1. Zone Change Proposal No. 2001Z-119G-06

2. PUD Proposal No. 2001P-010G-06 Autumn Springs Development

Staff recommends *conditional approval* of the PUD and zone change with a variance for sidewalks along Coley Davis Road.

- Subarea Plan amendment required? No, but the Commission authorized staff to consider this area as part of the Subarea 6 Plan update on October 25, 2001.
- Traffic impact study required to analyze project impacts on nearby intersections and neighborhoods? No, see traffic note below.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. The request is two-fold: a zone change and a new Planned Unit Development (PUD). The zone change is involves changing 90 acres from AR2a (agricultural) to RM4 (single-family, duplex, and multi-family residential) district, and for preliminary approval of a Planned Unit Development (PUD) district on the same properties located at 8100 Coley Davis Road and Coley Davis Road (unnumbered). The existing AR2a district is intended for single-family, duplex and mobile homes at one lot per two acres of land. The RM4 zoning would currently allow 45 lots. The proposed PUD district includes 200 townhomes and 181 single-family lots at a density of 4.25 dwelling units per acre.

Zone Change

These properties fall within the Subarea 6 Plan's Natural Conservation (NC) policy area due to a portion of the property falling within the Harpeth River floodplain and floodway. NC policy generally allows for moderate intensity development at up to 4 dwelling units per acre. Although the proposed plan has a density of 4.25 units per acre, the PUD plan also utilizes Section 17.36.090 (Development Bonuses), which allows a 25% density bonus with the dedication of a conservation easement for the greenway trail along the Harpeth River. Staff supports the density bonus since the applicant will not only dedicate -- but has committed to constructing the trail as well.

PUD Plan

These properties are located on the south side of Coley Davis Road and abut both sides of the CSX railroad tracks intersecting the property. The applicant has worked with planning staff to revise the proposed plan to provide more street connectivity within the proposed development, more usable open space, and the relocation of the proposed multi-family units to be more compatible with the surrounding development. The proposed plan includes a bridge over the railroad tracks and a greenway trail along the Harpeth River. The applicant has agreed to construct the greenway trail along the river, in lieu of constructing a sidewalk along the frontage of the site on Coley Davis Road. The plan includes a street connection to the existing stub-street at Poplar Ridge Drive on the west side of the site. This street connection will provide an alternative public street connection for the existing homes in the Poplar Ridge subdivision, as well as an access point to the public greenway trail within the Autumn Springs PUD. Staff recommends

conditional approval with a sidewalk variance. The plan will be conditioned that a PUD amendment shall be required for any increase in the number of multifamily units shown on this PUD plan; such amendment will require Metro Council action.

Traffic

The Metro Traffic Engineer did not require a traffic impact study since the applicant agreed to make improvements to Coley Davis Road. The Metro Traffic Engineer has indicated that Coley Davis Road should be improved at the project's two entrances to include two connected left-turn lanes into the site. He is also requiring the main access point to have two turn lanes out of the site. The Traffic Engineer is requiring a 10-foot right-of-way dedication along the frontage of the property. Staff has analyzed the topography, floodplain, and existing road network to determine if a stub-street for a possible bridge over the Harpeth is necessary. After working with Public Works, it was determined that the best available location would be at the western end of Coley Davis Road, not this property.

Schools

A multi-family and single-family development with 181 single-family lots and 200 multi-family units could generate approximately 65 students (29 elementary, 20 middle, and 16 high school). Students would attend Gower Elementary School, H. G. Middle School, and Hillwood High School. The School Board is currently reviewing school capacity figures and final numbers are not yet available for these schools.

3. Subdivision Proposal 2000S-395U-10 Cedar Lane Subdivision

Staff recommends *conditional approval* subject to a revised plat prior to recordation and bond for a sidewalk along 12th Avenue South.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26^{th} . This plat is to revise an approved preliminary plat and for final plat approval for three lots abutting the northwest corner of Cedar Lane and 12^{th} Avenue South. The Planning Commission approved a preliminary plat for these three lots on January 4, 2001. That plat showed the same lot arrangement with access from the rear.

Cedar Lane Access

To protect the existing trees at the back of the lot, as shown on a tree survey prepared by the applicant, the applicant is proposing to modify the rear access. This revised plat removes any access from Cedar Lane. All access will be from alleys #961 or #428 at the northwest corner of the property. All the residential properties in this neighborhood have rear lot access. The lots will share a common access easement (i.e. private drive) that no longer connects to Cedar Lane. The Metro Traffic Engineer has approved this modification.

