RFQ# 168233 Environmental & Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services								
Review Board Score Sheet								
Offeror	ECS Southeast, LLP	KS Ware & Assoc. LLC	QTS Group LLC	Stantec	TTL, Inc.	Terracon		
Contract Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Qualifications and Capacity to Perform (50 Points)	44.00	50.00	30.00	45.00	48.00	48.00		
Project Approach (50 Points)	28.00	50.00	18.00	45.00	49.00	50.00		
Total Review Scores	72.00	100.00	48.00	90.00	97.00	98.00		

Review Comments

ECS Southeast, LLP

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services of phase I and II. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in Radon management. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's organization chart was unclear. Firm's proposal failed to clarify proposed team for Metro projects. Firm's company overview lacked detail. Firm's proposed project approach lacked detail for project management. Firm failed to respond to numbers 2 & 3 of the project approach section - Environmentally friendly practices & Reporting on ACM and RMS.

KS Ware & Assoc. LLC

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposed project approach provided detailed information to include individual project examples. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

None noted.

QTS Group LLC

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal demonstrated limited scope of work experience. Firm's proposal failed to demonstrate firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's company information lacked detail. Firm's proposal failed to address part of number 1, all of numbers 2, 3 and 5 of the project approach section. - Approach to managing the project; Environmentally friendly practices; Sustainability practices; Reporting on ACM and RMS. Firm failed to provide copies of training certificates as required per the solicitation.

Stantec

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's on-call services experience. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed organizational chart. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm/team members have up-to-date training. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in sample collection. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm has knowledge of and follows proper industry guidelines. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's proposal lacked detailed for experience description for decontamination and remediation management. Firm's proposal lacked detail for key member roles. Firm failed to address Reporting on ACM and RMS.

TTL, Inc.

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposal provided detailed subcontractor information. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's project management experience. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's overview of company's description of prior experience lacked detail. Firm's point of contact for Metro projects was unclear in firm's proposed project approach.

Terracon

Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects to include team members that worked on the projects and their certifications. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses

Firm's HazMat testing lacked detail. Firm's organizational chart was unclear. Firm's "PDR" staff was unclear.

Enter Solicitation Title & Number Below	
Environmental & Hazardous Inspection & Testing Services (A&E);	Deemed
RFQ 168233	Responsive
Offeror's Name	
ECS Southeast LLP	Yes
KS Ware & Assoc LLC	Yes
QTS Group LLC	Yes
TTL Inc.	Yes
Terracon	Yes
Stantec	Yes

BAO SBE Assessment Sheet

BAO Specialist: Sierra Washington

Contract Specialist: Christina Alexander

Date: 12/22/2021

Department Name: General Services

RFP/ITB Number: 168233

Project Name: Environmental and Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services (A&E) (7% SBE/SDV Requirement)

SBE/SDV

Primary Contractor:	Requirement Acknowledged	Comments			
TTI. Inc.		Proposer acknowledged 7% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: Frost Environmental Services for asbestos analytical services, Hawkston for drilling services, Brownsfield Redevelopment for environemntal assessments and remediation, and Varallo Public Relations for community meetings, public			
TTL, Inc.	Yes	outreach and communications.			

DocuSign Envelope ID: 018B5994-F1BD-4D4	47-A253-CF4D87B4DAB7							
	St	atement of	M/WBE Ut	ilization A	&E ONLY			
Proposer's/Firm's Name: TTL Inc				Proposer's Phone #: 615-727-1844				
Solicitation Title: Environmental & Hazardous Inspection & Testing Services				Proposer's Email Address: david.carden@ttlusa.com				
Solicitation #: 168233				Total Bid Amount: N/A - RFQ response				
EBO Goal (%): 5.00 MBE% 12 WBE%				EBO Goal Met? (Y/N) TBD				
The following MWBE* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s)	will be utilized for the performance of this	project:						
			Certificate Type	* MBE/WBE	Code #			
MBE/WBE Firm Name	MBE/WBE Firm Address	Phone/E-Mail	(MBE or WBE)	Group Type *	UNSPS/NAICS	Descr	iption of Work	
Phase One Consultants LLC	2522 Alameda St, Nashville, TN 37208	kennethbakari@phaseoneconsulta	MBE	Select	771017	lead and air assessment, lead abatement project design		
₂ LG Environmental	2515 Solomon Ln, Nashville, TN 37207	gimpelsonl@bellsouth.net	WBE	Select	541330	remedial engineering design		
Brownfields Redevelopment	PO Box 1461, Franklin, TN 37065	tbascom@brownfieldsenv.com	WBE	Select	77100000	environmental assessment and remediation		
E Luke Greene	10909 McBride Ln, Knoxville, TN 37932	josephine@elukegreene.com	WBE	Select	72103003	lead and asbestos abatement		
varallo Public Relations LLC	640 Spence Lane, Suite 122, Nashville, TN 37217	deb@varallopr.com	WBE	Select	80141602	community meetings, public outreach, and communication		
₅ Entree Savvy LLC	7010 Venetian Way, Murfreesboro, TN 37129	susanvanderbilt@enteesavvy.com	MBE	Select	84141503	diversity program management		
, Biowaste LLC	816 Rachels View, Hermitage, TN 37076	m.cruz@biowastetn.com	MBE	Select	11141608	hazardous waste management		
I am the duly authorized representative and certify t	the facts and representations contained in	this form and suppor	ting documents are tr	ue and correct.				
Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title/Signature)						Date		
David B Carden, Environmental Regional Leader 10/27/2					10/27/2021			
*Note: MWBE is defined as business enterprise maintaining a significa	ant business prescience in the Program Area & performir	ng a commercial useful funct	ion that is owned by one or m	ore of the following: (1)	African Americans (2) Native Ame	ricans, (3) Hispanic Americans, (4) Asia	n Americans, and (5) Women.	
Has Prime Acknowledged EBO Goals Acheivement? For Internal Office Use ONLY If No, Good Faith Efforts Met?								
					_			
BAO Representative: Sierra Washington Metro Buyer: Christina Alexander								
Project Manager: Ava Elsaghir 12/21/21								

BAO Notes:

Prime acknowledges they can achieve 5% MBE 12%WBE subcontracting goals. Consistent with the procurement code actual dollar amounts and percentages will be confirmed during contract negotiations.