DEPARTMENT of FINANCE
DIVISION OF PURCHASES

Notice of Intent to Award

Solicitation Number	364290	Award Date	3/19/2024 | 7:41 PM CDT
Solicitation Title	East Bank North/South Multi-Modal Boulevard		
Buyer Name	Scott Ferguson	Buyer Email	scott.ferguson@nashville.gov
BAO Rep	Joe Ann Carr	BAO Email	joeanne.carr@nashville.gov

Awarded Supplier(s)

In reference to the above solicitation and contingent upon successful contract negotiation, it is the intent of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County to award to the following supplier(s):

Company Name	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	Company Contact	Kanda Hyde		
Street Address	1000 Corporate Centre Drive, Suite 250				
City	Franklin	State	TN	Zipcode	37067

Company Name		Company Contact			
Street Address					
City		State		Zipcode	

Company Name		Company Contact			
Street Address					
City		State		Zipcode	

Certificate of Insurance

The awarded supplier(s) must submit a certificate of insurance (COI) indicating all applicable coverage required by the referenced solicitation. The COI should be emailed to the referenced buyer no more than 15 days after the referenced award date.

Equal Business Opportunity Program

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) must submit a signed copy of the letter of intent to perform for any and all minority-owned (MBE) or woman-owned (WBE) subcontractors included in the solicitation response. The letter(s) should be emailed to the referenced business assistance office (BAO) rep no more than two business days after the referenced award date.

☑ Yes, the EBO Program is applicable. ☐ No, the EBO Program is not applicable.

Monthly Reporting

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) will be required monthly to submit evidence of participation and payment to all small (SBE), minority-owned (MBE), women-owned (WBE), LGBT-owned (LGBTBE), and service disabled veteran owned (SDV) subcontractors. Sufficient evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks.

Questions related to contract compliance may be directed to the referenced BAO rep.

☑ Yes, monthly reporting is applicable. ☐ No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

MdL Supervisor (Initial)

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane

Purchasing Agent & Chief Procurement Officer

RFQ# 364290 - East Bank North/South Multi-Modal Boulevard							
Evaluation Criteria	AECOM Technicial Services, Inc	Barge Design Solutions, Inc	CDM Smith	Gresham Smith	KCI Technologies, Inc	STV Incorporated	Thompson Engineering
Round 1							
Solicitation Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Contract Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes, with exceptions	Yes	Yes	Yes, with
ISA Questionnaire Completed and Terms Accepted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)	28	25	27	25	25	20	18
Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)	28	26	27	25	25	20	15
Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)	38	37	35	37	35	32	20
Round 1 Totals	**94**	**88**	**89**	**87**	**85**	**72**	**53**

Strength & Weaknesses

AECOM Technical Services, Inc

Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#2 - Resumes did not provide details related to the qualifications and expertise of individuals and schedule of assigned projects.

Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Q#2 - Firm provided a good variety of relevant projects with good NEPA representation.

Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm did not adequately demostrate experience of team working together.

Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#3 - Project Schedule did not list subtasks.

Barge Design Services

Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#2 - Resumes did not provide details related to the qualifications are related to the scope of services. Firm's individuals anticipated percentage of time to be dedicated to the scope of services. Q#3 - Firm's availability of appropriate staff throughout the project.

Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#2 - Firm only presented one NEPA and BRT projects. Firm did not present project of similar scope as this project.

Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm's understanding of the outlined scope requirements. Reference to Jefferson St. incorrect. Q#2 - TDOT not providing funding.

CDM Smith

Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#2 - Firm's explanation as to how the invididual qualifications and specialized expertise is related to the proposed scope of services.

Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm not clear on which team members worked on which projects.

Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm's understanding of the outlined scope requirements lacked details and unique approach. Q#3 - No subtasks listed.

Gresham Smith

Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm's organization chart did not clearly define team structure. Q#2 - Firm's individual qualifications lacks public staffing experience.

Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm did not demonstrate coordination between teams. Q#2 - Firm's projects did not demonstrate BRT experience.

Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm did not demonstrate an understanding of the scope requirements. Q#2 - Firm's plan would not be effective with key components of timing with stadium.

KCI Technologies, Inc

Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Q#2 - Firm team has good NEPA experience.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Lead person did not lead any BRT related projects. Q#2 - Firm's team's qualifications not equivilent to this project's scope. Q#2 - One of the firm's listed team members no longer with the firm.

Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm did not demonstrate combined experience of team working together on projects. Q#2 - Firm's projects did not demonstrate BRT experience. Firm's projects not of same size and scope of this project.

Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm did not demonstrate an understanding of the scope requirements related to NEPA document. Q#2 - Firm did not mention their BRT approach. Q#3 - Firm's schedule proposed is not realistic, phasing order not practical.

STV Incorporated

Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm's team is not local. Firm's primary resource does not have active project listed. Q#2 - Firm's qualifications do not cover many of projects scope tasks. Q#3 - Firm's financial condition.

Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm did not demonstrate project team ever working on any other projects together. Q#2 - Firms projects only had one example of an environmental project and no public engagement listed and stormwater only briefly addressed. Firm did not list any NEPA projects. Q#4 - Firm did not provide an answer.

Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below. Q#1 - Firm's listing of deliverables.
Weaknesses Q#2 - Firm's approach lacks local components on the project team. Firm not demonstrate a familarity of Nashville. Firm's approach relies too much on a one firm. Q#3 - Some of firm's tasks are outside of scope.

