

Notice of Intent to Award

Solicitation Number	285248	Award Date	9/18/2023 2:13 PM CDT			
Solicitation Title	utomated License Plate Reader/Recognition (ALPR) system - Trailer Based LPRs Portion					
Buyer Name	Terri Ray	Buyer Email	terri.ray@nashville.gov			
BAO Rep	Christopher Wood	BAO Email	christopher.wood@nashville.gov			

Awarded Supplier(s)

In reference to the above solicitation and contingent upon successful contract negotiation, it is the intent of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County to award to the following supplier(s):

Company Name	Insight LPR, LLC	Company Contact		Jason Lynch	
Street Address	1014 Hwy 471				
City	Brandon	State	Mississippi	Zipcode	39042
Company Name	Motorola Solutions, Inc	Compa	ny Contact	Rick Carter	
Street Address	105 Westpark Drive, Bldg 3, Suite 200				
City	Brentwood	State	Tennessee	Zipcode	37027
Company Name		Compa	ny Contact		
Street Address					
City		State		Zipcode	


Certificate of Insurance

The awarded supplier(s) must submit a certificate of insurance (COI) indicating all applicable coverage required by the referenced solicitation. The COI should be emailed to the referenced buyer no more than 15 days after the referenced award date.

Equal Business Opportunity Program

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) must submit a signed copy of the letter of intent to perform for any and all minority-owned (MBE) or woman-owned (WBE) subcontractors included in the solicitation response. The letter(s) should be emailed to the referenced business assistance office (BAO) rep no more than two business days after the referenced award date.

Yes, the EBO Program is applicable.



Monthly Reporting

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) will be required monthly to submit evidence of participation and payment to all small (SBE), minority-owned (MBE), women-owned (WBE), LGBT-owned (LGBTBE), and service disabled veteran owned (SDV) subcontractors. Sufficient evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks.

Questions related to contract compliance may be directed to the referenced BAO rep.

Yes, monthly reporting is applicable.

No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

Mul Supervisor (Initial)

			Round 2 (1		<u> </u>			
	Round 1 (50 Points)	Product Information (40 Points)	Methodology and Business Plan (30 Points)	Service and Maintenance (30 Points)	Round 2 Total (100 Points)	Round 3 (150 Points)	Round 4 (50 Points)	Total Round 1-4 (350 Points)
Insight LPR, LLC	30	30	30	30	90	140	50	310
Motorola Solutions, Inc	30	40	30	30	100	130	48.24	308.24
Jenoptoik Smart Mobility Solutions	40	25	25	20	110			
Selex-ES Inc	45	25	10	15	50	Deemed no therefore, not Rou	shortlisted to	95
Flock Group, Inc	30		Shortli	sted but Failed to	o Submit in Rour	nd 2		30
Eye in the Sky, LLC	30		Shortli	sted but Failed to	o Submit in Rour	nd 2		30
Genetec Inc	5		Deemed not unad	cceptable, theref	ore, not shortlis	ted to Round 2		5
NDI Technologies Inc	45		Shortlisted but Failed to Submit in Round 2					
Utility Associates Inc	10	Deemed not unacceptable, therefore, not shortlisted to Round 2						10
Upstate Wholesale Supply Inc dba Brite Computers	30		Shortli	sted but Failed to	o Submit in Rour	nd 2		30

Trailer Based Mobile Solution Scoring Summary

ROUND 1 – Trailer Based

Proposed Solution/Offeror Name	Eye in the Sky, LLC	Flock Group, Inc	Genetec Inc	Insight LPR, LLC	Jenoptoik Smart Mobility Solutions	Motorola Solutions, Inc	NDI Technologies Inc	Selex-ES Inc	Upstate Wholesale Supply Inc dba Brite Computers	Utility Associates Inc
Project Experience (Max 50 Pts)	30	30	5	30	40	30	45	45	30	10

Eye in the Sky, LLC

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated LPR project experience.

