Family	181 Single-Family
72 Duplex Units	62 Duplex Units
164 Town Homes	103 Town Homes
371 Total	25 Cottage Units
	371 Total

**Final Site Plan** The final site plan for Phase Two is for 44 single-family lots on approximately 16 acres. Phase Two will be accessed from Brick Church Pike, through Phase One, from Brick Drive which serves the adjacent subdivision to the west. All lots are front loaded and will be accessed off of new public streets.

**Floodplain Overlay** A portion of this phase is encumbered with flood plain from an unnamed tributary of North Fork Ewing Creek and is designated within a Flood Plain Overlay District. Several lots are within flood plain; however, the applicant has petitioned to have the floodplain redrawn and the revised line will move the lots further out of the floodplain. The proposed redrawing will require approval from F.E.M.A. and must be constructed prior to approval of the change. Stormwater has indicated that they have no concerns with the proposed change.

**Staff Analysis** While the plan is slightly different than the preliminary that was last approved by the Planning Commission, the changes are consistent with the last approved preliminary plan's concept. The proposed changes provide more amenities which enhance the overall project. Staff has no concerns with the proposed revision as Phase Two meets all zoning requirements.

#### PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The developer's final construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.
- 2. The bridge on Belle Arbor Drive connecting Phase 2 to Phase 3 of this development shall be bonded with the recording of the final plat for phase 2, and shall be constructed prior to the recording of any additional plats for any phase of the Belle Arbor development.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 19 of 35

3. Comply with all previous traffic conditions.

## STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION Approved with conditions:

- Grading permit fee \$1550;
- 2. Use NGVD-29 for floodplain development benchmark;
- 3. Provide overall water quality map;
- 4. Provide bioretention surface area calc.;
- 5. Flow velocity should not be less than 2.5 fps inside pipe;
- 6. \$67 recording fee to Register of Deeds.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends that the request be approved with conditions. The proposal meets all zoning requirements and is consistent with the overall concept of the original PUD plan approved by Council.

#### CONDITIONS

- 1. The bridge on Belle Arbor Drive connecting Phase Two to Phase Three of this development shall be bonded with the recording of the final plat for Phase Two, and shall be constructed prior to the recording of any additional plats for any phase of the Belle Arbor development. This condition shall be noted on sheet EX.1.1, and shall be noted on corresponding subdivision plats.
- 2. The southernmost bridge on Westchester Drive shall be bonded with the recording of the final plat for Phase Three, and shall be constructed prior to the recordation of any additional phases on the east side of the stream. This condition shall be noted on sheet EX.1.1, and shall be noted on corresponding subdivision plats.
- 3. While the PUD permits duplex lots, locations shall be approved by the Planning Commission and shall be located at appropriate locations such as corners and shall not be concentrated within one area. If appropriate locations are not provided then the Planning Commission may limit the total number of duplex lots below what is shown in the site data table.
- 4. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Stormwater Management division of Water Services.
- 5. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Traffic Engineering Sections of the Metro Department of Public Works for all improvements within public rights of way.
- 6. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 7. Authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four additional copies of the approved plans have been submitted to the Metro Planning Commission.
- 8. The PUD final site plan as approved by the Planning Commission will be used by the Department of Codes Administration to determine compliance, both in the issuance of permits for construction and field inspection. Significant deviation from these plans may require reapproval by the Planning Commission and/or Metro Council.
- 9. A corrected copy of the PUD final site plan incorporating the conditions of approval by the Planning Commission shall be provided to the Planning Department prior to the issuance of any permit for this property, and in any event no later than 120 days after the date of conditional approval by the Planning Commission. Failure to submit a corrected copy of the final PUD site plan within 120 days will void the Commission's approval and require resubmission of the plan to the Planning Commission.

Approved with conditions (8-0), Consent Agenda

## Resolution No. RS2010-131

091410 Minutes.doc Page 20 of 35

## "BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2005P-023-001 is **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. (8-0)**

## **Conditions of Approval:**

- 1. The bridge on Belle Arbor Drive connecting Phase Two to Phase Three of this development shall be bonded with the recording of the final plat for Phase Two, and shall be constructed prior to the recording of any additional plats for any phase of the Belle Arbor development. This condition shall be noted on sheet EX.1.1, and shall be noted on corresponding subdivision plats.
- 2. The southernmost bridge on Westchester Drive shall be bonded with the recording of the final plat for Phase Three, and shall be constructed prior to the recordation of any additional phases on the east side of the stream. This condition shall be noted on sheet EX.1.1, and shall be noted on corresponding subdivision plats.
- 3. While the PUD permits duplex lots, locations shall be approved by the Planning Commission and shall be located at appropriate locations such as corners and shall not be concentrated within one area. If appropriate locations are not provided then the Planning Commission may limit the total number of duplex lots below what is shown in the site data table.
- 4. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Stormwater Management division of Water Services.
- 5. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of PUD final site plan approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Traffic Engineering Sections of the Metro Department of Public Works for all improvements within public rights of way.
- 6. The requirements of the Metro Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 7. Authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four additional copies of the approved plans have been submitted to the Metro Planning Commission.
- 8. The PUD final site plan as approved by the Planning Commission will be used by the Department of Codes Administration to determine compliance, both in the issuance of permits for construction and field inspection. Significant deviation from these plans may require reapproval by the Planning Commission and/or Metro Council.
- 9. A corrected copy of the PUD final site plan incorporating the conditions of approval by the Planning Commission shall be provided to the Planning Department prior to the issuance of any permit for this property, and in any event no later than 120 days after the date of conditional approval by the Planning Commission. Failure to submit a corrected copy of the final PUD site plan within 120 days will void the Commission's approval and require resubmission of the plan to the Planning Commission."