Thompson Engineering

Team Structure, Qualifications and Capacity (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm has a very small team and do not have large staffing. Q#2 - Only one team member has NEPA experience. Q#4 - Firm did not provide an answer.

Relevant Project Experience (30 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm did not provide an answer. Q#2 - Firm did not provide any relevant project experience. Q#3 - Firm did not provide an answer. Q#4 - Firm did not provide an answer.

Management Plan and Approach and Schedule (40 Points)

Strengths Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.
Weaknesses Q#1 - Firm's response lacked details. Q#2 - Firm's approach had no focus on environmental or public engagement. Q#3 - Firm did not provide a schedule.

Ferguson, Scott (Finance)

From: Carr, Joe Ann (Finance - Contract Compliance)
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 3:19 PM
To: Ferguson, Scott (Finance)
Cc: Frye, Jeremy (Finance); Wood, Christopher (Finance - Procurement)
Subject: RFP#364290 East Bank North/South Multi-Modal Boulevard (A&E); M/WBE Utilization and SBE/SDV Assessment
Attachments: RFP 364290 SBE Assessment AE.pdf; RFP 364290 MWBE Utilization AE.pdf

Scott,

Please see the attached EBO Program M/WBE Utilization Form and SBE/SDV Assessment for this solicitation.

The proposer is compliant with the EBO Program goals applied, is not an approved Metro SBE/SDV, has proposed Metro-approved SBEs and has acknowledged participation expectations and consequences of misrepresentation.

This contract will require monitoring in the B2Gnow system. Please contact me with questions.

Thanks,
JoeAnn Carr
Department of Finance
Office of Minority and Women Business Assistance (BAO)
Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County
730 2nd Avenue South, 2nd Floor; PO Box 196300
Nashville, TN 37219-6300
(p) 615-880-2338 (f) 615-862-6175

Statement of M/WBE Utilization A&E ONLY

Proposer's/Firm's Name:	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	Proposer's Phone #:	615.313.0355
Solicitation Title:	East Bank North/South Multi-modal Boulevard	Proposer's Email Address:	brian.hall@aecom.com
Solicitation #:	364290,3	Total Bid Amount:	Amount to be negotiated upon award
EBO Goal (%):	8.00 MBE% 11 WBE%	EBO Goal Met? (Y/N)	Y

The following MWBE* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s) will be utilized for the performance of this project:

	MBE/WBE Firm Name	MBE/WBE Firm Address	Phone/E-Mail	Certificate Type (MBE or WBE)	* MBE/WBE Group Type *	Code # UNSPS/NAICS	Description of Work
1	Burch Transportation, LLC	1114 17th Ave. S., Ste. 103, Nashville, TN 37212	615-258-8551/ JeffHammond@bu	WBE	5	81102200/541330	Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning
2	Civil Infrastructure Associates, LLC	307 Hickerson Drive, Murfreesboro, TN 37129	615-516-2852/ Lsullivan@cia-engi	WBE	5	8110, 81101502, 81101516, 81101523	Survey, Utility Coordination, CEI
3	Pillars Development, LLC	1208 3rd Ave. S., Ste. 7, Nashville, TN 37210	615.953.9696/ edward@pillarsdev	MBE	1	80130000/531390	Stakeholder and Community Engagement
4	Borra Consulting	405 Summit Oaks Drive, Nashville, TN 37221	615-479-4362/ gupta@borraconsu	MBE	4	81101500, 46161500/541611, 541330	Civil, Transportation, and Traffic Engineering
5				Select	Select		
6				Select	Select		
7				Select	Select		

I am the duly authorized representative and certify the facts and representations contained in this form and supporting documents are true and correct.

Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title/Signature)

Brian Hall, PE / Associate Vice President

Digitally signed by Thomas Brian Hall
Date: 2024.01.25 14:21:49 -06'00'

Date: 01/26/2024

*Note: MWBE is defined as business enterprise maintaining a significant business prescience in the Program Area & performing a commercial useful function that is owned by one or more of the following: (1) African Americans (2) Native Americans, (3) Hispanic Americans, (4) Asian Americans, and (5) Women.

Has Prime Acknowledged EBO Goals Acheivement? Yes

For Internal Office Use ONLY

If No, Good Faith Efforts Met? BAO Only

BAO Representative : JoeAnn Carr

Metro Buyer: Scott Ferguson

Project Manager: Hal Balthrop/Ben York

Date: 03/15/24

BAO Notes:

Proposer is compliant with the Equal Business Opportunity Program having acknowledged the M/WBE goals and can acheive as required by the Procurement Code. Actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

BAO SBE Assessment Sheet

BAO Specialist: JoeAnn Carr

Contract Specialist: Scott Ferguson

Date: 03/15/24

Department Name: NDOT

RFP/ITB Number: 364290

Project Name: East Bank North/South Multi-Modal Boulevard (A&E)

Primary Contractor	SBE/SDV Requirement Acknowledged?	Comments
AECOM Technical Services, Inc	Yes	The proposer acknowledged the SBE/SDV 14 % requirement participation expectation which has been set over the life of the contract, as required by the solicitation. The prime is not an approved SBE/SDV and proposed the engagement of SBE firms; Burch Transportation LLC, CIA, Civil Infrastructure Associates, LLC, Hodgson and Douglas LLC, and Pillars Development LLC