Sidewalks

An existing sidewalk exists along Cedar Lane. As originally approved, the applicant would have constructed a private drive over that sidewalk. Now that sidewalk will not be altered. In addition, the applicant is proposing a sidewalk along 12th Avenue South (Granny White Pike) where none was shown on the original plat. This is a significant improvement since this property is a half-block away from the 12th Avenue South commercial area (e.g. Becker's Bakery) that MDHA has invested in heavily to revitalize.

Utility Easements

This plat shows sewer easements that were not shown on the original plat. These easements affect where a future home can locate on lot 3. That lot has an existing 15" sewer line running diagonally across it. The applicant is not proposing to relocate the line. There is also a proposed 8" sewer line running across the front of lots 2 and a portion of lot 1.

Staff recommends conditional approval of the revised preliminary and final plats subject to a revised plat prior to recordation showing the sidewalk along 12th Avenue South, protection of the trees along the rear property line abutting parcel 97, and showing all access from the alleys via a cross-access easement shared by all three lots that terminates and does not connect to Cedar Lane.

4. Subdivision Proposal 2001S-135U-08 J. M. Head Middle School

Staff recommends *conditional approval* subject to a revised plat prior to recordation and Metro Council approval of Alley # 629's closure.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. This final plat is to consolidate two lots and seven parcels into one lot. The Metro Board of Education is expanding the middle school and needs to construct a gym and athletic fields on this property. The plat was originally scheduled for the Planning Commission's May 10, 2001 meeting, but was deferred indefinitely prior to the mail-out to address JoJohnston Avenue and Alley #629's closure (see 2001M-118U-08). JoJohnston Avenue is proposed for closure to create a contiguous school campus without any intersecting street for school safety.

Staff recommends conditional approval of this plat subject to a revised plat prior to recordation addressing staff comments. In addition, the plat cannot be recorded until Metro Council approves the closure of JoJohnston Avenue and Alley #629.

5. Subdivision Proposal 2001S-264U-13 Hamilton Glen

Staff recommends *conditional approval* subject to bonds for extensions of roads, sidewalks and public utilities, a variance for sidewalks along Hamilton Church Road, and a revised plat prior to recordation.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. It was also deferred indefinitely from the Planning Commission meeting on September 13, 2001. The applicant needed more time to work with Planning and Public Works staff. This request is for final plat approval to create 19 lots abutting the south margin of Hamilton Church Road, approximately 370 feet east of Owendale Drive. The lots are also located within a PUD. The proposed configuration of the lots is consistent with the revised PUD plan approved by the Planning Commission on August 22, 2001 (2000P-009U-13). The applicant significantly revised the initial PUD concept to remove lots from beneath the TVA easement, which traverses the property and a large sinkhole. These topographic features will be located in an open space area at the rear of the property. Two stub-out streets are also provided to the east and west.

Sidewalk Variance

The applicant is willing to construct sidewalks along Hamilton Church Road. To construct these sidewalks it will require a significant effort to improve the roadway due to drainage. Therefore, Public Works has advised that the sidewalks and the property's frontage along Hamilton Church Road not be improved at this time due to safety concerns. Staff supports a variance to the sidewalk requirement along Hamilton Church Road.

Staff recommends conditional approval subject to a revised plat prior to plat recordation, and subject to a variance for sidewalks on Hamilton Church Road. The revised plat needs to label the sinkhole cross-section as "Sinkhole Cross-Section 'A".

6. Subdivision Proposal 2001S-268G-06 Harpeth Crest Subdivision of Lots 26-30

Staff recommends *conditional approval* subject to a bond for the extension of roads and public utilities, a variance for sidewalks along River Bend Lane, and deferral of a bond for the greenway trail construction until Phase 2.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. The Planning Commission approved a final plat to create these five lots on October 11, 2001. At that meeting, staff had supported a sidewalk variance along River Bend Lane in exchange for the construction of a missing section of the Bellevue Greenway trail. The applicant was unsure at that time whether or not this exchange would be beneficial to the Harpeth Crest Subdivision and economically feasible for the development. The applicant has now determined that the construction of the 93-foot missing section of greenway would benefit the entire community and would not be cost-prohibitive.

Sidewalk Variance

The proposed sidewalk along River Bend Lane will not connect to anything now or in the future. This sidewalk would be on the edge of the River Bend Estates neighborhood where no sidewalks exist. As a result, staff believes creating a connection to the existing Bellevue Greenway is more significant than creating a sidewalk that has no destination.