Weaknesses – Proposal demonstrated the use of a fixed LPR being used on an existing trailer and not provision of trailer with LPR technology as a single package.

Flock Group, Inc

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated LPR project experience.

Weaknesses – Proposal demonstrated the use of a fixed LPR being used on an existing trailer and not provision of trailer with LPR technology as a single package.

Genetec Inc

Strengths – N/A

Weaknesses -Proposal demonstrated prime as a manufacture that would offer products through re-seller. Proposal failed to demonstrate project experience of a full-turnkey solution of similar size, scope, and complexity.

Insight LPR, LLC

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated LPR project experience.

Weaknesses – Proposal demonstrated the use of a fixed LPR being used on an existing trailer and not provision of trailer with LPR technology as a single package.

ROUND 1 – Trailer Based

Proposed Solution/Offeror Name	Eye in the Sky, LLC	Flock Group, Inc	Genetec Inc	Insight LPR, LLC	Jenoptoik Smart Mobility Solutions	Motorola Solutions, Inc	NDI Technologies Inc	Selex-ES Inc	Upstate Wholesale Supply Inc dba Brite Computers	Utility Associates Inc
Project Experience (Max 50 Pts)	30	30	5	30	40	30	45	45	30	10

JENOPTIK SMART MOBILITY SOLUTIONS

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated LPR project experience.

Weaknesses - Proposal lacked specific qualifications, including an item-by-item disclosure outlining how the team meets or exceeds the requirements of this solicitation.

Motorola Solutions, Inc

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated LPR project experience.

Weaknesses – Proposal demonstrated the use of a fixed LPR being used on an existing trailer and not provision of trailer with LPR technology as a single package.

NDI Technologies Inc

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated project experience of similar size, scope, and complexity.

Weaknesses – Proposal contains limited information related to the background of the company.

Selex-ES Inc

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated project experience of similar scope and complexity at the federal level and for large municipals. Proposal demonstrated data exchange project experience across various agencies.

Weaknesses - Proposal lacked specific qualifications, including an item-by-item disclosure outlining how the team meets or exceeds the requirements of this solicitation.

ROUND 1 – Trailer Based

Proposed Solution/Offeror Name	Eye in the Sky, LLC	Flock Group, Inc	Genetec Inc	Insight LPR, LLC	Jenoptoik Smart Mobility Solutions	Motorola Solutions, Inc	NDI Technologies Inc	Selex-ES Inc	Upstate Wholesale Supply Inc dba Brite Computers	Utility Associates Inc
Project Experience (Max 50 Pts)	30	30	5	30	40	30	45	45	30	10

Upstate Wholesale Supply Inc dba Brite Computers

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated LPR project experience.

Weaknesses – Proposal demonstrated the use of a fixed LPR being used on an existing trailer and not provision of trailer with LPR technology as a single package.

Utility Associates Inc

Strengths – N/A

Weaknesses - Proposal failed to demonstrate project experience for just LPRs. Project Experience demonstrated in car camera system that can do LPR and not stand alone. Proposal demonstrated limited years of experience in LPR field/industry for the one LPR technology. Proposal failed to demonstrate project experience of similar size, scope, and complexity. Proposal lacked specific qualifications, including an item-by-item disclosure outlining how the team meets or exceeds the requirements of this solicitation.

ROUND 2 – TRAILER BASED

Proposed Solution/Offeror Name	Insight LPR, LLC	Jenoptoik Smart Mobility Solutions	Motorola Solutions, Inc	Selex-ES Inc
Methodology & Business Plan (30 Pts Max)	30	20	30	15
Service and Maintenance (30 Pts Max)	30	25	30	10
Product Information (40 Pts Max)	30	25	40	25
Total Round 2 Points (100 Pts Max)	90	70	100	50
Total Round 1 & 2 Points (150 Pts Max)	120	110	130	95

- Genetec Inc & Utility Associates Inc were deemed not qualified from Round 1.
- Eye in the Sky, LLC, NDI Technologies Inc, and Upstate Wholesale Supply Inc dba Brite Computers failed to submit response to Round 2.
- Flock Group, Inc not submitting trailer-based solution.

