JOHN COOPER, MAYOR



METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

9/30/2021 | 2:56 PM CDT

Quintina Waller The HOPE Station Inc. 819 33rd Avenue North Nashville TN 37209

Re: RFQ # 135221, HOPE II Progrom (ERAP 2)

Dear Ms. Waller:

The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County (Metro) has completed the evaluation of submitted solicitation offer(s) to the above RFQ # 135221 for HOPE II Program (ERAP 2). This letter hereby notifies you of Metro's intent to award to The HOPE Station Inc., contingent upon successful contract negotiations. Please provide a certificate of Insurance indicating all applicable coverages within 15 business days of the receipt of this letter.

If the Equal Business Opportunity (EBO) Program requirements were a part of this solicitation, the awardee must forward a signed copy of the "Letter of Intent to Perform as Subcontractor/Subconsultant/Supplier/Joint Venture" for any minority/women-owned business enterprises included in the response to the Business Assistance Office within two business days from this notification.

Additionally, the awardee will be required to submit evidence of participation of and contractor's payment to all Small, Minority, and Women Owned Businesses participation in any resultant contract. This evidence shall be submitted monthly and include copies of subcontracts or purchase orders, the Prime Contractor's Application for Payment, or invoices, and cancelled checks or other supporting payment documents. Should you have any questions concerning this requirement, please contact Christopher Wood, BAO Representative, at 615-862-6710 or at christopher.wood@nashville.gov.

Depending on the file sizes, the responses to the procurement solicitation and supporting award documentation can be made available either by email, CD for pickup, or in person for inspection. If you desire to receive or review the documentation or have any questions, please contact Christina Alexander by email at 615-862-6637 Monday through Friday between 8:30am and 3:30pm.

Thank you for participating in Metro's competitive procurement process.

Sincerely,

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane Purchasing Agent

Cc: Solicitation File, Other Offerors

Pursuant to M.C.L. 4.36.010 Authority to resolve protested solicitations and awards.

A. Right to Protest. Any actual or prospective bidder, offeror or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the Purchasing Agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.
Procurement Division

RFQ# 135221 Evaluation Committee Score Sheet						
KEVA Inc.	Martha O'Bryan Center	Rooftop Foundation	The HOPE Station Inc.	The Salvation Army		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2.09	2.81	10.00	4.54	2.90		
15.00	25.00	20.00	14.00	25.00		
10.00	17.00	14.00	9.00	18.00		
40.00	40.00	35.00	35.00	38.00		
67.09	84.81	79.00	62.54	83.90		
	ation Con KEVA Inc. Yes 2.09 15.00 10.00 40.00	Ation Committee Score Martha O'Bryan Center Yes Yes 2.09 2.81 15.00 25.00 10.00 17.00 40.00 40.00	Ation Committee Score Sheet Martha O'Bryan Center Rooftop Foundation Yes Yes Yes Yes 2.09 2.81 15.00 25.00 10.00 14.00 40.00 40.00 35.00 67.09 84.81 79.00	Ation Committee Score Sheet Martha O'Bryan Center Rooftop Foundation The HOPE Station Inc. Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 2.09 2.81 10.00 4.54 15.00 25.00 20.00 14.00 10.00 17.00 14.00 9.00 40.00 40.00 35.00 35.00 67.09 84.81 79.00 62.54		

Evaluation Comments

KEVA Inc.

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated history of being a grassroots organization. Firm's proposal demonstrated experience working with targeted population/community. Firm's proposal demonstrated established relations and partnerships essential for scope of scope. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's professionalism. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm's proposal demonstrated information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses

Firm's proposal failed to demonstrated extensive experience in the industry. Firm's proposal demonstrated limited experience administering state and federal funds. Firm's proposal demonstrated limited experience determining eligibility. Firm's proposal failed to define roles of team members per scope of services.

Martha O'Bryan Center

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated experience working with targeted community. Firm's proposal demonstrated experience with local, state and federal grant funding. Firm's proposal demonstrated experience determining eligibility. Firm's proposal demonstrated a history of experience with emergency service provision. Firm proposed the creation of a new position to support Partnership services. Firm's proposal demonstrated a wholistic approach. Firm's proposal provided a detailed support services/customers services process plan. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal lacked details for direct services for housing. Firm's proposal failed to define team member roles for project. Firm's proposal failed to address processing applications.

