RFQ# 168233 Environmental & Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services								
Review Board Score Sheet								
Offeror	ECS Southeast, LLP	KS Ware & Assoc. LLC	QTS Group LLC	Stantec	TTL, Inc.	Terracon		
Contract Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Qualifications and Capacity to Perform (50 Points)	44.00	50.00	30.00	45.00	48.00	48.00		
Project Approach (50 Points)	28.00	50.00	18.00	45.00	49.00	50.00		
Total Review Scores	72.00	100.00	48.00	90.00	97.00	98.00		

Review Comments

ECS Southeast, LLP Strengths Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services of phase I and II. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in Radon management. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's organization chart was unclear. Firm's proposal failed to clarify proposed team for Metro projects. Firm's company overview lacked detail. Firm's proposed project approach lacked detail for project management. Firm failed to respond to numbers 2 & 3 of the project approach section - Environmentally friendly practices & Reporting on ACM and RMS.

KS Ware & Assoc. LLC

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposed project approach provided detailed information to include individual project examples. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

None noted.

QTS Group LLC

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal demonstrated limited scope of work experience. Firm's proposal failed to demonstrate firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's company information lacked detail. Firm's proposal failed to address part of number 1, all of numbers 2, 3 and 5 of the project approach section. - Approach to managing the project; Environmentally friendly practices; Sustainability practices; Reporting on ACM and RMS. Firm failed to provide copies of training certificates as required per the solicitation.

Stantec

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's on-call services experience. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed organizational chart. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm/team members have up-to-date training. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in sample collection. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm has knowledge of and follows proper industry guidelines. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal lacked detailed for experience description for decontamination and remediation management. Firm's proposal lacked detail for key member roles. Firm failed to address Reporting on ACM and RMS.

Strengths

TTL, Inc.

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposal provided detailed subcontractor information. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's demonstrated firm's proposal demonstrated firm's proposal firm's proposal demonstrated firm's proposal provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's overview of company's description of prior experience lacked detail. Firm's point of contact for Metro projects was unclear in firm's proposed project approach.

Terracon

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects to include team members that worked on the projects and their certifications. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's HazMat testing lacked detail. Firm's organizational chart was unclear. Firm's "PDR" staff was unclear.

Enter Solicitation Title & Number Below	
Environmental & Hazardous Inspection & Testing Services (A&E); RFQ 168233	Deemed Responsive
Offeror's Name	
ECS Southeast LLP	Yes
KS Ware & Assoc LLC	Yes
QTS Group LLC	Yes
TTL Inc.	Yes
Terracon	Yes
Stantec	Yes

	BAC) SBE Assessment Sheet	
BAO Specialist: Sierra Washington			
Contract Specialist: Christina Alexan	der		
Date: 12/22/2021			
Department Name: General Services			
RFP/ITB Number: 168233		-	
Project Name: Environmental and Ha	zardous Inspection	and Testing Services (A&E) (7% SBE/SDV Requirem	ent)
Primary Contractor:	SBE/SDV Requirement Acknowledged	Comments	,
		Drancoar columnuladard 70/ participation	
		Proposer acknowledged 7% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: Civil Infrastructure Associates, LLC for surveying, Design Consultants, Inc for environmental planning, Hawkston, LLC for environmental drilling and well installation, Levy Industrial Contractors, Inc. for hazardous waste cleanup, ACM, lead air clearance and Varallo Public	

DocuSign Envelope ID: 018B5994-F1BD-4D47-A253-CF4D87B4DAB7

Statement of M/WBE Utilization A&E ONLY

Proposer's/Firm's Name:K.S. Ware & Associates, LLC	Proposer's Phone #: 615-255-9702
Solicitation Title: Environmental and Hazardous Inspections & Testing Services	Proposer's Email Address: joliphant@kswarellc.com
Solicitation #: 168233	Total Bid Amount: N/A (A&E contract)
<mark>ЕВО Goal (%):</mark> <u>5.00</u> мве% <u>12</u> wве%	EBO Goal Met? (Y/N) N

The following MWBE* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s) will be utilized for the performance of this project:

MBE/WBE Firm Name	MBE/WBE Firm Address	Phone/E-Mail	Certificate Type (MBE or WBE)	* MBE/WBE Group Type *	Code # UNSPS/NAICS	Description of Work	
¹ CIA, Civil Infrastructure Associates, LLC	307 Hickerson Dr. Murfreesboro, TN, 37129	615-663-7678/Isullivan@cia-engin	WBE	5	81101526	Surveying	
² Design Consultants Inc (DCI)	2207 Crestmoor Rd., STE 101, Nashville, TN 37215	615-400-8410, kellzy@designcor	MBE	1	77101600, 81102500	Environmental planning and permitt	
³ E. Luke Greene Company	10909 McBride Ln. Knoxville, TN, 37932	865-675-4161, jeff@elukegreene.c	WBE	5	11101510, 81141805, 761219	Asbestos, Lead, & Mold Surveys, Abatement, and Air Cleara	
^₄ Earthworx, Inc.	4510 Turntable Rd. Suite 120, Chattanooga, TN, 37421	423-892-4780, dixie.brackett@ear	WBE	5	81101512, 81151604	GIS/Surveying	
⁵ Levy Industrial Contractors, Inc.	2410 Park Plus Dr., Columbia, TN, 38401	615-227-1645, kbradley@levyconi	WBE	5	76121900, 76130000	Hazardous Waste disposal, Toxic and Hazardous Waste Cleanup, ACM and Lead Air Cl	
⁶ Varallo Public Relations	640 Spence Lane #122, Nashville, TN, 37217	615-367-5299, deb@varallopr.com	WBE	5	80141602	Public Relations	
7			Select	Select			
am the duly authorized representative and certify the facts and representations contained in this form and supporting documents are true and correct.							
Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title/Signature) Julie Oliphant/President/				L		Date	10/28/202 ²
*Note: MWBE is defined as business enterprise maintaining a significant business prescience in the Program Area & performing a commercial useful function that is owned by one or more of the following: (1) African Americans, (2) Native Americans, (3) Hispanic Americans, (4) Asian Americans, and (5) Women. Has Prime Acknowledged EBO Goals Acheivement? If No, Good Faith Efforts Met?							
BAO Representative : Sierra Washington Metro Buyer: Christina Alexander							
Project Manager: Ava Elsaghir Date: 12/22/21							

BAO Notes:

Prime acknowledges they cannot achieve the 5% MBE goal but can achieve 12% WBE subcontracting goal. Consistent with the procurement code actual dollar amounts and percentages will confirmed during contract negotiations.