

### Notice of Intent to Award

Solicitation Number	324254	Award Date	9/11/2023   8:28 PM CDT	
Solicitation Title	East Bank Central Waterfront Initial Development Area			
Buyer Name	Scott Ferguson	Buyer Email	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov	
BAO Rep	Jeremy Frye	BAO Email	jeremy.frye@nashville.gov	

### Awarded Supplier(s)

In reference to the above solicitation and contingent upon successful contract negotiation, it is the intent of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County to award to the following supplier(s):

Company Name	The Fallon Company	Company Contact		Trael Webb			
Street Address	1222 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1210	1222 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1210					
City	Nashville	State	TN	Zipcode	37203		
Company Name		Company Contact					
Street Address							
City		State		Zipcode			
Company Name		Compa	ny Contact				
Street Address							
City		State		Zipcode			

### **Certificate of Insurance**

The awarded supplier(s) must submit a certificate of insurance (COI) indicating all applicable coverage required by the referenced solicitation. The COI should be emailed to the referenced buyer no more than 15 days after the referenced award date.

### **Equal Business Opportunity Program**

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) must submit a signed copy of the letter of intent to perform for any and all minority-owned (MBE) or woman-owned (WBE) subcontractors included in the solicitation response. The letter(s) should be emailed to the referenced business assistance office (BAO) rep no more than two business days after the referenced award date.



Yes, the EBO Program is applicable.

No, the EBO Program is not applicable.

### **Monthly Reporting**

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) will be required monthly to submit evidence of participation and payment to all small (SBE), minority-owned (MBE), women-owned (WBE), LGBT-owned (LGBTBE), and service disabled veteran owned (SDV) subcontractors. Sufficient evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks.

Questions related to contract compliance may be directed to the referenced BAO rep.

Yes, monthly reporting is applicable.

No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

### **Public Information and Records Retention**

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

### **Right to Protest**

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing

en (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

Mal Jupervisor (Initial)

Michelle a. Hernandez Lane

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane Purchasing Agent & Chief Procurement Officer

Evaluation Criteria	Lincoln Property	Marquee	PMG Affordable LLC	Sterling Pursuit, LLC	The Fallon Company	Tishman Speyer	Urban Campus and	ZOM Holdings, LP
		Development Services		Sterning Fursurt, LEC	ine runon company	Worldwide, LLC		201111010111603, 21
	LLC	LLC					core	
Round 1								
Solicitation Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Contract Acceptance	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
ISA Questionnaire Completed and Terms Accepted	Yes	Yes, with exceptions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)	18.00	19.00	17.00	15.00	20.00	22.00	16.00	12.00
Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)	29.00	32.00	25.00	24.00	30.00	31.00	23.00	21.00
Project Approach (20 Points)	13.00	15.00	17.00	6.00	17.00	16.00	12.00	12.00
Diversity Plan (15 Points)	12.50	14.00	13.50	0.00	12.00	12.00	12.50	8.00
Round 1 Totals	72.50	80.00	72.50	45.00	79.00	81.00	63.50	53.00
Round 2								
Live Interview (100 Points)	66.00	70.00	55.00	Did not advance	65.00	61.00	Did not advance	Did not advance
Round 2 Totals	138.50	150.00	127.50		144.00	142.00		
Round 3								
Development and Infrastructure Plan and Approach (120 Points)	83.00	88.00	Did not advance		105.00	85.00		
Team Leadership Structure (90 Points)	60.00	68.00			70.00	70.00		
Financial Approach and Proposal (90 Points)	80.00	71.00			75.00	52.00		
Round 3 Totals	223.00	227.00			250.00	207.00		
Grand Total	361.50	377.00			394.00	349.00		

#### Strength & Weaknesses

Lincoln Property Company Commercial LLC

### Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses #4 - Background of key individuals do not include urban or environmental projects.

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm lacked adequate experience of key team members to execute a multiphase development for Infrastructure and ground up neighborhood developments.

