Hammer, Eric (Planning)

| From: | Lesley Beeman <lbeeman@mzarch.com></lbeeman@mzarch.com> |
|--------------|---|
| Sent: | Wednesday, June 5, 2019 11:55 AM |
| То: | Hammer, Eric (Planning) |
| Cc: | Manuel Zeitlin; Jack Fleischer; steve@kovach.net |
| Subject: | FW: Hermitage Lighting: 531 Lafayette St |
| Attachments: | 6TH AVE S_01_interstate-kvb.pdf; Lafayette40to8th.pdf; 1371-Hermitage Lighting - MCSP Exhibit.pdf; 1371-Hermitage Lighting FOR CONSTRUCTION.pdf |

Attention: This email originated from a source external to Metro Government. Please exercise caution when opening any attachments or links from external sources.

Hi Eric,

Thanks for speaking with me about the Hermitage Lighting project yesterday. Attached are the MCSP requirements we received from Elwyn Gonzales at Planning and a site plan exhibit showing the existing sidewalks overlaid with those requirements. Also attached are the drawings for the recladding project that has triggered a look at these sidewalk upgrade requirements.

The new project consists of recladding the existing building, adding new lighting, signage, upgrading entries, and adding an accessible ramp. The sidewalk on Lafayette is short at 48.5ft and is mostly taken up with parking access. The sidewalk on 6th Ave N is relatively new and is primarily constrained by the existing building and site walls. This sidewalk, too, is eaten away by parking lot and service access. The site is currently paved against the concrete sidewalks for parking and does not impede using the paving for walking, if the additional width is needed.

Given the small and limited amount of work included in our project and the site constraints involved in upgrading the existing sidewalks to MCSP standards, we request a waiver from the DTC/DRC to allow us to leave the existing sidewalks as they are for the time being.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me at lbeeman@mzarch.com or by phone at (615) 256-2880.

Thanks.

L. Lesley Beeman, Jr., AIA, Architect

Manuel Zeitlin Architects 516 Hagan Street, STE 100 Nashville, TN 37203 T: 615.256.2880



From: Gonzalez, Elwyn (Planning) <<u>Elwyn.Gonzalez@nashville.gov</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:11 AM
To: Lesley Beeman <<u>LBeeman@mzarch.com</u>>
Cc: Manuel Zeitlin <<u>manuel@mzarch.com</u>>; Briggs, Michael (Planning) <<u>Michael.Briggs@nashville.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Hermitage Lighting: 531 Lafayette St

Good morning Lesley, below are the MCSP requirements for each street frontage:

6th Ave S

- Sidewalk: 12' sidewalk with 4' x 6' tree wells
- Curb-to-Curb: 32' (existing)

Lafayette

- Sidewalk: 14' sidewalk with 4' x 6' tree wells; 4' frontage zone
- Curb-to-Curb: 60' (existing)

Attached are the cross sections. Please let me know if you need anything else,

Elwyn Gonzalez, AICP

Transportation Planner, Multimodal Transportation Planning Metropolitan Nashville Planning Department 800 2nd Avenue South, PO Box 196300 Nashville, TN 37219-6300

(o) 615-862-7163 e-mail: <u>elwyn.gonzalez@nashville.gov</u>

From: Briggs, Michael (Planning)
Sent: Monday, June 03, 2019 4:57 PM
To: Lesley Beeman
Cc: Manuel Zeitlin; Gonzalez, Elwyn (Planning)
Subject: Re: Hermitage Lighting: 531 Lafayette St

I've copied Elwyn Gonzalez on my team. He'll follow up with the dimensions.

On Jun 3, 2019, at 10:08 AM, Lesley Beeman <<u>LBeeman@mzarch.com</u>> wrote:

Attention: This email originated from a source external to Metro Government. Please exercise caution when opening any attachments or links from external sources.

Hi Michael,

We're helping Jack Fleischer at Hermitage Lighting Gallery on Lafayette and 6th Ave to reclad his building and upgrade his handicapped access. We're told that his small amount of freshening up, upper \$200K in value, is kicking in a requirement to bring sidewalks and curbs up to MCSP standards. See attached drawings. Our frontage on Lafayette is only 48.5ft and our frontage on 6th Ave is partially constrained by the existing building.

What is required by MCSP in these locations?

Thanks for helping us out.