Staff recommends approval of this sidewalk variance. The existing Bellevue Greenway trail ends 93 feet south of the applicant's property along Morton Mill Road. The applicant has agreed to constructing this missing portion of the greenway trail in lieu of not constructing the sidewalk on River Bend Lane. This connection to Phases 1 and 2 of the Bellevue Greenway, will create a bicycle/pedestrian link all the way to Old Harding Pike. That link will benefit the entire Bellevue community.

Postponing Bonding of Greenway Trail

More time is needed to coordinate between the applicant and the Parks Department on the greenway's construction. While the applicant will construct both the on and off-site trail sections, the applicant is designing only the on-site trail. The Parks Department is designing the off-site trail. Staff supports postponing the bonding of the greenway trail until Phase 2 since all parties want to ensure the two trail sections compliment one another. The delay in bonding the trail is about a month or two since the applicant has already submitted a final plat for Phase 2. That plat will be on an upcoming Commission agenda.

Staff recommends conditional approval subject to a bond for the extension of roads and public utilities, a variance for sidewalks along River Bend Lane, and deferral of a bond for the greenway trail's construction until Phase 2.

7. <u>Subdivision Proposal 2001S-276U-10 Fairfax Place, Resub. Lots 9- 11 and</u> Part of Lot 13

Staff recommends *conditional approval* subject to a variance for the maximum lot size requirement.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. This plat was originally scheduled for the September 27, 2001 Commission meeting, but was deferred indefinitely by the applicant to work out issues with neighbors concerning the church's parking lot expansion. The applicant has had several meetings with the neighbors and Councilmember Hausser. Both the applicant and councilmember have told staff they feel all issues have been resolved.

The request is for final plat approval to subdivide three lots and part of one lot into two lots on 1.6 acres abutting the northwest corner of Blair Boulevard and Natchez Trace within the R8 District. The church has limited parking on existing lots 9, 10, and 11. This plat would allow the current parking to be expanded from existing lot 9 onto existing lot 13. A 5' perimeter landscape strip surrounding the additional parking area is required by Section 17.24.150B of the Zoning Ordinance, and the landscape strip is being shown on this plat.

Lot Comparability

The Subdivision Regulations require that subdivided lots be comparable in size (frontage and area) to lots within 300 feet of the proposed subdivision boundary. The 300-foot distance includes all abutting lots as well as lots located on the same and opposite sides of the street. The regulations require that proposed lots have 90% of the average street frontage and contain 75% of the square footage of existing lots considered in the comparability analysis. A comparability study was prepared to determine whether or not the proposed lots within the subdivision are comparable to the surrounding lots. The minimum allowable lot area for lots within the subdivision is 10,580 square feet, and the minimum allowable frontage is 72 feet. While proposed lot 2 may look small in comparison to proposed lot 1, it passed the test of comparability with 13,110 square feet of area and 75 feet of frontage.

While lot 2 of the proposed subdivision may satisfy the minimum lot requirements of lot comparability, lot 1 actually exceeds the maximum lot size allowed for a subdivision within this zone district. The Subdivision Regulations require that a lot not exceed three times the minimum lot size required for the zone district. In this case, the subdivision is located within the R8 zone district. The minimum lot size within this district is 8,000 square feet. A proposed lot could not exceed 24,000 square feet according to this regulation, but lot 1 contains 56,450 square feet.

Staff supports the variance for maximum lot size since the church is a pre-existing, non-residential use. Also, churches and schools typically are not able to satisfy residential -+lot size requirements. The Subdivision Regulations do not differentiate between lots created in a residential zoning district for residential and non-residential use. Staff recommends conditional approval subject to a variance for the maximum lot size requirement.

8. <u>Subdivision Proposal 2001S-317G-01 Howerton's Two Lot Subdivision</u>, Resubdivision of Lot 2

Staff recommends *conditional approval* subject to variances for a flag-shaped lot, lot width to depth, and lot comparability and a revised plat prior to recordation addressing all of Public Works' comments.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. This final plat is to subdivide 6.9 acres containing one platted lot into two lots. Lot 1 will contain 5.64 acres and lot 2 contains 1.25 acres. Lot 2 contains an existing home. The property is located at the end of Lama Terra Drive within the RS40 district.

Background

In 1990, the same property owners received approval to subdivide what is now parcel 242 and this property into two lots (90S-255G). These lots were approved by the Metro Health Department for private septic systems and water is furnished by an existing 8-inch water line in Lama Terra Drive. The applicant is now requesting to resubdivide what was shown as lot 2 on that plat into two additional lots.