Insight LPR, LLC

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated detailed methodology and business plan which included a good description of implementation plan. Proposal clearly defined team members roles. Proposal demonstrated response times to be 1 hour or less for resolution.

Weaknesses – Failed to provide systems administration, user manual, and implementation documentation.

Jenoptik Smart Mobility Solutions

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated response times were 30 minutes for urgent and high related issues with 2 hours or less for urgent work around solution.

Weaknesses – Methodology and business plan proposal was generic and not specific to Metro's scope of services. Proposal failed to address risk management plan strategy and out-of-scope process(es). Overall proposal was difficult to follow due to formatting. Product information response stated compliance with specifications but failed to provide additional description details. Failed to provide systems administration, user manual, and implementation documentation. Proposal demonstrated that licensing would only support the first 50 users then there would be additional costs for more licenses.

ROUND 2 – TRAILER BASED

Proposed Solution/Offeror Name	Insight LPR, LLC	Jenoptoik Smart Mobility Solutions	Motorola Solutions, Inc	Selex-ES Inc
Methodology & Business Plan (30 Pts Max)	30	20	30	15
Service and Maintenance (30 Pts Max)	30	25	30	10
Product Information (40 Pts Max)	30	25	40	25
Total Round 2 Points (100 Pts Max)	90	70	100	50
Total Round 1 & 2 Points (150 Pts Max)	120	110	130	95

- Genetec Inc & Utility Associates Inc were deemed not qualified from Round 1.
- Eye in the Sky, LLC, NDI Technologies Inc, and Upstate Wholesale Supply Inc dba Brite Computers failed to submit response to Round 2.
- Flock Group, Inc not submitting trailer-based solution.

Motorola Solutions, Inc

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated detailed and well-organized methodology and business plan which included project management plan and implementation plan. Proposal demonstrated response times to be 1 hour or less for resolution. Proposal demonstrated detailed site preparation plan as part of product information response.

Weaknesses – N/A

Selex-ES Inc

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated versatility of proposed solutions.

Weaknesses - Methodology and business plan proposal was generic and not specific to Metro's scope of services. Proposal included an organization chart but failed to provide description and role for individuals on organizational chart. Risk management plan included with proposal focused on COVID and weather. Proposal failed to provide response time for issues. Proposal demonstrated that there is the potential for risk data and that would be completely the responsibility of the customer and Offeror would not be held responsible. Proposal also demonstrated that the Offeror would make a best effort to notify if a breach took place. Proposal included a copy and paste of license agreement rather than providing response to providing description how all licensing and/or subscriptions work for all components. Product information response stated compliance with specifications but failed to provide additional description details. Proposal included a 2018 manual.

ROUND 3 - TRAILER BASED

Proposed Solution/Offeror Name	Insight LPR, LLC	Motorola Solutions, Inc
Trailer-Based Mobile LPR Field Trial (150 Points)	140	130
Total Round 3 Points (150 Pts Max)	140	130

Insight LPR, LLC

Strengths – Trailer Based LPR demonstration resulted in good performance day and nighttime reads on blue plates. Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated good dashboard with device status overview. Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated detailed hit reports with options to categorize by National Crime Information Center (NCIC) alert type by camera. Trailer Based LPR field trial supported the ability for custom hotlists. Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated mobile application for alerts.

Weaknesses – Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated camera aiming available by local network camera access and live view through portal. Additional MNPD resources needed for implementation due to local network camera access. Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated that date/time parameters cannot be adjusted for read reports. Fixed LPR field trial demonstrated the inability to schedule reports. Trailer Based LPR field trial required regular manual intervention for National Crime Information Center (NCIC) hotlist. Trailer Based LPR field trial showed inability to support suppressed hits.