L. Lesley Beeman, Jr., AIA, Architect

Manuel Zeitlin Architects 516 Hagan Street, STE 100 Nashville, TN 37203 T: 615.256.2880

<image009.jpg> <image010.png> <image011.jpg> <image012.jpg>

<Hermitage 6th Ave.jpg>

<Hermitage Lafayette.jpg>

<1371-Hermitage Lighting FOR CONSTRUCTION.pdf>







VIEW OF AFFECTED SIDEWALK ALONG 6TH AVE SOUTH LOOKING NORTH

VIEW OF AFFECTED SIDEWALK ALONG LAFAYETTE STREET LOOKING SOUTH







HERMITAGE LIGHTING GALLERY 531 LAFAYETTE STREET, NASHVILLE, TN 37203

G1.0 BUILDING DESIGN DATA, SITE PLAN, FLOOR PLAN

- 1 1/4" OD CONT HANDRAIL

1/2" STL ROD

4" THICK LIGHT **BROOM-FINISHED** CONC WALK WITH 4X4

10/10 WWF EMBED BALLUSTER 8" MIN. SET IN NON-

- 8" THICK, 3,000# CONC STEM WALL WITH #5

12"X24" CONT CONC FOOTING WITH (3) #5

NOTE:

STEEL RAILS SHALL BE SHOP PRIMED WITH ZINC PRIMER AND FIELD PAINTED WITH COMPATIBLE FLUOROPOLYMER COATING. COAT ALL SURFACES PRIOR TO EMBEDING IN CONC. TOUCH UP AS NEEDED.

GRIND WELDS SMOOTH AND LEVEL SO THAT NO EVIDENCE OF WELD IS VISIBLE IN FINISH. REMOVE FLASH AND WELD SPLATTER.





3 RAMP DETAIL 3/4" = 1'-0"





 $1 \frac{\text{NORTH ELEVATION - EXISTING}}{1/8" = 1'-0"}$

4 FOUNDATION DETAIL 1 1/2" = 1'-0"

Major and Collector Street Plan of Metropolitan Nashville Implementing Complete Streets

A Component of NashvilleNext and Access Nashville 2040

Adopted June 22, 2015

Right-of-Way Departmental Modification

Street: 6th Avenue South

Segment: 1

Termini: Interstate 40 Overpass to Korean Veterans Boulevard Direction: North

Classification: T6-M-LS2 (50' Standard); DTC Secondary

Constrained/Updated ROW: 56'

*Additional right-of-way may be required on the east side of 6th Avenue at intersections to accommodate a center turn lane and the protected bike lane.

See page 25 of the Major and Collector Street Plan:

"In most cases the ultimate right-of-way that most fully implements the MCSP will be established as the "Standard" right-of-way for a given facility. In some instances a particular street or street segment may be deemed "Constrained" by the Planning Department and Public Works Department Directors following a study by Planning and Public Works staff. The rights-of-way along Constrained Facilities are established as the particular street segment is studied."

The Vehicle Zone can fluctuate between termini because of turn lanes and bulb-outs to accommodate on-street parking. In most instances, the Green Zone, Pedestrian Zone, and Frontage Zone are intended to remain constant between termini with varying pavement conditions. Therefore with final building placement, the total ROW can be more than indicated above at specific sites.

Street Measured: 5/17/2012

Approved by Planning: 6/22/2015

Image generated by: Streetmix $\underline{http://streetmix.net}$

Bicycling Priority Projects

The following are bicycling priorities for the Downtown Community. See project maps below.

Access Nashville Bicycling Project #14

East Nashville Protected Connections–Implement protected bikeways along the Woodland Street Bridge, the Jefferson Street Bridge, and under Interstate overpasses that connect Edgefield and McFerrin Park to the East Bank and downtown.

I-24 and the Cumberland River are major barriers that limit access between East Nashville and Downtown, especially for people who walk and bike. New bicycle connections have improved access in recent years, but the lack of multiple access options inhibits bicycle travel, especially during special events when the John Seigenthaler Pedestrian Bridge may be closed. Currently, bicycle travel is not a comfortable option for many residents of East Nashville who must travel far out of their way to access low-stress bikeways to Downtown.

Additional connections between Downtown and East Nashville improve the resiliency of Nashville's active transportation network and increase access for all of East Nashville's neighborhoods. The proposed connections should be protected to allow cyclists of all ages and abilities to safely cross between East Nashville and Downtown. Particular care should be taken to protect cyclists from other road users as they enter and exit I-24. This project is identified as a Countywide Critical Need. Refer to Figure DT-26.

Access Nashville Bicycling Project #15

Gateway to Downtown–Implement complete street components such as protected bikeways, transit improvements, streetscaping, public art, and gateway features along Lafayette Street from Chestnut Hill through SoBro. Lafayette Street should be reconfigured as a complete street with full accommodation of cyclists traveling from South Nashville, improved pedestrian crossings, better transit infrastructure - especially near the Greyhound bus station- and placemaking features like public art, gateway signage, and better lighting to indicate arrival in Downtown Nashville. The Gateway to Downtown project is identified as a Countywide Critical Need. Refer to Figure DT-27.