## 13. 2006IN-002-004

Belmont University

Map: 104-1 Parcels: 309, Part of 308, Part of 352 Map: 105-09 Parcels: 009, 057, 058, Part of 059

Green Hills/Midtown Community Plan Council District 18 – Kristine LaLonde

Staff Reviewer: Brenda Bernards

A request for final approval for a portion of the Belmont University Institutional Overlay district located at 1501, 1503, and 1505 Acklen Avenue and on a portion of properties at 1515 Wedgewood Avenue and 15th Avenue South (unnumbered), (5.1 acres), zoned RM20, to permit a 75,000 square foot College of Law, requested by Littlejohn Engineering Associates Inc., applicant, for Belmont University, owner.

Staff Recommendation: Approve with conditions and recommend to the Council that the Belmont University IO

091410 Minutes.doc Page 21 of 35

#### remain in place.

## APPLICANT REQUEST - Final Plan approval for the College of Law Building

**Final Plan Approval** A request for final approval for a portion of the Belmont University Institutional Overlay district located at 1501, 1503, and 1505 Acklen Avenue and on a portion of properties at 1515 Wedgewood Avenue and 15th Avenue South (unnumbered), (5.1 acres), zoned RM20, to permit a 75,000 square foot College of Law.

#### CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**PLAN DETAILS** Belmont University has requested final site plan approval for a building to house the College of Law and associated campus alterations to support the new building. These alterations include roadway improvements, a plaza in front of the Pharmacy building and additional parking facilities. The roadway improvements include the removal of one house within the residential buffer on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue, north of Acklen Drive, and the loss of a portion of the on-street parking along this section of 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

The proposed building and plaza is within the Academic Core Zone. This zone has been envisioned as a mall with pedestrian oriented green spaces connecting the buildings within the zone. The current site of the tennis courts were envisioned as future building sites oriented towards 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue. The proposed College of Law will occupy one of these building sites and the plaza is a portion of the pedestrian mall.

**Traffic Signal at 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Wedgewood Avenue** The initial approval of the IO did not require a traffic signal at 15<sup>th</sup> and Wedgewood Avenues. With the construction of the College of Law, a traffic signal is warranted at this intersection. While a final site plan can be approved administratively if it is consistent with the approved preliminary plan, condition No. 20 of Council Bill 2005-555, the ordinance establishing the Belmont IO, states:

"20. Approval of the IO overlay does not require the installation of a traffic signal at 15th Ave. South, and Wedgewood Avenue by Belmont University. If Belmont University proposes or otherwise agrees to provide for the installation of a traffic signal at that location, the Planning Commission must review the approved development plan and provide a recommendation to Council as to the impact on the neighborhood and whether the IO should be continued."

As a traffic signal is proposed with this final site plan approval, the Planning Commission must review this plan and make a recommendation to the Council.

**Parking Study** A parking study accompanied the application. A new 504-space parking structure will be located beneath the College of Law and will be accessed from Acklen Campus Drive to 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue. Currently there are 88 surface parking spaces on this site. These spaces will be eliminated with the new building. The total number of parking spaces on the campus with the opening of the new parking structure will be 3,750. A second parking study was completed to assess the parking needs of the residential properties on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue north of Acklen Avenue. This study is discussed in more detail below.

**Traffic Impact Study** 17.36.350.B of the Zoning Code, requires an updated Traffic Impact Study (TIS) every five years for IO Districts. The original ordinance adopted the Belmont IO District on August 19, 2005. An update to the TIS accompanied the submission of the final site plan for the College of Law. The TIS included three scenarios of potential student enrollment and already identified development growth since 2005. Scenario 1 includes the construction and occupancy of the College of Law and Scenarios 2 and 3 include the development of specific areas within the IO associated with enrollment growth. The analyses showed that the projected volume of traffic associated with the proposed scenarios can be accommodated with specific roadway and traffic control improvements. Public Works has identified a number of improvements that must be made in order to accommodate the College of Law.

**Residential Buffer Zone** The proposed road design to accommodate the College of Law include two round-about style intersections. A residential structure within the Residential Buffer Zone will be impacted in order to accommodate the round-about for the Acklen Avenue and 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue intersection. At a minimum, the front porch of the structure will need to be removed and the side setback will be reduced to zero feet to three feet along Acklen Avenue. The applicant has proposed the removal of this house. The area of the property not included in the round-about is proposed to be landscaped.

There is a limited description in the adopting ordinance of what the Residential Buffer Zone consists of – only that it must be

091410 Minutes.doc Page 22 of 35

in place. This section needs to be strengthened to clarify that the residential buffer means residential units – either the units currently in place or if any need to be replaced, the replacement will be of a similar style as the existing structures. While the loss of the house at 1812 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue affects the buffer, staff is recommending approval of its removal as it is on the corner and the integrity of the buffer will continue with five remaining units. If the house is to remain, details of the front of the house will need to be provided to show how a renovated porch can be accommodated and details of how the impact of the reduced setback can be mitigated through landscaping or other means. The IO District allows for setbacks to be reduced.

**Parking on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue** In order to support the traffic signal at Wedgewood and 15<sup>th</sup> Avenues, turn lanes will need to be added and a number of the on-street parking spaces on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue will need to be removed. Initially, the applicant indicated four on-street parking spaces would remain on the eastern side of 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue. There are three properties on the block not owned by Belmont University. As the University acquires the property, the intention is to widen 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue to accommodate the necessary lanes and add back four parking spaces on the eastern side. In order to ensure this parking is reserved for residents and not used by students or visitors to the university, a Residential Parking Permit program has been approved by the Metro Traffic and Parking Commission.

In a letter to the Executive Director of the Planning Department, Belmont Hillsboro Neighborhood, Inc. expressed concern with the loss of the on street parking and its impact on the Residential Buffer Zone. Belmont responded to the letter by preparing a parking study for this block and proposing an alternative interim parking plan.

The parking study analyzed the bedroom count of each unit within the residences facing 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue. Based on the Zoning Code, 40 parking spaces would be required. On-site parking capacity for these properties is 39 spaces. The majority of these would be accessed from the existing rear alley.