Motorola Solutions, Inc

Strengths - Trailer Based LPR demonstration resulted good performance day & nighttime reads on blue plates when there were not outages. Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated exceptional reporting interface that allows scheduled and customizable reports. Trailer Based LPR field trial showed an automated process for National Crime Information Center (NCIC) hotlist ingestion that had timely updates. Trailer Based LPR field trial supported the ability for custom hotlists. Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated mobile application for alerts. Trailer Based LPR field trial demonstrated camera aiming available through local PC. Trailer Based LPR field trial showed supports suppressed hits.

Weaknesses – During the Trailer Based LPR field trial there were limited reads compared to other offerors due to outages. As part of the Trailer Based LPR field trial the system status available through series of menus but no overall status dashboard available. During the Trailer Based LPR field trial periodic connectivity outage requiring onsite visit for reboot which caused issues related to reads. Additional MNPD resources needed for onsite visit reboot when needed if implemented.

ROUND 4 – TRAILER BASED

		Evaluation	RFP Cost Point Distribution
Offeror's Name	Bids	Amount	(50 Points Max)
Insight LPR, LLC	\$ 858,750.00	\$858,750	50.00
Motorola Solutions, Inc	\$ 890,076.62	\$890,077	48.24

Round 4 Scoring							
Proposed Solution/Offeror Name	Insight LPR, LLC	Motorola Solutions, Inc					
Trailer-Based Mobile LPR Cost (50 Points)	50	48.24					
Total Round 4 Points (50 Pts Max)	50	48.24					



Notice Unacceptable Offers

Solicitation Number	285248	Date	1/9/2023 4:53 PM CST				
Solicitation Title	Automated License Plate Rea	utomated License Plate Reader/Recognition (ALPR) system					
Buyer Name	Terri Ray	Buyer Email	terri.ray@nashville.gov				
BAO Rep	N/A	BAO Email	N/A				

Unacceptable Offer

The following supplier has submitted an offer for Fixed LPR, Vehicle Based Mobile, and Trailer-Based Mobile that is unacceptable:

Company Name	Selex-ES Inc Company Contact Craig Duncan				
Street Address	4221 Tudor Lane				
City	Greensboro	State	NC	Zipcode	27410

Suppliers with unacceptable offers will not be considered for award.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation will be available upon request after the intent to award is issued. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the Procurement Record.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

Michelle & Hernandez Lane



Notice Unacceptable Offers

Solicitation Number	285248	Date	12/8/2022 4:11 PM CST	
Solicitation Title	Automated License Plate Reader/Recognition (ALPR) system			
Buyer Name	Terri Ray	Buyer Email	terri.ray@nashville.gov	
BAO Rep	N/A	BAO Email	N/A	

Unacceptable Offer

The following supplier has submitted an offer for Fixed LPR, Vehicle Based Mobile, and Trailer-Based Mobile that is unacceptable:

Company Name	Genetec Inc Company Conta			Stefania D'Alessandro		
Street Address	2280 Alfred-Nobel Blvd					
City	Montreal H4S 2A4 Canada	State	N/A	Zipcode	N/A	

Suppliers with unacceptable offers will not be considered for award.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation will be available upon request after the intent to award is issued. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the Procurement Record.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

MAL



Notice Unacceptable Offers

Solicitation Number	285248	Date	12/8/2022 4:10 PM CST	
Solicitation Title	Automated License Plate Reader/Recognition (ALPR) system			
Buyer Name	Terri Ray	Buyer Email	terri.ray@nashville.gov	
BAO Rep	N/A	BAO Email	N/A	

Unacceptable Offer

The following supplier has submitted an offer for Fixed LPR, Vehicle Based Mobile, and Trailer-Based Mobile that is unacceptable:

Company Name	Utility Associates Inc	Company Contact Joyce Trotter			
Street Address	250 E Ponce de Leon Ave, Suite 700				
City	Decatur	State	GA	Zipcode	30030

Suppliers with unacceptable offers will not be considered for award.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation will be available upon request after the intent to award is issued. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the Procurement Record.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

MAL