Access Nashville Bicycling Project #16

Downtown North-South Connectors: <u>6th Ave South Protected Bikeway</u>–Implement from Demonbreun Street in downtown to Oak Street south of downtown near the Nashville City Cemetery.

The Sixth Avenue South Protected Bikeway expands between Downtown and Wedgewood-Houston, connecting existing bike lanes on Demonbreun with existing buffered bike lanes at Fort Negley and the Adventure Science Center. A rails with trails project or multi-use path adjacent to the Nashville City Cemetery would further expand access.

<u>3rdAve Bikeway</u>–Implement from Madison Street in Germantown to Lindsley Avenue in Lafayette and Rutledge Hill.

3rd Avenue is a relatively low volume street through downtown Nashville that links MetroCenter, Salemtown, and Germantown to SoBro, connecting to First Tennessee Park, the Music City Bikeway, Public Square, and the John Seigenthaler Pedestrian Bridge along the way. Appropriate bicycle infrastructure should be installed to establish 3rd Avenue as the major north-south bicycle route in downtown Nashville. 10^{th} <u>Ave Bike Boulevard</u>–Implement from Monroe Street in Germantown to 8th Avenue.

10th Avenue, like 3rd Avenue, is a relatively low volume street through downtown that links the planned Buena Vista Protected Bikeway in North Nashville to the Music City Bikeway, existing bike lanes on Demonbreun, Cummins Station, and the planned Gulch Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge. Intersections along 10th Avenue should be improved to increase comfort and safety for people riding bikes along this corridor, and wayfinding aids and other bike boulevard infrastructure should be installed where appropriate.

The three north-south connectors in downtown improve access and are identified together as a Community Priority. Refer to Figure DT-28.

Figure DT-28: Access Nashville Bicycling Project #16: Downtown North-South Connectors

a. 6th Ave. South Protected Bikeway–Implement from Demonbreun Street in downtown to Oak Street south of downtown near the Nashville City Cemetery.

b. 3rd Ave. Bikeway- Implement from Madison Street in Germantown to Lindsley Avenue in Lafayette and Rutledge Hill.

c. 10th Ave. Bike Boulevard- Implement from Monroe Street in Germantown to 8th Avenue.

Bikeway. The extension of the greenway is essential to improve the multi-modal mobility of the area as it provides an alternate route to access the Rolling Mill Hill sub-area and make connections between the residential developments in the sub-area to businesses in the downtown core.

5.8.56 Traffic Signal at Hermitage Avenue / Lindsey Avenue

Lindsey Avenue is used extensively by large interstate trucks that use the ramps on 2nd Avenue and 4th Avenue to access the industrial area on Lebanon Pike. As a result, the intersection of Hermitage Avenue and Lindsey Avenue serves high truck volume, and experiences long delays and queues. This project recommends installing a traffic signal at the intersection to help facilitate the vehicular movement through the intersection

5.8.57 Realign Peabody Street / 4th Avenue Intersection

As the SoBro sub-area develops in the near future, the traffic volume on several roadways is likely to increase significantly. This increase in traffic volume will likely amplify the operational and safety concerns of the intersections that are currently not aligned. Hence, this projects recommends aligning the intersection of Peabody Street / 4th Avenue to improve its safety and operation. Figure A18 in Appendix A shows the conceptual design of the realignment. As shown, the realignment will impact the property located on the southwest quadrant of the intersection.

5.8.58 6th Avenue South Protected Bike Lane

As mentioned previously, one of the strategies of the Multimodal Mobility Study is to provide nonmotorized facilities on roadways with low vehicular traffic volume and speed. 6th Avenue South is such a roadway that also connects to the Adventure Science Center south of downtown. Hence, this project recommends providing protected bike lanes on 6th Avenue South from Demonbreun Street to Oak Street and the Adventure Science Center. This enhanced facility will connect downtown Nashville to the Adventure Science Center and encourage riders of all ages to use the facility. Figure A19 in Appendix A shows the conceptual design of the protected bike lane. At the intersections that require turn lanes, the protected bike lane is shown to transition to a multi-use path. As the areas around the intersections develop, it will be desirable to provide additional space for the turn lanes, protected bike lanes and sidewalks.