In order to fully utilize this potential, Belmont will need to make the rear alley parking as convenient and accessible as possible. This will require the development and implementation of a plan identifying any improvements, a program of on going maintenance within the alley and the regularization of the parking on each property, including clearly identifying the spaces.

An alternative interim parking plan for 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue has been developed and submitted to Public Works for review. In this proposal, the parking would be removed from the western side of 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue, there would be two lanes of travel and the majority of the parking on the east side would remain. As the remaining properties are acquired, Belmont would provide additional ROW and widen the street to allow for the turn lanes.

**Belmont Advisory Committee** An advisory committee made up of community and Belmont representation was established with the Council conditions of the IO. A meeting of this Committee was held on August 30, 2010, to discuss the impacts of the College of Law on the Residential Buffer Zone. Also in attendance were property owners on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue, a representative of Belmont Hillsboro Neighborhood, Inc., Councilmember LaLonde, Belmont's traffic engineer, and a member of the Planning staff. The discussion focused on the loss of parking on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue and the need for the round-about and the resulting loss of the house at 1812 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

While the property owners on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue could support the newly proposed interim parking plan, the Belmont Hillsboro Neighborhood, Inc. continued to voice concern as parking on both sides of the street is viewed as a traffic calming tool.

The project's traffic engineer stated that a round-about is a safer traffic option than the current four-way stop. In addition, it will act as a traffic calming measure as cars must slow down to enter the circle. There was no clear consensus on the need to retain the house in order not to compromise the integrity of the Residential Buffer Zone.

**Recommendation to the Council** As noted above, the Commission must review the approved development plan and provide a recommendation to Council as to the impact of the traffic signal at Wedgewood and 15<sup>th</sup> Avenues on the neighborhood and whether the IO should be continued. Staff recommends that the IO be continued since the proposed traffic signal is warranted by development that was contemplated in the original IO document approved by Council. The proposed TIS includes mitigation measures to adequately address traffic impacts of the continued development within the IO as was called for in the original Council approved plan.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 23 of 35

#### **NES RECOMMENDATION**

- 1) Developer drawing should show any existing utilities easements on property and the utility poles on the property and/or r-o-w.
- 2) 20-foot public utility easement required adjacent to public r-o-w.
- Any additional easements required on off-site work that are not part of this plan must be obtained by the developer or the engineer for the developer.
- 4) NES can meet with developer/engineer upon request to determine a location for the riser pole for electrical service into Belmont University. Existing and proposed conduit system and riser pole <u>not</u> shown on Site Utilities Plan Sheet C6.0. NES must approve of the proposed riser location.
- 5) All street lighting shall meet Metro/NES requirements for the public r-o-w. The conduit, footings, poles and fixtures must be installed by developer NES needs locations of street light bases for conduit stub-outs to those general areas.

**WATER SERVICES RECOMMENDATION** Approve with conditions. Capacity has been purchased for this site. All applicable tap fees and any remaining capacity fees must be paid at the time of the application for the sewer/water connection permits.

#### STORMWATER RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. See Item No. 7 from the 1<sup>st</sup> Technical Review. Silt Fence and Inlet Protection is listed in the Legend on Plan Sheet C2.0 and C2.1. Installation of Silt Fence is an item in the Project Schedule. Correct as the silt fences are not applicable to this project. Inlet Protection is not shown on the sheets.
- 2. No spread footage was provided in the Hydraulic Calculations spreadsheet. Maximum spread is 8 feet.
- 3. The copy of the Tennessee General Construction Stormwater Permit NOC letter was not included in the last revisions as stated.
- 4. Register of Deeds fees for the Long Term Maintenance Plan and a completed Inspection and Maintenance Agreement will be \$82, payable to Davidson County Register of Deeds.

The Grading Permit Fee of \$890, payable to Metro Water Services, and three sets of plans will be due prior to Technical Review completion.

## **PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION** As Public Works staff continue to evaluate the TIS, supplementary requirements may be added.

- 1. The developer's final construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.
- 2. Add note to cover sheet "All streets to remain open to local traffic during construction."
- 3. Show construction detail for core in roundabout. Truck apron to be stamped concrete 8" thick or stamped asphalt.
- 4. Curbing on roundabout center and splitter islands to be TDOT mountable curb and gutter RP-MC-2 Type B.
- 5. Extend length on splitter island tails, however do not obstruct adjacent driveways.
- 6. Show splitter island details. Provide continuous concrete 8" thick, and add detectable warnings 24" minimum on pedestrian entry and exit points.
- 7. Provide a smooth flair on the entry and exit points of the southern leg into the roundabout (remove abrupt curbing), and on the entry lane of the east leg.
- 8. Dimension the inscribed circle radius on the roundabout.
- 9. Smooth and chamfer angled curbing in roundabout.
- 10. Provide a two foot minimum grass strip between sidewalk and curbing on roundabout.
- 11. Show and reference pavement detail PW ST-253 for all new pavement in the public right-of-way of 15<sup>th</sup>, Acklen, and Wedgewood Ave.
- 12. Show and reference PW standard drawings, ST-200, 210, 320, 324, and 330.
- On the northern leg of the roundabout, narrow the center striping on the exit lane to provide two feet of lane width buffer where on-street parking begins.
- 14. On alley entrance from Wedgewood Ave show and label TDOT mountable curb and gutter RP-MC-2 Type B.
- 15. Delete driveway ramp in the NW corner radius of 14<sup>th</sup> Ave S. and Wedgewood Ave.
- 16. Call out and label all new pavement on Wedgewood Ave as ST-253 pavement detail.
- 17. Add Public Works Standard notes to cover sheet:
- a. All work within the Public right-of-way requires an Excavation Permit from the Department of Public Works.
- b. Proof-rolling of ALL street sub-grades is required in the presence of the Public Works Inspector. This request is to

091410 Minutes.doc Page 24 of 35

- be made 24 hours in advance.
- c. Stop signs to be 30 inch by 30 inch.
- d. Street signs to have six inch white letters on a nine inch green aluminum blade.5. All signs to have 3M reflective coating.