#### Project Approach (20 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. #5 - Firm' approach to address resiliency and sustainability.

Weaknesses #1 - Firm's response to how they would approach funding the site's infrastructure needs. #2 - Firm's initial assessment of land use mixes. #3 - Firm's approach to create a unique sense of place.

#### **Diversity Plan (15 Points)**

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.

Weaknesses Monitoring and reporting SMWBE participation lacked detail.

#### Live Interview (100 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm's response to their team organization structure. Firm's response to their description of their architectural partners. Firm's description of how team members comprising the developer have worked together.

#### Development and Infrastructure Plan and Approach (120 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm's Resiliency and Sustainability Commitments.

Weaknesses Firm's conceptual design regarding high level site plan outlining densities. Firm's ground floor activiation, streetscape and public place plan. Visuals demonstrating key plan elements in 3D. Firm's description of how they will create a new neighborhood. Firm's visual representation of retail strategy.

Team Leadership Structure (90 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm's outline of the depth of their team to support the scale of the project. Firm's description of their competitive projects in Nashville that team members are actively involved in. Firm's description of their strategy for a leadership structure and how they will collaborate to bring the project to fruition.

Financial Approach and Proposal (90 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firm's response included CBID as infrastructure funding without addressing how to replace its use as operating funds. Original written response was vague on use of public funds.

#### Marguee Development Services LLC

#### Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses #4 - Background of key individuals lacks some details and does not include environmental projects. #5 - Response lacked details on legal claims.

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm lacked adequate experience of key team members to execute a multiphase development for transportation, multimodal goals and waterfront developments.

#### Project Approach (20 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. #1 - Firm proposed funding solution was strong.

Weaknesses Firms's overvall response was not specific and succinct.

#### Diversity Plan (15 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.

Weaknesses Efforts to ensure prompt pay lacked detail

#### Live Interview (100 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Team's presentation. Firm's description of their intended architectural partners. Firm's description and examples of how they have activated streets like this proposal.

Weaknesses Firm's approach in terms of design, financing, programming and ongoing operation and maintenance for site A. Firm's strategy and targets for the residential components including market, workforce and affordable.

#### Development and Infrastructure Plan and Approach (120 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm's high level site plan outlining building densities. Weaknesses Firm's ground floor activation, streetscapes and public space plan. Firm's illustration of proposed design principles were inadequate. Firm's demonstration of how they will create a new neighborhood with strong urban design that connects to surrounding context. Firm's commitment to affordability consistent with EB goals.

#### Team Leadership Structure (90 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firm's description of their other projects in Nashville that would compete with EB. Firm's description of their leadership structure and qualifications of the project manager. Firm's description of how they will collaborate with Metro to achieve the Vision Plan goals.

#### Financial Approach and Proposal (90 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm's inclusion of condo, which requires selling land. Firm presented limited options on how developer would fund infrastructure

#### PMG Affordable LLC

#### Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses #3 - Team structure lacks clarity, need clearer definition of team lead. #4 - Background of key individuals, need further clarification of team details. #5 - Response not clear on financials.

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firms projects lacked size and scope of East Bank Vision. Firm lacked adequate experience of key team members to execute a multiphase development for ground up neighborhood developments.

#### Project Approach (20 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firm's overall response is not targeted specifically to Metro Team as a partner.

#### Diversity Plan (15 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted. Weaknesses Efforts to ensure prompt pay lacked detail.

#### Live Interview (100 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm's Team Presentation. Firm's description of Team organization. Firm's description of their intended architectural partners. Firm's description of their Team Experience. Firm's description of their approach in terms of design, financing, programming and ongoing operation and mainteannce to site A. Firm's desription of sustainability measures would they find critical to implement for the project.

#### Sterling Pursuit, LLC

Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses #3 - No team structure defined. #4 - Team lacked team members. #5 - Firm did not provide this information

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm lacked adequate experience of key team members to execute a multiphase development for Infrastructure and ground up neighborhood developments similar to the vision described in Imagine East Bank. Firm's project information lacked Project Approach (20 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firm's overall response lacked specific details.