Sidewalks

Sidewalks are not provided on this plat. The Subdivision Regulations only require sidewalks when lots are 20,000 square feet or less in size. Since this property is zoned R40 district, and the two lots are greater than 40,000 square feet, sidewalks are not required.

Maximum Lot Size

The Subdivision Regulations require that a lot less than 2 acres in size not exceed three times the minimum lot size required for the zone district. Since lot 1 contains 5.64 acres and lot 2 is less than 2 acres, this standard does not apply.

Lot Comparability Variance

The Subdivision Regulations require that subdivided lots be comparable in size (frontage and area) to lots within 300 feet of the proposed subdivision boundary. The 300-foot distance includes all abutting lots as well as lots located on the same and opposite sides of the street. The regulations require that proposed lots have 90% of the average street frontage and contain 75% of the square footage of existing lots considered in the comparability analysis. A comparability study was prepared to determine whether or not the proposed lots within the subdivision are comparable to the surrounding lots. The minimum allowable lot area for lots within the subdivision is 1.26 acres, and the minimum allowable frontage is 141 feet. Lot 1 contains 5.64 acres square feet and has 29.4 feet of frontage while lot 2 contains 1.25 acres square feet and 85.75 feet of frontage. Both lots fail for lot frontage while lot 1 passes for lot area, but lot 2 fails by one-tenth of an acre. This lot has steep topography and is located at the end of a cul-de-sac street. The ability to resubdivide this property is severely limited due to its location at the end of this dead-end street and its steep topography. Therefore, staff supports the proposed variance for lot comparability.

Flag-Shaped Lot and Lot Width to Depth Variances
Staff supports all of the applicants proposed variances due to steep topography, existing shape of the parcel, and the property's location at a dead-end street.

<u>Flag-shape</u>: The Subdivision Regulations discourage the creation of flag-shaped lots. The applicant is proposing lot 1 as a flag-shaped lot due to an existing home on lot 2 and also due to topography.

<u>Lot Width to Depth:</u> The Subdivision Regulations provide that a lot's width should not exceed its depth by more than four times. Lot 1 has only 29 feet of street frontage, but its depth is 780 feet. Even if lot 1 and 2 were combined into one lot with 115 feet of roadway frontage, the lot depth to width ratio would be exceeded.

Staff recommends conditional approval of the plat subject to a revised plat prior to recordation addressing all of Public Works' comments and variances for a flag-shaped lot, lot width to depth, and lot comparability.

9. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-045U-08

Staff recommends conditional approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. The Metro Board of Education is proposing to close an unbuilt alley, Alley #629, located between Lyle Avenue and Jo Johnston Avenue. The Metro Board of Education is requesting this alley's closure for J. M. Head Middle School's future school expansion (see 2001S-135U-08). Easements are to be abandoned. Metro Government owns all the land surrounding this alley.

Staff recommends conditional approval of this alley's closure subject to the school board relocating NES lines within this right-of-way. The Board of Education has coordinated with NES on the relocation of these lines. All reviewing departments and agencies are recommending approval. No landlocked properties will be created by this alley's closure.

10. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-111G-14 (Council Bill No. BL2001-878)

Staff recommends approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. This council bill is to accept the donation of 0.50 acres at 4300 Chandler Road from Marguerite W. Smith. Staff recommends approval of this property donation. The property generates \$0.00 in property taxes to Metro; therefore, its removal from the property tax rolls will not constitute any loss in revenue. Metro Public Works will use this right-of-way to increase Chandler Road's right-of-way.

11. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-112G-06 (Council Bill BL2001-871)

Staff recommends approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. This council bill is approve the lease and future sale of Metro property at 3010 Ambrose Avenue for the Reuse Center, Inc. The property was originally acquired in 1986 by Metro as part of the Public Works East Center. It was previously used by Parents Anonymous of Tennessee.

The Reuse Center is a non-profit group that recycles useful materials by reselling them at a very low, affordable price. The materials they sale then avoid going to a landfill. Centers like these typically *accept* doors, windows, sinks, toilets, bathtubs, roofing, plumbing, cabinets, pipes and fittings, lumber, bricks, light fixtures, gardening tools, etc. They *do not accept* flammable liquids, chemicals, inoperable appliances, toxic waste, used tries, etc.