In accordance with the recommendations of the traffic impact study for the proposed Belmont Law School, the following conditions shall apply:

## At Wedgewood Avenue and 15th Avenue:

- A traffic signal should be installed by the developer at the intersection Wedgewood Avenue and 15th Avenue South. Protected/permissive left turn signal phasing should be provided for the eastbound and westbound approaches. Split phase operation will likely be required for the northbound and southbound approaches of 15th Avenue. Coordinated signal operation (including communication connectivity) should be provided with the adjacent signalized intersections on Wedgewood Avenue. At a minimum, crosswalks and pedestrian signals should be provided across the north, south, and east legs of the intersection.
- Wedgewood Avenue shall be modified to include left turn lanes on the eastbound and westbound approaches to 15th Avenue. The eastbound left turn lane should be designed to include a minimum of 75 feet of storage. The westbound left turn lane should be designed to include a minimum of 200 feet of storage. Approach and departure tapers shall be designed per AASHTO standards.
- The northbound approach of 15th Avenue at Wedgewood Avenue should be modified to include a dedicated left turn lane and a shared left turn/through/right turn lane with approximately 100 feet of storage and 50 feet of taper. Some on-street parking for existing residences shall be maintained along the east side of 15th Avenue between Wedgewood Avenue and Acklen Avenue.

#### At 15th Avenue and Acklen Avenue/Acklen Campus Drive:

• A roundabout should be installed as the traffic control improvement at the intersection of 15th Avenue and Acklen Avenue. It is recommended that the turnaround and access points be designed utilizing modern roundabout geometric techniques; specifically, increased entry and exiting radius returns, entry deflection to the roundabout, splitter islands, and truck apron.

## At Wedgewood Avenue and Inman Alley:

• Due to the proposed traffic signal at the intersection of Wedgewood Avenue and 15th Avenue, eastbound queues on Wedgewood Avenue will routinely block the Inman Alley access to westbound left turns from Wedgewood Avenue. Therefore, in order to provide safe and efficient traffic operations at the intersection of Wedgewood Avenue and the Inman Alley, the Inman Alley should be modified to allow right turn entering movements only. As shown in Figure 5, this can be accomplished by increasing the curb return on the southwest corner and channelization improvements to the existing access. Widening of the alley would not be necessary to provide this improvement.

## At Acklen Campus Drive and Inman Alley/Belmont Law Access:

• A roundabout should be installed as the traffic control improvement at the intersection of Inman Alley and Acklen Campus Drive. It is recommended that the turnaround and access points be designed utilizing modern roundabout geometric techniques; specifically, increased entry and exiting radius returns, entry deflection to the roundabout, splitter islands, and truck apron.

In addition, the following comments/questions related to the proposed plan should be addressed:

- Any development scenarios beyond the Law School will require subsequent focused traffic studies to evaluate the expected traffic conditions and recommendations of the comprehensive 5-year traffic study update.
- Conduct a parking utilization study in the Fall of 2010 to determine existing parking utilization rates once the Inman garage expansion is open and re-evaluate the parking study assumptions as necessary.
- If a neighborhood parking permit program is implemented, a reevaluation of campus parking may be required.
- Clarification is needed to determine whether the interim parking to be utilized by the Law School (during building construction) is currently being utilized by other students.
- Additional analysis and discussion will be required to address concerns related to recommended future improvements along Wedgwood Avenue at the Inman alley and at 15th Avenue as has been discussed with the developer's traffic engineer.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 25 of 35

• Consider the need for a dedicated RT lane on Wedgewood at the existing Inman alley serving the Inman parking garage and provide an evaluation

## FIRE MARSHAL RECOMMENDATION Approved

**BELMONT UNIVERSITY NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY GROUP RECOMMENDATION** The neighborhood representatives on the Advisory Group will be providing comments prior to the Planning Commission meeting. Staff will prepare a summary of the comments received for the Commissioners.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends approval with conditions of the final site plan for the College of Law. Staff also recommends that the Planning Commission recommend to the Council that the Belmont IO remain in place.

#### CONDITIONS

- 1. The language of the Residential Buffer Zone shall be defined to clarify that residential uses make up the buffer and that the remaining structures shall be maintained to serve as the residential buffer and if there is any need for a structure to be replaced, the replacement shall be of a similar style as the existing structures.
- 2. Staff recommends the house at 1812 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue be removed and the remaining portion of property be used as open space that shall be designed to complement the landscaping of the round-about and continue the landscaping associated with the round-about along 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Acklen Drive. If the house is to remain, details of the front of the house shall be provided to show how a renovated porch can be accommodated and details of how the impact of the reduced setback can be mitigated through landscaping or other means.
- 3. Belmont shall design and redevelop the rear alley parking for properties on the east side of 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue between Acklen Drive and Wedgewood Avenue to be as convenient and accessible as possible prior to the removal of the onstreet parking. Belmont shall develop a plan identifying any improvements, a schedule for installing any improvements, a program of ongoing maintenance within the alley and the regularization of the parking on each property owned by the university, including clearly identifying the spaces. This plan shall be submitted to Planning Staff for review and approval.
- 4. The requirements of the Stormwater Division shall be met.
- 5. The requirements of the Public Works Department shall be met as specified in the Public Works recommendation for approval above, and including any recommendations from Public Works received prior to the meeting.

Ms. Bernards presented the staff recommendation of approval with revised conditions.

Jason Rogers, 1900 Belmont Blvd., asked for deferral of the traffic impact study consideration and spoke in favor of staff recommendation of approval with conditions for the site plan.

Mr. Ross Pepper of the Belmont-Hillsboro Neighborhood Steering Committee declined to speak at this time, stating that they will continue discussions with Belmont University.