#### **Diversity Plan (15 Points)**

Strengths Firm's response did not address anything we requested in the RFP. Weaknesses Overall plan lacked relevant information.

### The Fallon Company

Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses #4 - Need further clarification of team.

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm presented a project with vision that demonstrated their team members have executed a multi-phase project.

Weaknesses Firm lacked adequate experience of key team members to execute a multiphase development for sports venues. Firm did not present many projects.

#### Project Approach (20 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses #1 - Firm's response to how they would approach funding the site's infrastructure needs.

#### **Diversity Plan (15 Points)**

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted. <u>Weaknesses</u> Efforts to ensure prompt pay lacked detail.

#### Live Interview (100 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm's description of their intended architectural partners. Firm's description of their approach to SIte A in terms of design, financing, programming and ongoing operation and maintenance. Firm's description and examples of how they have activated streets over a multiblock area like this proposal.

### Development and Infrastructure Plan and Approach (120 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm's overall Conceptual Design. Firm's strategy and targets to providing quality affordable and attainable housing. Weaknesses Firm's proposed overall density and program mix. Firm's lack of experience with sports-adjacent context.

#### Team Leadership Structure (90 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm's description of their strategy for a leadership structure and how they will collaborate to bring the project to fruition

Weaknesses Firm's description of the the day to day project manager's relevant project experience. Firm's outline of the depth of their proposed team to support the scale of the project including their roles.

#### Financial Approach and Proposal (90 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firm's presentation of ideas on how to fund infrastructure in context of other project needs/requirements.

weaknesses Firm's presentation of ideas on now to fund infrastructure in context of other project needs/requirement

#### Tishman Speyer Worldwide, LLC

Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses #4 - Need further clarification of team.

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firms projects did not demonstrate adequate infrastructure and horizontal development with walkability and multimodal goals of Imagine East Bank. Firms projects lacked details.

#### Project Approach (20 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. #1 - Firm proposed funding solution was strong.

Weaknesses #2 - Firm's general timeline and phasing is not adequate. #7 - Firm's plan for diverse supplier inclusion not adequate.

#### **Diversity Plan (15 Points)**

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted. Weaknesses Efforts to ensure prompt pay lacked detail.

#### Live Interview (100 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm's description of their Team Organization. Firm's description of their Team Experience. Firm's description of their sequencing development in order to balance necessary infrastructure. Firm's description of their approach in terms of design, financing, programming and ongoing operation and mainteannce to site A. Firm's description of sustainability measures would they find critical to implement for the project. Firm's decription of how they have activated streets over a multiblock area like this proposal. Firm; description of their strategy and targets for the residential components including market, workforce and affordable.

Development and Infrastructure Plan and Approach (120 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm's lack of response to future phase blocks. Firm's understanding of Parcel C needs. Firm's proposed quantity of retail in addendum. Firm's Housing Affordability participation commitment at desired affordability levels.

#### Team Leadership Structure (90 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. <u>Weaknesses</u> Proposed team availability based on other project commitments.

#### Financial Approach and Proposal (90 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firm did not present second scenario as requested in addendum. Financial response was incomplete.

#### Urban Campus and Core

#### Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses #4 - Team informaton lacked details on definition of team member roles. #5 - Information provided lacked information on financials.

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses\_Firm lacked adequate experience of key team members to execute a multiphase development for Infrastructure and ground up neighborhood developments similar to the vision described in Imagine East Bank. Firm lacks experience with sports, entertainment or waterfront development.

### Project Approach (20 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. #1 - Firm proposed funding solution that includes soliciting TIFIA. Grant.

Weaknesses Firm's project approach lacked vision and creativity.

#### **Diversity Plan (15 Points)**

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximizehighlighted. Weaknesses Monitoring and reporting SMWBE participation lacked detail.

treatine sees

### ZOM Holdings, LP

Firm and Team Background and Financial Resources (25 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. <u>Weaknesses</u> #3 - Firm not clear on definitation of roles. #4 - Firm's key team members lack experience in developer or retail roles.