Rooftop Foundation

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated experience working with targeted population. Firm's proposal demonstrated experience determining eligibility. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal failed to demonstrate firm's capacity to perform the scope of work.

The HOPE Station Inc.

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing housing assistance to 100 (+) mothers pre COVID. Firm's proposal demonstrated experience determining eligibility. Firm's organization chart provided specific staffing roles to the HOPE project. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's accessibility and central location. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to provide adequate customer service support during the application process. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's ability to facilitate the applicant engagement process. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's proposal demonstrated firm's proposal demonstrated firm's demonstrated firm's proposal demonstrated firm's ability to facilitate the applicant engagement process. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's ability to manage outreach services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's proposal demonstrated firm's proposal demonstrated firm's ability to manage outreach services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's proposal demonstrated firm's ability to manage outreach services.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal failed to demonstrate experience administering local, state and federal funds. Firm only provided one reference project. Firm's proposal demonstrated limited staffing capacity. Firm's proposal processing policy lacked detail. Firm failed to provide outreach projection numbers with proposal.

The Salvation Army

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated experience administering local, state and federal funds. Firm's proposal demonstrated experience determining eligibility that aligns with scope of work. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience with funding specific to housing retention. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience working with landlords. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's resources related to housing. Firm's proposal demonstrated capacity to perform scope of work. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience working with undocumented and immigrant families. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's innovative use of technology. Firm's proposal demonstrated existing partnerships and networks to support housing. Firm's proposal demonstrated wholistic service provision approach. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's accessibility and central location. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's demonstrated firm's accessibility and central location. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's housing formation with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal failed to define team roles as related to project. Firm failed to provide all team member resumes. Firm's provided reference projects lacked detail. Firm's proposal lacked detail for application process working with applicant. Firm's proposal was unclear and lacked detail for firm's in-person interaction process.

HOPE II Program (ERAP 2); RFQ 135221					
		10			
Offeror's Name	Bids	RFP Cost Points			
Rooftop Foundation	\$104,500.00	10.00			
The Hope Station Inc.	\$230,000.00	4.54			
The Salvation Army A Georgia Corporation	\$360,000.00	2.90			
Martha O'Bryan Center	\$372,071.00	2.81			
Keva Inc.	\$500,000.00	2.09			
No BAO Pramming Applicable to Solicitation					

DocuSign[•]

Certificate Of Completion		
Envelope Id: B2061AB22EEC4F96B629EEB67A6	A0146	Status: Completed
Subject: UPDATED Intent to Award - RFQ #13522	1 HOPE II (ERAP2)	
Source Envelope:		
Document Pages: 25	Signatures: 5	Envelope Originator:
Certificate Pages: 2	Initials: 0	Procurement Resource Group
AutoNav: Enabled		730 2nd Ave. South 1st Floor
Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled		Nashville, TN 37219
Time Zone: (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Cana	ada)	prg@nashville.gov
		IP Address: 170.190.198.190
Record Tracking		
Status: Original	Holder: Procurement Resource Group	Location: DocuSign
9/24/2021 6:43:09 AM	prg@nashville.gov	
Security Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: StateLocal	
Storage Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: Metropolitan Government of Nashville and	Location: DocuSign
	Davidson County	
	,	
Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Michelle A. Hernandez Lane		Sent: 9/24/2021 6:49:27 AM
michelle.lane@nashville.gov	Michelle A. Hernandez Lane	Viewed: 9/30/2021 2:56:05 PM
Chief Procurement Officer/Purchasing Agent		Signed: 9/30/2021 2:56:23 PM
Metro	Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style	
Security Level: Email, Account Authentication	Using IP Address: 170.190.198.185	
(None)	Using if Address. 170.130.130.105	
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign		
In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
	otatus	Timestamp
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp
Christina Alexander	CODIED	Sent: 9/30/2021 2:56:32 PM
christina.alexander@nashville.gov	COPIED	Viewed: 9/30/2021 3:05:53 PM
Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)		
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign		
Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	9/24/2021 6:49:27 AM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	9/30/2021 2:56:05 PM
Signing Complete	Security Checked	9/30/2021 2:50:03 1 M

Security Checked

Security Checked

9/30/2021 2:56:23 PM

9/30/2021 2:56:32 PM

Signing Complete Completed Status