Councilmember Gotto moved and Dr. Cummings seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to close the Public Hearing. (8-0)

Mr. Clifton stated his concurrence of holding open the conditions as it will allow additional time to study and consider other options. He further stated that the area of Belmont/Hillsboro and Hillsboro/West End has seen intensification of commercial uses in the past 10-15 years, and noted that this is a very fragile part of the city. He expressed his appreciation for all parties wanting to think this through as carefully as possible.

Councilmember Gotto stated his support for the proposal as recommended.

Mr. Clifton moved and Mr. Ponder seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to approve staff recommendation. (8-0)

091410 Minutes.doc Page 26 of 35

## Resolution No. RS2010-132

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2006IN-002-004 is **APPROVED WITH REVISED CONDITIONS the College of Law Building, parking garage and plaza. (8-0)** 

## **Conditions of Approval:**

- 1. The requirements of the Stormwater Division shall be met.
- 2. The developer's final construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.
- 3. Any development scenarios beyond the Law School will require subsequent focused traffic studies to evaluate the expected traffic conditions and recommendations of the comprehensive 5-year traffic study update.
- 4. Conduct a parking utilization study in the Fall of 2010 to determine existing parking utilization rates once the Inman garage expansion is open and re-evaluate the parking study assumptions as necessary.
- 5. Public Works has no objections with Belmont's request to defer establishment of traffic conditions until a further date determined by the Planning Commission."

## X. PUBLIC HEARING: FINAL PLATS

#### 14. 2010S-060-001

Brown Property Final Plat

Map: 021-00 Parcels: 249, 313

Joelton Community Plan

Council District 1 – Lonnell R. Matthews, Jr.

Staff Reviewer: Brenda Bernards

A request for final plat approval to create two lots on properties located at 6191 Eatons Creek Road and at Eatons Creek Road (unnumbered), approximately 325 feet south of Rawlings Road (5.79 acres), zoned RS40, requested by James R. Brown, owner, Delle Land Surveying, surveyor.

Staff Recommendation: Approve with conditions and waive the requirements of Section 3-4.2.c of the Subdivision Regulations to permit a flag lot.

## APPLICANT REQUEST -Create two lots.

**Final Plat** A request for final plat approval to create two lots on properties located at 6191 Eatons Creek Road and at Eatons Creek Road (unnumbered), approximately 325 feet south of Rawlings Road (5.79 acres), zoned Single-Family Residential (RS40).

## **ZONING**

RS40 District - <u>RS40</u> requires a minimum 40,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings at a density of .93 dwelling units per acre.

## CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**PLAN DETAILS** The applicant is requesting final plat approval for a two lot subdivision accessed from Eatons Creek Road. In order to accommodate an existing house and the required septic systems, the applicant is requesting that Lot 2 be accessed via a drive, creating a flag lot.

There are a number of missing details to be added and modifications to be made to the plat before it can be recorded. Additions include:

- Add Case No. 2010S-060-001 to the plat.
- Add parcel number 249 to Lot 1 and parcel number 313 to Lot 2.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 27 of 35

Add an access easement for Lot 1 over the Lot 2 where the existing drive is located.

Modifications include:

- Revise the purpose note to read: The purpose of this recording is to create two lots.
- Replace the tree note (note 13) with the updated tree note: "The development of this project shall comply with the requirements of the adopted tree ordinance 2008-328 (Metro code Chapter 17.24, Article II, Tree Protection and Replacement; and Chapter 17.40, Article X, Tree Protection and Replacement Procedures)."

Flag Lots While flag lots are generally not permitted by the Subdivision Regulations, the Planning Commission may waive these it certain conditions are met.

**Section 3-4.2.c Flag Lots** *Flag Lots*. Residential flag lots shall not be permitted except the Planning Commission may waive the requirement if it finds that, due to unusual conditions, limited area for lot frontage on a street is available, or if all of the following conditions are met:

- 1. The proposed lots fit into the character of the area and are consistent with the general plan.
- 2. All minimum standards of the Zoning Code shall be met.
- 3. Up to three lots are proposed.
- 4. The residential unit on the lot with frontage comparable to other lots in the area shall face the street.
- 5. The flag lot private drive and/or access easement shall connect to a street.
- 6. The flag lot private drive and/or access easement shall be at least ten feet wide for its entire length.
- 7. The flag lot shared access easement shall be part of one non-frontage lot and under the same ownership as that lot.

The Brown Property plat meets all of the conditions for permitting a waiver. This area is rural in character with many irregular lots and frontages, including flag lots, fronting on Eatons Creek Road and Clarksville Pike south of Rawlings Road. The two lots will meet all requirements of the Zoning Code. The access connects to street, is greater than 10 feet in width and will be owned by the non-frontage parcel. Staff recommends that the Planning Commission waive the requirements of Section 3-4.2.c to permit a flag lot.

## PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION No exception taken.

#### STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Add access note to plans.
- 2. Show Public Utility and Drainage Easements on plans (not by note alone).

#### WATER SERVICES RECOMMENDATION

- Show existing water main
- Amend note 5 to read: All lots are to be served by public water and sanitary sewerage. Individual service lines and sewerage service are required for each parcel

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends approval with conditions and a waiver to the requirements of Section 3-4.2.c of the Subdivision Regulations to permit a flag lot.

#### CONDITIONS

- 1. Add the details and make the modifications to the plat as noted above.
- 2. Add the note required by the Stormwater Division and show the Public Utility and Drainage Easements on plans.
- 3. Show the existing water main and amend the note 5 as required by Water Services.

Ms. Bernards presented staff recommendation of approval with conditions.

Howard Skipworth, 4917 Rawlings Road, spoke against staff recommendation due to the soil in this area not absorbing water as it should.