5.8.59 Lafayette Street Road Diet

Currently, Lafayette Street is a six-lane facility that carries relatively low traffic volume in comparison to the available capacity. The traffic pattern on the facility is directional with higher traffic volumes in the northwest bound direction during the weekday a.m. peak hour and even higher traffic volume in the southeast bound direction during the weekday p.m. peak hour. Nonetheless, reducing the roadway geometry to a five-lane cross-section with a center turn lane and bike lanes will provide adequate capacity for the roadway. Figure A20 in Appendix A shows the conceptual design of the proposed road diet.

5.8.60 3rd Avenue Bike Lane from KVB to Ash Street

As mentioned previously, the Multimodal Mobility Study has identified 3rd Avenue as one of the recommended designated bike routes due to its relatively low traffic volume and speed. As such, this project calls for providing bike lanes on 3rd Avenue from Korean Veterans Boulevard to Ash Street in the SoBro sub-area. This will likely require removing onstreet parking on at least one side of 3rd Avenue. Figure A21 in Appendix A shows the conceptual design of the bike lanes on 3rd Avenue South.

5.8.61 Lafayette Street / Peabody Street / 7th Avenue Intersection Improvement

The current footprint of the Lafayette Street / Peabody Street / 7th Avenue intersection is extremely large, which creates operational and safety issues for pedestrians and motor vehicles alike. This recommended improvement reduces the size of the intersection, provides better delineation for motor vehicles, and reduces crossing distance for pedestrians. Figure A20 in Appendix A shows the conceptual layout of the proposed improvement.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

FIGURE A19. Conceptual Design: 6th Avenue

Major & Collector Street Plan Right-of-Way Modification Documentation

Metropolitan Nashville Planning Department
 800 Second Avenue South
 P.O.Box 196300
 Nashville, TN 37219-6300
 615.862.7190
 www.nashville.gov/mpc

Metro-Nashville is committed to providing a world-class transportation system that serves all users and provides travel choices. One component of the transportation system is to ensure Metro's streets provide appropriate and adequate infrastructure for multiple travel modes in the surrounding context. This form documents decisions involving public right-of-way that supports Metro's efforts to provide complete streets. Information on this form explains how Metro is balancing the needs of its transportation users and provide consistency in how corridors and their intersections will serve the community as redevelopment occurs in the future.

Documentation Checklist

| File Name | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| File Name | | | | | | |
| Reason for right-of-way modification: | | | | | | |
| | Street Situation - A 🛛 🗌 Constrained Street S | tuation - B 📃 Oth | er (describe below) | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Date | | | | | | |
| |] Request to update Standard ROW sent betw | een Planning Commissio | n and Public Works. | | | |
| | Final approval granted by Planning Commission and Public Works Directors. | | l approval not granted. | | | |
| | Note: This involves | Date | e heard by Planning Commission. | | | |
| | change and will need to | Case # | | | | |
| | be amended within the | Case # | | | | |
| | MCSP by the MPC. | Decisio | n | | | |
| [| Update Final ROW, Half ROW, Last Action, Ed | it Date and Notes in GIS. | | | | |
| | Send updated shapefile and documentation | to Mapping Division. | | | | |
| Attach the follow | ving: | | | | | |
| Corresponde | ince | xample cross section | | | | |
| 🔲 Map of area a | and future land use policy | lanning Commission me | eting minutes (if necessary) | | | |
| Other (descri | be below) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| List departments | and staff contacts involved in right-of-way m | dification decision: | | | | |
| | ,, | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Adopted Community Vision of Street

Major & Collector Street Plan

_

| - | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----|
| Street Name | | | | State Ro | ute(s) (if any) | | | |
| From | | | | То | | | | |
| MCSP Designat | tion(s) | | | | Standard RO | W(s) | | |
| Date Street Sec | ction ROW was | s Last Updated | | (Peaboo | ly to 8th |) | | |
| Existing On-Str | eet Parking | | Existing Median | | Median Wi | dth | | |
| Sidewalks & Bik | keways Plan St | atus | | |] | | | |
| Regional Tra | ansportatio | on Plan | | | | | | |
| Is this street ide | entified as a po | otential improve | ment project within | the Nashville A | rea MPO's RTP | or TIP? | |] |
| RTP Project # | | | TIP Project # | | | | | |
| Briefly describe improvement p | the project | | | | | | | |
| ls this street an | identified reg | ional corridor w | ith a corridor study/a | alternatives ana | lysis completed | d or planned | l? | |
| If so, how does | the corridor st | udy/alternative | s analysis influence t | he street right- | of-way and futu | ure vision of | the street? | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Is this street ide | entified as par | t of the regional | bicycle and pedestri | an network? | | | | |
| Community | Plan & Zon | ing | | _ | | | | |
| Community Pla | ın(s) | 🗌 4 - Madis | on | 🗌 8 - North Na | ashville | 🗌 12 - Sc | outheast | |
| 🗌 1 - Joelton | | 📃 5 - East N | lashville | 9 - Downtov | wn | 🔲 13 - Ar | ntioch/Priest Lak | е |
| 🗌 2 - Parkwoo | d/Union Hill | 🗌 6 - Bellev | ue | 🗌 10 - Green H | Hills/Midtown | 🗌 14 - Do | onelson/Hermita | ge |
| 🔲 3 - Bordeau | x/Whites Cree | k 🔲 7 - West I | Nashville | 🗌 11 - South N | lashville | | | |
| Plan Policies | | | | Zoning District | (s) | | | |
| Briefly describe | the envisione | d future charact | er of the street and i | ts surrounding | context: | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Any additional | planning or d | esign activities t | hat might influence | the street's con | text and charac | cter? If so, de | escribe below. | |
| | | | | | | | | |