Staff recommends approval of this lease and future sale. The property will be leased for \$100/month, and if the lessee options to purchase the home, it would be sold by Metro for \$70,000. The Reuse Center intends to improve the existing property as office space. Those improvements will become Metro's in the event The Reuse Center decides not to purchase this property in the future.

12. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-113G-00 (Council Bill BL2001-875)

Staff recommends approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. This council bill is to lease locations in Metro buildings for ATM machines to be installed by the MPD Employees Credit Union. These machines will be located at the Criminal Justice Center, Hermitage Police Precinct and South Police Precinct. All costs associated with installing, operating, and maintaining these machines will be paid for by the credit union.

Staff recommends approval of this lease agreement as the ATMs will benefit members of the credit union as well as the general public.

13. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-114U-13 (Council Bill BL2001-872)

Staff recommends approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. This council bill is to lease the DeBerry Correctional Facility located at 3250 Ezell Pike to the Policeman's Benefits Association. The association will hold its annual haunted house fundraising event at the facility. The Metro Legal Department submitted this request to staff on October 30, 2001, and requested its approval be expedited. Staff recommends approval of this lease agreement since the facility is already in use as a haunted house. The lease term ends September 30, 2002 with an option to renew for four years (1 year each).

14. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-116U-00

Staff recommends approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. Every year the Metro Planning Commission and Metro Council must review and adopt the Metro Nashville and Davidson County Official Street and Alley Maps as required by Section 13.08.010 of the Metro Code of Laws. These maps identify all streets and alleys accepted by Metro for public maintenance between October 1, 2000 to October 23, 2001. This year no alleys are being accepted only streets within new subdivisions. Staff recommends approval of this map adoption.

15. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-117U-08

Staff recommends conditional approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. The Interim Director of Public Works is requesting to rename Lyle Avenue between Johnston Avenue and Charlotte Pike to "JoJohnston Avenue" and JoJohnston Avenue between 20th Avenue North and 21st Avenue North to "21st Avenue North" for the J. M. Head Middle School campus expansion (see 2001S-135U-08). The renaming is necessary to reflect the closing of JoJohnston Avenue between 20th Avenue North and 21st Avenue North (2000M-098U-08).

Staff recommends conditional approval of these street renamings provided all reviewing agencies and departments recommend approval.

16. Mandatory Referral Proposal 2001M-118U-08

Staff recommends approval.

This request was scheduled for the November 8, 2001 meeting, but due to the lack of a quorum it was rescheduled to November 26th. The Metro Board of Education is requesting to close JoJohnston Avenue between 19th Avenue North and 20th Avenue North (see also 2001S-135U-08). All easements are to be abandoned. The Metro Board of Education is requesting this street closure for the expansion of Head Middle School. The Planning Commissioned disapproved a similar request on September 28, 2000 (2000M-098U-08). At that time, staff recommended disapproval since more information was needed, plus the 30-day clock for Planning Commission action was to expire on October 6, 2000. The Metro Charter mandates the Commission take action within 30 days once a complete mandatory referral application has been officially submitted for review and consideration. A failure to act within 30 days deems the application approved. To avoid that situation, staff recommended disapproval.

Staff recommends approval of this street closure since in the intervening year, this street's proposed closure, including the re-routing traffic around the school has been analyzed in-depth. Community meetings have been held about the proposed closure and school expansion plans with Councilmember Whitmore, the Directors of Public Works and Planning, Board of Education personnel and the Metro Traffic Engineer. The councilmember and all departments agree that JoJohnston Avenue should be closed. Traffic will be re-routed up 19th Avenue North to Warner Avenue and then down 20th Avenue North, connecting back to what is now JoJohnston Avenue, but proposed to be renamed to "21st Avenue North" (2001M-117U-08) on this same commission agenda.

Traffic

A traffic study was prepared for this closure as required by Council bill O87-1679 since more than 1,000 cars per day use these streets. The study indicated that this section of JoJohnston Avenue has an average daily traffic volume of between 3,350 to 4,560 cars per day. When this portion of the roadway is closed, traffic will be diverted to nearby streets. While the study indicates adequate capacity exists on those streets for the diverted traffic, it does note that residents along those streets (Pearl, 20th Avenue North, Lyle Avenue and 19th Avenue North) will perceive an increase in traffic. At times, people may be unable to get out of their driveways as quickly as they can today, particularly during the morning and afternoon when school begins and ends. All streets will perform at an acceptable level of service (B or C). The majority of these streets perform at a level of service "B" today.