James Brown, property owner, stated he has never had an issue with overflow in this area. Spoke in favor of staff recommendation of approval with conditions.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 28 of 35

## Dr. Cummings moved and Councilmember Gotto seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to close the Public Hearing. (8-0)

Chairman McLean explained that the soil testing is not in the purview of what the Planning Commission reviews and that the Health Department would need to approve the soils prior to any permits being issued.

Mr. Ponder moved and Councilmember Gotto seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to approve staff recommendation. (8-0)

#### Resolution No. RS2010-133

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2010S-060-001 is **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS** and waive the requirements of Section 3-4.2.c of the Subdivision Regulations to permit a flag lot. (8-0)

## **Conditions of Approval:**

- 1. Add the details and make the modifications to the plat as noted above.
- 2. Add the note required by the Stormwater Division and show the Public Utility and Drainage Easements on plans.
- 3. Show the existing water main and amend the note 5 as required by Water Services."

## XI. PUBLIC HEARING: URBAN DESIGN OVERLAYS

#### 15. 2001UD-001-001

Lenox Village, Phase Eight, Lot 648 Map: 173-090-A Parcel: 765 Council District 31 – Parker Toler Southeast Community Plan Reviewer: Scott Morton

A request for a modification of the Lennox Village UDO standards to permit an encroachment into the required five foot rear setback at 3102 Fyffe Lane, at the intersection of Dothan Lane and Fyffe Lane in order to construct a 20 foot by 20 foot detached garage at the rear of the townhome., requested by Regent Homes LLC, applicant/owner.

## **Staff Recommendation: Approve**

## APPLICANT REQUEST - Permit a reduced rear setback

**UDO Modification** A request for a modification of the Lennox Village UDO standards to permit an encroachment into the required five foot rear setback at 3102 Fyffe Lane, at the intersection of Dothan Lane and Fyffe Lane in order to construct a 20 foot by 20 foot detached garage at the rear of the townhome.

#### **ZONING**

RM9 District -<u>RM9</u> is intended for single-family, duplex, and multi-family dwellings at a density of 9 dwelling units per acre.

## CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**APPLICATION DETAILS** The encroachment area is located at the corner of two intersecting alleys (while they are named "Lane", each is an alley behind rows of townhomes). The current property line is rounded at this corner to accommodate the alley turning radii.

This alley intersection is unique in that one alley is ending at the other alley; Fyffe Lane ends at Dothan Lane. Meanwhile, Dothan Lane only extends to the southwest terminating just beyond the intersection of Fyfee Lane. To the Northeast, Dothan Lane terminates into Avery Park Drive (see graphic). There are two alley/lane connections to Avery Park Drive providing ingress/egress for emergency and utility vehicles. Unless one of the alley connections is blocked, there is little need to use

091410 Minutes.doc Page 29 of 35

Dothan Lane as a turnaround for utility/emergency vehicles.

The required setback from the alley is a minimum of five feet and the garage placement complies with this requirement for the majority of the garage's interface with the alleys, with the exception of the small corner encroachment where the two alleys intersect and the radius exists will be approximately 2.5 feet.

Due to the fact that the required setback is met along all other portions of the alleys, except for at the intersection, which is likely to have very limited turning movements, planning staff believes the intent of the setback requirement is being met and the small encroachment at the corner is an acceptable modification.

**PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION** This setback amendment if approved will place the garage within 2.5 feet of the alley right-of-way at the corner, and three feet or less from the pavement. This portion of the alley serves as the turnaround for the weekly waste collection trucks and/or fire trucks that are typically SU-30 design vehicles. Turning templates indicate trucks will come very close to the garage with mirror overhangs potentially coming into contact with the garage unless a near perfect turn is made, creating a liability for both the homeowner and the driver (see graphic).

Therefore Public Works recommends disapproval of this setback amendment request.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Due to the location of the encroachment at the intersection of two alleys and the limited turning movements at this location, the impact of the encroachment will be minor. Staff recommends approval of the modification as the proposed garage placement meets the intent of the required setbacks in the UDO policy. The recommendation of approval for this modification to the required rear setback standard is specific to this property based on its context. Future applications for setback reductions will be subject to the standards of the Lenox Village UDO. Any modifications will be subject to review based on the context of the requested modification.

Approved (8-0), Consent Agenda

## Resolution No. RS2010-134

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2001UD-001-001 is APPROVED. (8-0)"

## 16. 2002UD-001-003

Green Hills UDO Modification Request

Map: 117-14 Parcel: 055

Green Hills/Midtown Community Plan Council District 25 – Sean McGuire Staff Reviewer: Rebecca Ratz

A request for a modification of the existing Green Hills Urban Design Overlay (UDO) district sign standards to allow a future tenant, "Nordstrom", to be located at 2126 Abbott Martin Road, zoned SCR, to vary from requirements of the UDO in order to increase the permitted signage display area along Abbott Martin Road, requested by Nordstrom, Inc., Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon, Inc.

**Staff Recommendation: Approve** 

#### APPLICANT REQUEST - Permit increased signage display area.

**UDO Modification** A request for a modification of the existing Green Hills Urban Design Overlay (UDO) district sign standards to allow a future tenant, "Nordstrom", to be located at 2126 Abbott Martin Road, zoned Shopping Center Regional (SCR), to vary from requirements of the UDO in order to increase the permitted signage display area along Abbott Martin Road.

#### **ZONING**

SCR District - Shopping Center Regional is intended for high intensity retail, office, and consumer service uses for a regional market area.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 30 of 35

#### CRITICAL PLANNING GOALS N/A

**SUMMARY** Nordstrom, Inc. requests a modification to the standards of the Green Hills Urban Design Overlay (Green Hills UDO) to allow for the construction of three building signs at 2126 Abbott Martin Road. Two signs are proposed for the southern building façade facing Abbott Martin Road, one wall-mounted and one projecting sign located above the first story. The third sign is a wall-mounted sign proposed for the western façade facing a parking area. Nordstrom's overall sign plan calls for a total of five signs and four bronze plaques, only the three mentioned above require a modification for proper permitting.