On the Ground Conditions

Today's Date

Motor Vehicles

| Number of Through Vehicle Lanes | Center Turn Lane/Left Turn Lane | | Right Turn Lane | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Total Number of Vehicle Lanes | One-Way Street Mana | iged Lanes Type | | | | |
| ADT Vehicle L | OS Speed Limit | | | | | |
| Describe how the street currently accommodates motor vehicles: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Detail any surrounding land uses that generate truck traffic and how that freight traffic is currently handled on the street: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Mass Transit

| Is the street currently served by bus service? Bus Route # & Name | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Approximate Number of Bus Stops Along Route Mass Transit LOS | | | | | |
| Is the street within a half mile of additional mass transit service (bus, BRT, light rail, etc.) | | | | | |
| Describe how the street currently accommodates mass transit service: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Detail how the area is served by any additional mass transit service within a half mile of the corridor:

Describe the ADA accessibility of existing transit stops and sidewalks along the street:

On the Ground Conditions (continued) Pedestrians Are there existing sidewalks? Pedestrian Count Pedestrian LOS Describe the condition of existing sidewalks, including condition, repair needs, ADA accessibility, etc.: Is the existing sidewalk width adequate? Explain: **Bicyclists** What type of bicycle infrastructure is provided along/adjacent to the street? Bike Lanes Wide Outside Lane Sharrows Signed Bike Route Paved Shoulder Bike Box Shared Use Trail/Greenway Share the Road Signs None **Bicycle Count Bicycle LOS** Describe the condition of existing bicycle infrastructure:

Safety, Health, & Special Populations

| Number of Crashes: | Pedestrian Crashes: | Bicycle Crashes: | Year(s) | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Disabling Injury | Disabling Injury | Disabling Injury | Crash Rate | | | |
| Fatal | Fatal | Fatal | | | | |
| Total | Total | Total | | | | |
| Has a Road Safety Audit Review been conducted? Is the street within a High Health Impact Area? | | | | | | |
| How many schools are within 2 miles? | | | | | | |
| Are there any known facilities for older adults along the corridor? | | | | | | |
| Describe any additional special populations that may be living or working in the area: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Right-of-Way Modification

Adopted Standard ROW(s)

| T6-M-AB6-UM | (117') | & |
|-------------|--------|---|
| T6-M-AB5-UM | (106') | |

New Modified ROW(s)

Explain how the right-of-way is modified in each zone from the adopted standard. If no changes are proposed from the required standard right-of-way or does not apply to this street, indicate as such.

Pedestrian Zone (Frontage Zone & Pedestrian Travelway)

Green Zone (Furnishing Zone, Street Tree Planting Areas, & Transit Stops)

Parking Zone (On-Street Parallel Parking & Curb Extensions)

Bicycle Zone

Vehicle Zone (Shoulder, Lane Width & Medians/Pedestrian Refuge)

Explain any other details that have not been described above:

Right-of-Way Modification (continued)

Explain how the modified right-of-way accommodates each potential user/travel mode. If a particular user cannot be accommodated within the modified right-of-way, explain why that is the case and document reasons for this tradeoff.

Motor Vehicles

Mass Transit

Pedestrians

Bicyclists

How does the street's modified right-of-way improve the safety and health of its users? Or how does the street's modified right-of-way anticipate not contributing to additional safety and health issues for its users?

If there are identified special populations in the area, how does the modified right-of-way take their needs into account?

Explain any additional issues that should be documented involving the modified right-of-way.

Lafayette Street from I-40 to 8th Avenue South (ROW 96') Example Cross Section

2017 WalknBike Plan identifies a Major Separated Bikeway.