#### Past Project Relevance and Successful Experiences (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Firm lacked adequate experience of key team members to execute a multiphase development for Infrastructure and ground up neighborhood developments similar to the vision described in Imagine East Bank. Firm's projects were not ones that

#### their firm led Project Approach (20 Points)

<u>Strengths</u> Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Weaknesses Firm's project approach lacked vision and creativity and lacked specific details.

Diversity Plan (15 Points)

#### Diversity Plan (15 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Firm expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.

Weaknesses Lacked detail to ensuring prompt payment.

## Ferguson, Scott (Finance)

From:	Frye, Jeremy (Finance)
Sent:	Monday, September 11, 2023 8:29 AM
То:	Ferguson, Scott (Finance)
Subject:	EBO Final Assessment RFQ#324254 V.2
Attachments:	324254 East Bank Central Waterfron Initial Development Area .pdf

Scott,

Please accept this final assessment for RFQ#. The awardee acknowledges that they will partner with Metro to achieve a 20% DBE Target.

The Business Assistance Office meticulously reviewed each respondent's diversity plan, and we made it a priority to promote fairness and inclusivity throughout the evaluation process.

Respectfully,

Jeremy R. Frye, MPA, CCA, SDL Department of Finance -Office of Minority and Women Business Assistance (BAO) Metropolitan Government Nashville & Davidson County (O) 615-862-6638

Proposer #	Commitment to Community and SMWBE Participation on the Project (6pts)	Strategic Approach to maximizing SMWBE (4pts)	Efforts to ensure prompt payments (3pts)	Monitoring and Reporting of SMWBE participation (2pts)	Total	Strength	Weakness
Lincoln Property Company Commerical	6	4	1.5	1		Expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted	Monitoing and reporting SMWBE participation lacked detail.
Marquee Development Services LLC	6	4	2	2		Expressed commitment to SMWBE participatil4on and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted	Efforts to ensure prompt pay lacked detail.
PMG Affordable LLC	6	4	1.5	2		Expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.	Efforts to ensure prompt pay lacked detail
Sterling Pursuit, LLC	0	0	0	0	0		Overall plan lacked relevant information
The Fallon Company	6	4	0	2		Expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.	Efforts to ensure prompt pay not addressed
Tishman Speyer Properties, L.P. dba Tishman Speyer Worldwide, LLC	6	4	1	1	12	Expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.	Efforts to ensure prompt pay lacked detail.
Urban Campus and Core	6	4	1.5	1		Expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.	Monitoing and reporting SMWBE participation lacked detail.
ZOM Holding, LP	3	4	0	1	8	Expressed commitment to SMWBE participation and community. Strategic approach to maximize highlighted.	Lacked detail to ensuring prompt payment.



### Notice Unacceptable Offers

Solicitation Number	324245	Date	3/28/2023   2:43 PM CDT			
Solicitation Title	East Bank Central Waterfront	East Bank Central Waterfront Initial Development Area				
Buyer Name	Scott Ferguson	Buyer Email	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov			
BAO Rep	Jeremy Frye	BAO Email	jeremy.frye@nashville.gov			

### Unacceptable Offer

The following supplier has submitted an offers that is unacceptable:

Company Name	Sterling Pursuit, LLC	Compar	ny Contact	Joshua Liss	
Street Address	333 N Green, Suite 1100				
City	Chicago	State	IL	Zipcode	60607

Suppliers with unacceptable offers will not be considered for award.

### Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation will be available upon request after the intent to award is issued. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

### **Right to Protest**

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.