The applicant requests relief from the square footage limits on sign display area, while still complying with the area limits based on percentage of the building's ground floor façade area.

	UDO Standard	Nordstrom Proposal	
Signs needing a modification	Max. size of a sign by percentage (5%)	Max. size of a sign by square footage	
Wall-mounted sign (south elevation)	238 sf	100 sf	217 sf.
Projecting sign (south elevation)		20 sf	114.89 sf.
Wall mounted sign (west elevation)	238 sf	100 sf	106.31 sf.

**UDO DETAILS** The Green Hills UDO was adopted in 2003 as a voluntary urban design overlay with development incentives promoting mixed-use development. In 2006, Metro Council specifically made the signage portion of the Green Hills UDO mandatory for all new signage and any changes to existing signage requiring a permit.

The signage standards are intended to implement the intent of the Green Hills UDO document that focuses on reducing "the scale of signs to a pedestrian standard" and "ensuring that signs will be placed and illuminated in a manner that is appropriate to a pedestrian environment." The Green Hills UDO document is the result of a community process that focused on creating an "urban village concept" for Green Hills.

**Green Hills UDO Table of Sign Standards** 

reen tims obo Table of Sign Standards					
Permanent On-Premise Sign Types	Minimum Setback side and street	Maximum Height	Minimum Display Area of Individual Signs		
Ground Sign - Monument  None Required		2.5' for any part of a sign within 15ft of a driveway; 6 ft. otherwise	28 square feet		
Building Sign - Projecting second story and above	N/A	1 foot below cornice or eave line	20 sq. ft		
Building Sign - Projecting	N/A	14 ft.	10 sq. ft.		
Awning Sign-Front	N/A	14 ft.	52 sq. ft. or 5% of the building façade wall facing the public street, which ever is less		

091410 Minutes.doc Page 31 of 35

Awning Sign-Side Treated the same as Building Sign- Projecting	N/A	14 ft.	10 sq. ft.
Building Sign - Wall Mounted Flush	N/A	1 foot below cornice or eave line	100 sq. ft. or 5% of the building façade wall facing the public street, whichever is less

**APPLICATION DETAILS** Within the Green Hills UDO, the maximum aggregate sign area per building – the square footage that can be divided into multiple signs – is 15 percent of the area of the ground floor building façade facing the public street. The UDO standards for individual signs specify that wall-mounted signs are limited to 5 percent of the ground floor building façade or 100 square feet, which ever is less. Projecting signs on the second story and above are limited to 20 square feet.

**Analysis** The ground floor of Nordstrom's southern façade is 4760 square feet (16' high by 297.5' in length). Fifteen percent of that is 714 square feet. So, per the UDO, Nordstrom will have 714 square feet to divide among multiple signs. Five percent is 238 square feet. So, per the UDO, no one sign could be larger than 238 square feet if there were not an overall maximum for wall-mounted signs of 100 square feet.

The applicant is requesting buildings signs (two wall-mounted and one projecting) that exceed the square footage maximums for display area (100 square feet and 20 square feet), but do meet the 5 percent standard applied to wall-mounted signs (238 square feet). The area of each of the three proposed signs is less than 5 percent of the ground floor façade area.

The display area proposed for the signs are as follows:

Southern Façade

Wall-mounted sign: 217 square feetProjecting sign: 114.89 square feet

• Western Façade

• Wall-mounted sign: 106.31 square feet.

The total area of all building signs and plaques, including those that do not need modifications, is 491.02 square feet, less than the 714 square feet permitted (15 percent).

The overall size of the Abbott Martin façade is 15,470 square feet (52' high by 297.5' in length). Calculated using the overall façade, a 100 square foot sign, the maximum allowed for a wall-mounted sign, is only .65 percent of the façade. When placed on these large facades, signs limited to 100 square feet or 20 square feet in the case of the projecting sign, can appear out of proportion. Additionally, due to the lack of façade articulation, glazing, entrances and detail along the Nordstrom facades, the proposed signs will add needed interest along the street.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends approval of the modification as the proposed signs meet the percentage standard for wall-mounted signs within the Green Hills UDO.

Approved (8-0), Consent Agenda

## Resolution No. RS2010-135

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2002UD-001-003 is **APPROVED. (8-0)**"

## 17. 2004UD-002-003

Villages of Riverwood, Phase Three, Section 1 Map: 097-02-0-A Parcels: 105, 106, 107

091410 Minutes.doc Page 32 of 35

Donelson/Hermitage/Old Hickory Community Plan Council District 14 – James Bruce Stanley

Staff Reviewer: Greg Johnson

A request to revise the preliminary plan and for final site plan approval for a portion of the Villages of Riverwood Urban Design Overlay located at 1500 and 1501 Stonewater Drive and at Hoggett Ford Road (unnumbered), at the southwest corner of Dodson Chapel Road and Hoggett Ford Road (8.73 acres), to permit 135 dwelling units and to change the minimum lot width required for townhome units from 20 feet to 16 feet and to change the minimum lot size required for townhome units from 2,000 square feet to 1,600 square feet, zoned RM9, requested by Ragan-Smith-Associates Inc., applicant, for Beazer Homes, owner.

Staff Recommendation: Approve with conditions

## APPLICANT REQUEST -Approve revised preliminary plan and final UDO site plan.

**Revise Preliminary UDO and Final Site Plan** A request to revise the preliminary plan and for final site plan approval for a portion of the Villages of Riverwood Urban Design Overlay located at 1500 and 1501 Stonewater Drive and at Hoggett Ford Road (unnumbered), at the southwest corner of Dodson Chapel Road and Hoggett Ford Road (8.73 acres), to permit 135 dwelling units and to change the minimum lot width required for townhome units from 20 feet to 16 feet and to change the minimum lot size required for townhome units from 2,000 square feet to 1,600 square feet, zoned Multi-Family Residential (RM9).

**PROJECT HISTORY** In 2004, the preliminary Villages of Riverwood Urban Design Overlay (UDO) site plan was approved by Metro Council. The plan included 1,978 total dwelling units and 65,000 square feet of mixed-use development, including the possibility of office and retail, and a future assisted-living facility.

The residential portion of the plan is comprised of single-family detached units, townhouse units, and apartment building types. The southernmost portion of the site, adjacent to the Stones River, will include a 776-unit assisted living facility in a later phase. Final site plan approval has been granted for single-family and multi-family phases for this UDO as well as for a pool and amenity center.

The following table illustrates final site plan approval within the Villages of Riverwood to date:

**Development Monitoring Chart** 

	Preliminary	Final Approval
	Approved	to Date
Assisted Living	776	0
Apartments	500	418
Single Family Attached and	702	200
Detached		
Total with Final Approval	1978	618
Proposed Single Family		135
Section 1, Phase 3		
Total approved and proposed	1978	753

**PLAN DETAILS** This proposed final site plan is located along the northern edge of the UDO boundary. Access to this area will be taken from Hoggett Ford Road, along the north side of the phase. There are 135 townhouse lots proposed in this phase. This is consistent with the preliminary master plan, which allows attached residential building types throughout this phase. The preliminary plan limits the overall number of single-family dwellings within the UDO boundary to 702 and the total number of townhouse units to 291. Final site plan approvals to date are within these maximum limits. Approval of the proposed lots will bring the total number of approved single-family lots to 335 and the total number of approved attached dwellings to 239.

**Lot widths** With final UDO approval, the applicant requests a modification to the preliminary UDO standards for townhouse lot widths. The Villages of Riverwood UDO currently requires a minimum lot width of 20 feet for each townhouse lot. The applicant proposes a minimum width of 16 feet and has worked with Metro Public Works on an appropriate parking layout for this smaller lot width. Although the 20 foot lot width was approved originally, the narrower

091410 Minutes.doc Page 33 of 35

lot width is consistent with the intent of the UDO.

#### PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The developer's final construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.
- 2. Comply with previous traffic conditions for UDO development.

#### NES RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Developer to provide a civil duct and gear (pad/switch) locations for NES review and approval. This shall cover the entire project area.
- 2. 15-foot public utility easement required adjacent to public r-o-w.
- 3. Transformer knuckle easement required must be 25 feet wide by 20 foot deep centered on property line.
- 4. NES can meet with developer/engineer upon request to determine electrical service options.
- 5. NES needs any drawings that will cover any road improvements to Metro r-o-w that Public Works will require.
- 6. NES follows the National Fire Protection Association rules; Refer to NFPA 70 article 450-27; and NESC Section 15 152.A.2 for complete rules (see NES Construction Guidelines under "Builders and Contractors" tab @ www.nespower.com).
- 7. NES needs to know if the developer has other options on property next to this area, if so NES needs an overall concept plan.
- 8. Developer shall provide street lighting locations. This is general services area and should meet Metro's minimum light requirements.
- 9. If porches are allowed to be constructed beyond the minimum setback limits and into the public utility easements; then the easement will be considered reduced by that much of the easement. Such encroachments may increase the cost of electrical infrastructure to allow for reduced or limited access to equipment. NES reserves the right to enter and to erect, maintain, repair, rebuild, operate and patrol electric power overhead and underground conductors and communications circuits with all necessary equipment reasonably incident thereto including the right to clear said easement and keep the same clear of brush, timber, inflammable structures, buildings, permanent structures, and fire hazards; all over, under, upon, and across the easement as granted on any plats.

**FIRE MARSHAL RECOMMENDATION** Fire-flow shall meet the requirements of the International Fire Code - 2006 edition - B105.1.

#### **STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION** Can be approved with conditions:

- 1. Inlets # 22 and 5 shall be double inlets.
- 2. Provide grading permit fee of \$1185.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** Staff recommends approval. The proposed final site plan and the modified minimum lot width for townhouses are consistent with the preliminary UDO approval.

## **CONDITIONS**

- 1. All conditions of the original council bill (BL2004-325) shall be met.
- 2. All Public Works, NES, Fire Marshal, and Stormwater conditions shall be complied with.

Mr. Johnson presented the staff recommendation of approval with conditions.

Tom White, representing applicant, spoke in favor of staff recommendation of approval with conditions.

## Mr. Clifton moved and Councilmember Gotto seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to close the Public Hearing. (8-0)

Mr. Clifton asked for clarification on density and if the number of units is changing.

Mr. Johnson clarified that the density is consistent with the Council approved plan.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 34 of 35

## Dr. Cummings moved and Mr. Dalton seconded the motion, which passed unanimously, to approve staff recommendation. (8-0)

## Resolution No. RS2010-136

"BE IT RESOLVED by The Metropolitan Planning Commission that 2004UD-002-003 is **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. (8-0)** 

## **Conditions of Approval:**

- 1. All conditions of the original council bill (BL2004-325) shall be met.
- 2. All Public Works, NES, Fire Marshal, and Stormwater conditions shall be complied with."

## XII. OTHER BUSINESS

**18.** Standard sidewalk recommendations for proposed SP Districts.

Deferred to the November 11, 2010, Planning Commission Meeting. (8-0)

- 19. Historical Commission Report
- 20. Board of Parks and Recreation Report
- **21.** Executive Director Reports
- **22.** Legislative Update

## XIII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:53pm.

Chairman
 Secretary

The Planning Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, race, sex, color, national origin, religion or disability in access to, or operation of, its programs, services, and activities, or in its hiring or employment practices. For ADA inquiries, contact Josie Bass, ADA Compliance Coordinator, at 862-7150 or e-mail her at josie.bass@nashville.gov. For Title VI inquiries contact Shirley Sims-Saldana or Denise Hopgood of Human Relations at 880-3370. For all employment-related inquiries call 862-6640.

091410 Minutes.doc Page 35 of 35