### Notice Unacceptable Offers

Solicitation Number	324245	Date	3/28/2023   2:39 PM CDT			
Solicitation Title	East Bank Central Waterfront	East Bank Central Waterfront Initial Development Area				
Buyer Name	Scott Ferguson	Buyer Email	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov			
BAO Rep	Jeremy Frye	BAO Email	jeremy.frye@nashville.gov			

### Unacceptable Offer

The following supplier has submitted an offers that is unacceptable:

Company Name	Urban Campus and Core	Compar	ny Contact	Jennifer Horne	
Street Address	2020 Lindell Ave.				
City	Nashville	State	TN	Zipcode	37203

Suppliers with unacceptable offers will not be considered for award.

### Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation will be available upon request after the intent to award is issued. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

### **Right to Protest**

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.



### Notice Unacceptable Offers

Solicitation Number	324245	Date	3/28/2023   2:39 PM CDT			
Solicitation Title	East Bank Central Waterfront	East Bank Central Waterfront Initial Development Area				
Buyer Name	Scott Ferguson	Buyer Email	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov			
BAO Rep	Jeremy Frye	BAO Email	jeremy.frye@nashville.gov			

### Unacceptable Offer

The following supplier has submitted an offers that is unacceptable:

Company Name	ZOM Holdings, LP	Compar	ny Contact	Nick Kasper	
Street Address	223 S West Street, Suite 10020				
City	Raleigh	State	NC	Zipcode	27603

Suppliers with unacceptable offers will not be considered for award.

### Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation will be available upon request after the intent to award is issued. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

### **Right to Protest**

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.



### Unacceptable Offers

Solicitation Number	324254	Date	5/9/2023   1:00 PM CDT			
Solicitation Title	East Bank Central Waterfront	ast Bank Central Waterfront Initial Development Area				
Buyer Name	Scott Ferguson	Buyer Email	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov			
BAO Rep	Jeremy Frye	BAO Email	jeremy.frye@nashville.gov			

### **Unacceptable Offer**

The following supplier has submitted an offers that is unacceptable:

Company Name	PMG Affordable LLC	Company Contact		Gavin Morrison	
Street Address	398 NE 5th Street, 13th Floor				
City	Miami	State	FL	Zipcode	33132

Suppliers with unacceptable offers will not be considered for award.

### **Public Information and Records Retention**

Solicitation and award documentation will be available upon request after the intent to award is issued. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

### **Right to Protest**

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

# **DocuSign**<sup>\*</sup>

		Doodo.g.	
Certificate Of Completion			
Envelope Id: 59DEE6BF7F464E739E6CD73CCAE	3E14D0	Status: Completed	
Subject: Complete with DocuSign: Notification - RF			
Source Envelope:			
Document Pages: 1	Signatures: 1	Envelope Originator:	
Certificate Pages: 1	Initials: 0	Scott Ferguson	
AutoNav: Enabled		730 2nd Ave. South 1st Floor	
EnvelopeId Stamping: Enabled		Nashville, TN 37219	
Time Zone: (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Cana	ida)	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov	
		IP Address: 170.190.198.185	
Record Tracking			
- Status: Original	Holder: Scott Ferguson	Location: DocuSign	
5/9/2023 12:56:06 PM	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov		
Security Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: StateLocal		
Storage Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: Metropolitan Government of Nashville and	Location: DocuSign	
	Davidson County	-	
Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp	
Michelle A. Hernandez Lane		Sent: 5/9/2023 12:58:15 PM	
michelle.lane@nashville.gov	Michelle a. Hernandez Lane	Viewed: 5/9/2023 1:00:09 PM	
Chief Procurement Officer/Purchasing Agent		Signed: 5/9/2023 1:00:16 PM	
Metro		-	
Security Level: Email, Account Authentication	Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style		
(None)	Using IP Address: 172.58.59.208 Signed using mobile		
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp	
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp	
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp	
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp	
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp	
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp	
Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp	
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp	
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps	
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	5/9/2023 12:58:15 PM	
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	5/9/2023 1:00:09 PM	
Signing Complete	Security Checked	5/9/2023 1:00:16 PM	
Completed	Security Checked	5/9/2023 1:00:16 PM	
	·		
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps	